

AGENDA

CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

<http://www.catc.ca.gov>

**January 19-20, 2005
Sacramento, California**

Wednesday, January 19, 2005

- 2:00 p.m. **STIP Workshop**
 Department of Water Resources
 Auditorium, First Floor
 1416 9th Street
 Sacramento, California
- 5:00 p.m. **Reception for Caltrans Director Will Kempton**
 Hosted by Women's Transportation Seminar
 State Capitol – Capitol Rotunda
 Sacramento, California
- 7:30 p.m. **Commissioner's Dinner**
 Riverside Club House
 2633 Riverside Blvd.
 Sacramento, California

Thursday, January 20, 2005

- 8:30 a.m. **Commission Meeting (One-Day Business Meeting Only)**
 Department of Water Resources
 Auditorium, First Floor
 1416 9th Street
 Sacramento, California

CLOSED SESSION PERTAINING TO LITIGATION:

The Commission will meet in closed session, pursuant to Government Code Section 11126(e)(2)(A) to confer with legal counsel with regard to the following litigation:

Flying J, Inc. v. California Transportation Commission, et al., Kern County Superior Court, Case No. 253208 SPC.

NOTE: The Commission will convene in Open Session and then move immediately into Closed Session. Following the Closed Session, the Commission will reconvene in Open Session.

A copy of this meeting notice and agenda will be posted 10 days prior to the meeting and related book items will be posted 5 days prior to the meeting on the California Transportation Commission Website:
www.catc.ca.gov

Questions or inquiries about this meeting may be directed to the Commission staff at (916) 654-4245, 1120 N Street (MS-52), Sacramento, CA 95814. If any special accommodations are needed for persons with disabilities, please contact Carol Peterson at (916) 654-4245. Requests for special accommodations should be made as soon as possible but at least five days prior to the scheduled meeting.

Persons attending the meeting who wish to address the California Transportation Commission on a subject to be considered at this meeting are asked to complete a Speaker Request Card and give it to the Executive Assistant prior to the discussion of the item. If you would like to present handouts/written material to the California Transportation Commission at the meeting, please provide a minimum of 25 copies.

NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED CTC MEETINGS (Subject to Change):

CTC Committee Meetings – To Be Determined

CTC Commission Meeting – March 2-3, 2005, Sacramento

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
--	-------------------------	---------------	--	----------------

* "A" denotes an "Action" item; "I" denotes an "Information" item; "B" denotes a Business, Transportation and Housing (BTH) Agency item; "C" denotes a "Commission" item; "D" denotes a "Department" item; and "R" denotes a Regional Agency item.

FREQUENTLY USED TERMS: California Transportation Commission (Commission or CTC), California Department of Transportation (Department or Caltrans), Regional Improvement Program (RIP), Interregional Improvement Program (IIP), State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP), Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP), Environmental Phase (PA&ED), Design Phase (PS&E), Right of Way (R/W), Fiscal Year (FY).

GENERAL BUSINESS

	1.		
• Roll Call	1.1	I	C
• Approval of Minutes for December 9, 2004 Meeting	1.2	A	C
• Commission Reports	1.3	A	C
-- Appointment of Committee Chairs and Members			
• Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation	1.4	A	C
• Report by Agency Secretary and/or Deputy Secretary	1.5	I	B

CALTRANS REPORT

• Report by Caltrans' Director and/or Deputy Director	1.6	I	D
---	-----	---	---

REGIONAL AGENCIES AND RURAL COUNTIES REPORT

• Report by Regional Agencies Moderator	1.7	I	R
• Report by Rural Counties Task Force Chair	1.8	I	R

INFORMATION CALENDAR

	IC	I	C
• To Be Determined			

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
--	-------------------------	---------------	--	----------------

CONSENT CALENDAR

CC

A C

- To Be Determined

PROJECT BUSINESS MATTERS

2.

Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Action

2.1a.

- STIP Amendment 04S-006
The Imperial Valley Association of Governments (IVAG) is requesting this amendment. IVAG proposes to transfer \$276,000 of the \$3,300,000 in RIP funds from Construction to PS&E in FY 2006-07 for the Dogwood Road Widening project (PPNO 0520). IVAG is also requesting the Commission approve an AB 3090 Reimbursement for the \$3,300,000. The County of Imperial will use local funds to replace \$3,300,000 in RIP funds programmed in FY 2006-07 with later reimbursement (PPNO 0520A) in FY 2008-09.

2.1a.(1)

A D

- STIP Amendment 04S-008
The Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (SCCRTC) is requesting this amendment. SCCRTC proposes to delete 16 local transportation projects totaling \$6,363,000 in RIP Construction funds programmed in FY 2005-06 and FY 2006-07 and reprogram the \$6,363,000 to RIP Construction in FY 2006-07 for the MetroBase Consolidated Operations Facility project (PPNO 0924), formerly known as the Consolidated Bus Operations Facility project. The affected local agencies are in agreement with deleting the projects.

2.1a.(2)

A D

- STIP Amendment 04S-009
The City of Goleta (City) and Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (SBCAG) are requesting this amendment. The City and SBCAG propose to move \$1,201,000 of RIP R/W funds in FY 2005-06 from the Fowler Road and Ekwil Street Extension project (PPNO 4611) to a new Ellwood Overhead Replacement project (PPNO 1840) as follows: \$222,000 in FY 2005-06 and \$979,000 in FY 2007-08.

2.1a.(3)

A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 04S-010 The Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) is requesting this amendment. OCTA requests the Commission approve this amendment for AB 3090 Replacement. OCTA will use local federal funds to replace \$19,043,000 in RIP funds programmed for R/W and R/W Support on the Imperial Highway Grade Separation project (PPNO 4434) and program a total of \$19,043,000 in RIP funds in FY 2006-07 for a replacement project (PPNO 4434A) to be identified at a later date. 	2.1a.(4)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 04S-011 The Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) is requesting this amendment. RCTC proposes to extend the project limits for the Route 91 High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lanes project (PPNO 0092A) in Riverside County to close the gap of the HOV lane in the eastbound direction, between Adams Street and Mary Street. Programmed RIP funds of \$13,070,000 for the design phase will remain the same. 	2.1a.(5)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 04S-012 The Mendocino Council of Governments (MCOG) is requesting this amendment. MCOG proposes to augment the project scope of the Ukiah and Talmage Pedestrian Facilities project (PPNO 4100P) in Mendocino County to include Fircrest Drive Pedestrian Facility. There is no change in the total project cost. This is a revenue neutral programming action. 	2.1a.(6)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 04S-013 The Modoc County Transportation Commission (MCTC) is requesting this amendment. MCTC proposes to delete the following two RIP projects in Modoc County: Alturas Highway 299 Widening (PPNO 0053) for \$47,000 and Cedar Pass Truck Escape Ramp (PPNO 3105) for \$99,000. The unexpended RIP funds of approximately \$146,000 shall be returned to the Modoc County's unprogrammed share balance. 	2.1a.(7)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 04S-014 The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) is requesting this amendment. LACMTA proposes to move \$65,847,000 in RIP funds in FY 2008-09 from Interstate 5 - Carmenita Interchange project (PPNO 2808A) to Interstate 5/Route 14 Carpool Lane Direct Connector project (PPNO 0168M) in FY 2008-09. In addition, LACMTA is requesting \$44,231,000 in previously approved AB 3090 replacement project funding be designated for PPNO 2808A in FY 2005-06 and \$8,247,000 be designated for PPNO 0168M in FY 2008-09 for a total of \$52,505,000. This amendment is a revenue neutral programming adjustment that formally designates \$52,505,000 from various projects previously approved for AB 3090 Replacement. 	2.1a.(8)		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 04S-015 The Tulare County Association of Governments (TCAG) is requesting this amendment. TCAG proposes to move \$4,082,000 of RIP funds programmed under the Tulare Transportation Enhancement (TE) Reserve (PPNO D006) in FY 2005-06 through FY 2008-09 to eight new eligible projects. 	2.1a.(9)		A D
	<p><u>Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Notice</u></p>	2.1b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 04S-017 The Butte County Association of Governments (BCAG) is requesting this amendment. BCAG proposes to delete \$442,000 in RIP Construction funds from FY 2005-06 on the Skyway/Wagstaff Signalization project (PPNO 2L120) and program \$263,000 in RIP Construction funds in FY 2005-06 for a new project, the Route 99/162 Signalization project (PPNO 2420). The remaining \$179,000 will be returned to Butte County’s unprogrammed share balance. 	2.1b.(1)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 04S-018 The Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) is requesting this amendment. RCTC is requesting the Commission approve this amendment for AB 3090 Replacement for the Route 60 Highway Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lanes project (PPNO 0033) in Riverside County. RCTC will use local Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) funds to replace \$13,046,000 (\$9,785,000 in IIP and \$3,261,000 in RIP funds) programmed in FY 2004-05. RCTC will program \$13,046,000 in FY 2007-08 for a replacement project (PPNO 0116C) to be identified at a later date. 	2.1b.(2)		I D
	<p><u>Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Application Approvals/Amendments</u></p>	2.1c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approval – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.1c.(1)		

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval. Six application amendments, no net change in TCR funding. Resolution TAA-05-01, Amending Resolutions TA-01-07, TA-02-04, and TAA-02-06 -- Project #16.2 – Route 4; construct one or more phases of improvements to widen freeway to eight lanes from Railroad Avenue through Loveridge Road, including two high-occupancy vehicle lanes; and to six or more lanes from east of Loveridge Road through Hillcrest. The Contra Costa Transportation Authority requests amendment to update project schedule and funding plan previously approved under Resolution TAA-02-06. -- Project #41.2 – Route 5; add HOV lanes on Golden State Freeway through San Fernando Valley, Route 170 (Hollywood Freeway) to Route 14 (Antelope Valley Freeway) in Los Angeles County – Route 170 to Route 118 – HOV lanes. The Department requests amendment to transfer \$500,000 in TCR funds to TCRP Sub-Project #41.1, and update the overall funding plan and project schedule previously approved under Resolution TAA-02-06. <i>(Related item under 2.6e.)</i> -- Project #41.1 – Route 5; add HOV lanes on Golden State Freeway through San Fernando Valley, Route 170 (Hollywood Freeway) to Route 14 (Antelope Valley Freeway) in Los Angeles County – Route 118 to Route 14 – HOV lanes with mitigating soundwalls. The Department requests amendment to receive transfer of \$500,000 in TCR funds from TCRP Sub-Project #41.2, update project schedule and funding plan previously approved under Resolution TAA-02-06. <i>(Related item under 2.6e.)</i> -- Project #62 – Route 91; add HOV lanes through downtown Riverside, Mary Adams Street to Route 60/215 junction in Riverside County. The Riverside County Transportation Commission requests amendment to revise project scope, and update project schedule and funding plan previously approved under Resolution TA-01-07. -- Project #88.1 – Route 5; realign freeway at Virginia Avenue, approaching San Ysidro Port of Entry to Mexico in San Diego County. The Department requests amendment to designate this sub-project as – Realignment of southbound lanes, refine project scope, transfer \$300,000 in TCR funds to TCR Sub-project #88.2, and update schedule and funding plan previously approved under Resolution TA-02-04. <i>(Related item under 2.6e.)</i> -- Project #88.2 – Route 5; realign freeway at Virginia Avenue, approaching San Ysidro Port of Entry to Mexico in San Diego County. The Department requests amendment to designate this sub-project as – Improve channelization of existing crossings, refine project scope, receive transfer of \$300,000 in TCR funds from TCR Sub-project #88.1, and update schedule and funding plan previously approved under Resolution TA-02-04. <i>(Related item under 2.6e.)</i> 	2.1c.(2)		A D/C

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<u>Proposition 116 – Non-Urban County Project Approvals/Amendments – No Items This Month</u>	2.1d.		
	<u>Proposition 116 – Rail Project Approvals/Amendments – No Items This Month</u>	2.1e.		
	<u>Environmental Matters</u>	2.2		
	<u>Environmental Matters – Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) – No Items This Month</u>	2.2a.		
	<u>Environmental Matters – Comments on Documents in Circulation (Draft EIS/EIR) – No Items This Month</u>	2.2b.		
	<u>Environmental Matters – Approval of Projects for Future Consideration of Funding, Route Adoption or New Public Road Connection (Final Negative Declaration or EIR)</u>	2.2c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 199 in Del Norte County – Realign curves near Patrick Creek (ND). Resolution E-05-01 	2.2c.(1)	A	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 26 in San Joaquin County – Curve correction near Linden (ND). Resolution E-05-02 	2.2c.(2)	A	D
	<u>Highway Route Matters</u>	2.3		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Route Adoptions – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.3a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New Public Road Connections – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.3b.		

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eight Relinquishment Resolutions 	2.3c.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 4-Sol-37-KP 12.9 Route 37 in the City of Vallejo Resolution R-3593 -- 6-Fre-180-KP R89.0/R91.0 Route 180 in the City of Fresno Resolution R-3594 -- 6-Ker-14-KP 29.9/30.5 Route 14 in the County of Kern Resolution R-3595 -- 8-Riv-79-PM 2.5/4.5 & 15.6/19.4 Route 79 in the City of Temecula Resolution R-3596 -- 12-Ora-1-PM 0.96/4.63 Route 1 in the City of Dana Point Resolution R-3597 -- 12-Ora-5-PM 39.1/39.2 Route 5 in the City of Anaheim Resolution R-3598 -- 12-Ora-73-PM 4.8/5.6 Route 73 in the City of Newport Beach Resolution R-3599 -- 12-Ora-73-PM 5.6/5.9 Route 73 in the County of Orange Resolution R-3600 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One Vacation Resolution 	2.3d.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 03-Sac-5 PM 23.5 Route 5 in the City of Sacramento Resolution A-858 			
	<u>Highway Right of Way Matters</u>	2.4		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Two Resolutions of Necessity – Appearance 	2.4a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Pablo Guerrero, et al. 06-Fre-180-KP 88.20 Resolution C-18986 	2.4a.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- MRCD-Parson 99, Inc., Viacom Outdoor (Lessee) 10-Mer-99-KP 20.5 Resolution C-18987 	2.4a.(2)		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eight Resolutions of Necessity Resolutions C-18988 through C-18995 	2.4b.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Airspace Lease Direct Negotiations - Request to Extend Twenty Percent Lease Rate Reduction to Sacramento Regional Transit District 	2.4c.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Director’s Deeds Items #1 through #13 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$4,613,746 Return to Others: \$0 	2.4d.		A D
	<u>Highway Financial Matters</u>			
	\$ 96,438,500 Total Funds Requested for Allocation			
	\$ 16,336,000 Contributions from Other Sources			
	\$ 112,774,500 Grand Total			
	<u>Financial Allocations for Minor Projects</u>			
		2.5a		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for Ten Minor Projects totaling \$5,150,000. Resolution FP-04-20 	2.5a.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for Minor Projects not proposed for allocation at this time – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.5a.(2)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for Eight Minor SHOPP Safety Projects totaling \$3,847,000 plus \$110,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-04-21 	2.5a.(3)		A D
	<u>Financial Allocations for SHOPP Projects</u>			
		2.5b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for 11 SHOPP Projects totaling \$42,955,500. Resolution FP-04-22 	2.5b.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for Three SHOPP Projects totaling \$4,305,000 Amended into the SHOPP by Departmental action. Resolution FP-04-23 	2.5b.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for SHOPP Transportation Enhancement Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.5b.(3)		

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation Amendment to Rescind One SHOPP Project for \$2,982,000. Resolution FP-04-28, Amending Resolution FP-03-37 	2.5b.(4)		A D
	<u>Financial Allocations/Amendments for STIP Projects</u>	2.5c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for one State Administered STIP Project on the State Highway System for \$11,800,000. (Previously approved as AB 3090 Reimbursement.) Resolution FP-04-24 	2.5c.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Locally Administered STIP Projects on the State Highway System – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.5c.(2)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Locally Administered STIP Projects off the State Highway System – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.5c.(3)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for State Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.5c.(4)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for 12 Locally Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects totaling \$6,912,000 plus \$15,992,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-04-25 	2.5c.(5)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Locally Administered STIP Planning, Programming and Monitoring projects – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.5c.(6)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Locally Administered Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) Projects -- <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.5c.(7)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> STIP Project Advancements – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.5c.(8)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for One Locally Administered Statewide Transportation Enhancement (STE) Project for \$1,241,000 plus \$234,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-04-26 	2.5c.(9)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Two Locally Administered AB 3090 Cash Reimbursement projects totaling \$5,728,000. Resolution FP-04-27 	2.5c.(10)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation Amendment for Two Locally Administered STIP Bridge Replacement Projects in Sierra County for \$146,000. Resolution FP-04-29, Amending Resolution FP-02-65 	2.5c.(11)		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
--	-------------------------	---------------	--	----------------

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for One SHOPP Project for \$14,500,000 that Exceeds 20 Percent of the Programmed Amount. Resolution FP-04-30 	2.5d.	A	D
---	-------	---	---

-- 01-Hum-101 – SHOPP project in Humboldt County. Current programmed value of \$10,933,000 and current estimate of \$14,500,000 resulted in increase of 33 percent over the programmed amount.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocations for Supplemental Funds – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.5e.
--	-------

<p><u>Informational Report on Allocations Under Delegated Authority</u></p>	2.5f.
--	-------

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Informational Report on Emergency G-11 Allocations. 12 projects totaling \$19,191,500. 	2.5f.(1)	I	D
--	----------	---	---

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Informational Report on Seismic Retrofit (Phase I) G-11 Allocations – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.5f.(2)
---	----------

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Informational Report on Seismic Retrofit (Proposition 192, Phase II) G-11 Allocations – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.5f.(3)
---	----------

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Informational Report on SHOPP Safety G-01-10 Allocations. Four projects totaling \$10,739,000. 	2.5f.(4)	I	D
--	----------	---	---

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Budget Revision to Exchange \$22,700,000 of Capital Outlay State Highway Operation and Protection Plan (SHOPP) State Funds for Local Assistance Federal Trust Fund Dollars for Projects Programmed in the Safe Routes to Schools Program. Resolution FM-04-03 	2.5g.	A	D
---	-------	---	---

Mass Transportation Financial Matters

\$	0	Total Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP)
\$	0	Total State Transp. Improvement Program (STIP) Funds Requested for Allocation
\$	0	Total Proposition 116 Program Funds
(\$	0)	Total Proposition 116 De-Allocation Amendment
\$	0	Grand Total State Allocation Funds Requested for Allocation

<p><u>Financial Allocation for STIP Rail/Transit</u></p>	2.6a.
---	-------

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for Local Rail/Transit STIP projects – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.6a.(1)
---	----------

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Intercity Rail STIP projects – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.6a.(2)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Proposition 116 Program – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.6b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation Amendment for Proposition 116 Program – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.6c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation Amendment for Multi-Program Funded Projects – <u>No Items this Month</u> 	2.6d.		
	<p><u>Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocations / Allocation Amendments</u></p> <p>-- Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocation Amendment Approval. Four allocation amendment requests, no net change in TCR funding. Resolution TFP-05-01</p>	2.6e.		A D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Informational Report on Delegated Allocations for Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Projects, per Resolution G-02-10 – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.6f.		
	<p><u>Aeronautics Financial Matters – No Items This Month</u></p>	2.7		
	<p><u>Time Extension Requests per CTC Resolution G-03-19, STIP Guidelines, Section 65 – Timely Use of Funds / Proposition 116 Waiver Requests / Miscellaneous Requests</u></p>	2.8		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.8a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.8b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion for One Intercity Rail STIP Project on the Pacific Surfliner Route for \$132,000. Waiver-05-01 	2.8c.		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>			<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request to Extend the Period of Project Development Expenditure Deadline – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.8d.			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request for Waiver to Resolution G-99-25, Commission’s Guidelines for Allocating, Monitoring and Auditing of Funds for Local Assistance Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.8e.			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request for Waiver of Assembly Bill 1012 Fifth Cycle “Use it or Lose It” Provisions for Regional Agency Apportioned Federal Funds for three Agencies totaling \$4,664,282. Waiver-05-02 	2.8f.	A	D	
	<u>PROGRAM STATUS</u>	3.			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Caltrans Monthly FY 2004-05 Finance Report and Revised Cash Flow Report 	3.1	I	D	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted but Not Awarded 	3.2	I	D	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action 	3.3	I	D	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly Report on Local Assistance STIP Projects Previously Voted but not Awarded 	3.4	I	D	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Status of STIP Cash Commitments for AB 3090 Reimbursements and GARVEE Debt Service 	3.5	I	C	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Status Report on Previously Approved GARVEE Projects Not Yet Awarded 	3.6	I	D	
	<u>POLICY MATTERS</u>	4.			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> State and Federal Legislative Matters * 	4.1	A	C	

*The Commission may consider bills or resolutions newly introduced, recently amended, or calendared for Legislative committee or floor action, subsequent to publication of this agenda. For further information, call Executive Director Diane Eidam at (916) 654-4245.

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presentation of the Governor’s Proposed FY 2005-06 Budget 	4.2		I D
	<u>List of Pending Allocations/Amendments/LONPs</u>	4.3		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highway/Rail and Transit Pending Allocations – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	4.3a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pending Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Letter of No Prejudice (Letter) Requests, per Resolution G-03-12 – Eight Letter requests totaling \$595,425,000. 	4.3b.		I C/D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aeronautics Account Pending Allocations – 18 Projects totaling \$3,084,200 	4.3c.		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Report by State Treasurer’s Office on the Tribal Gaming Funds 	4.4		I STO
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Report by Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART) on Seismic Retrofit of Local Bridges 	4.5		I R
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Report by Department of Water Resources on Seismic Retrofit of DWR Bridges on Local Roads 	4.6		I R
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Goods Movement Advisory Committee Mission Statement 	4.7		A C
	<u>MODAL ISSUES</u>	5.		
	<u>OTHER MATTERS / PUBLIC COMMENT</u>	6.		