

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
--	-------------------------	---------------	--	----------------

* "A" denotes an "Action" item; "I" denotes an "Information" item; "B" denotes a Business, Transportation and Housing (BTH) Agency item; "C" denotes a "Commission" item; "D" denotes a "Department" item; and "R" denotes a Regional Agency item.

FREQUENTLY USED TERMS: California Transportation Commission (Commission or CTC), California Department of Transportation (Department or Caltrans), Regional Improvement Program (RIP), Interregional Improvement Program (IIP), State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP), Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP), Environmental Phase (PA&ED), Design Phase (PS&E), Right of Way (R/W), Fiscal Year (FY).

GENERAL BUSINESS

- | | | | |
|---|-----|--|-----|
| | 1. | | |
| • Roll Call | 1.1 | | I C |
| • Approval of Minutes for April 26-27, 2006 Meeting | 1.2 | | A C |
| • Executive Director's Report | 1.3 | | A C |
| -- 2007 Meeting Schedule | | | |
| • Commission Reports | 1.4 | | A C |
| • Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation | 1.5 | | A C |

BUSINESS, TRANSPORTATION & HOUSING AGENCY REPORT

- | | | | |
|--|-----|--|-----|
| • Report by Agency Secretary and/or Deputy Secretary | 1.6 | | I B |
|--|-----|--|-----|

CALTRANS REPORT

- | | | | |
|---|-----|--|-----|
| • Report by Caltrans' Director and/or Deputy Director | 1.7 | | I D |
|---|-----|--|-----|

REGIONAL AGENCIES AND RURAL COUNTIES REPORT

- | | | | |
|---|-----|--|-----|
| • Report by Regional Agencies Moderator | 1.8 | | I R |
| • Report by Rural Counties Task Force Chair | 1.9 | | I R |

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<u>PROJECT BUSINESS MATTERS</u>	2.		
	<u>Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Action</u>	2.1a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 06S-001 The Town of Truckee, with concurrence of the Nevada County Transportation Commission (NCTC), is requesting this amendment. The Town of Truckee and NCTC propose to change the implementing agency for the Project Approval and Environmental (PA&ED) component on the Route 89/Union Pacific Railroad Grade Separation Widening project (PPNO 3L43) from the Town of Truckee to the Department. 	2.1a.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 06S-002 The Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) and the City of Rocklin are requesting this amendment. The PCTPA and the City of Rocklin requests the Commission approve an amendment for AB 3090 Reimbursement. The City of Rocklin proposes to use local funds to replace a total of \$18,432,000 programmed in PCTPA’s RIP and the IIP in FY 2007-08 for Construction of the Route 80 Sierra College Interchange project (PPNO 0151D), with later reimbursement programmed in FY 2010-11. 	2.1a.(2)		A D
	<u>Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Notice – No Items This Month</u>	2.1b.		
	<u>Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP) Application Approvals/Amendments</u>	2.1c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Alameda County Congestion Management Agency requests a TCRP Application Amendment to redistribute \$6,000 in TCRP funding from PA&ED to Construction for Project #27.2 – Vasco Road ACE station commuter parking facility. This amendment will also update the project schedule and funding plan. <i>(Related Item under 2.6e.(2).)</i> Resolution TAA-06-28, Amending Resolution TAA-04-05 	2.1c.(1)		A D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority requests a TCRP Application Amendment to update the project funding plan for Project #36 – Los Angeles Eastside Transit Extension; build new light rail line from Union Station to Atlantic via 1st Street. <i>(Related Item under 2.6e.(2).)</i> Resolution TAA-06-29, Amending Resolution TAA-05-07 	2.1c.(2)		A D/C

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Department requests a TCRP Application Amendment to transfer \$30,426,000 in TCRP funding from Project #41.1 – Route 5; HOV lanes on Golden State Freeway – Route 118 to Route 14 to Project #41.2 – Route 5; HOV lanes on Golden State Freeway – Route 170 to Route 118. This amendment will also update the project schedule and funding plan for each project. <i>(Related Items under 2.6e.(1) & 2.6e.(2).)</i> Resolution TAA-06-30, Amending Resolutions TAA-05-02 and TAA-02-06. 	2.1c.(3)		A D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The San Bernardino Associated Governments requests a TCRP Application Amendment to program a net total of \$33,478,000 in new TCRP funds for PS&E, R/W, and Construction for Project #55.2 – Alameda Corridor East; Milliken Avenue grade separation. This amendment will also redistribute \$143,000 from PA&ED to PS&E and update the project schedule and funding plan. <i>(Related Items under 2.6e.(2).)</i> Resolution TAA-06-31, Amending Resolution TA-01-11 	2.1c.(4)		A D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Department requests a TCRP Application Amendment to designate the San Bernardino Associated Governments as the Implementing Agency for Project #57 – Route 215; add HOV lanes through downtown San Bernardino, Route 10 to Route 30. This amendment will also update the project schedule and funding plan. <i>(Related Item under 2.6e.(2).)</i> Resolution TAA-06-32, Amending Resolution TA-01-09 	2.1c.(5)		A D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Department requests a TCRP Application Amendment to program a net total of \$3,800,000 in new TCRP funding for PS&E and Construction for Project #74.1 – Pacific Surfliner; Oceanside Double Track. This amendment will also update the project schedule and funding plan. <i>(Related Item under 2.6e.(2).)</i> Resolution TAA-06-33, Amending Resolution TAA-03-03 	2.1c.(6)		A D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The San Diego Association of Governments requests a TCRP Application Amendment to update the project schedule for Project #74.6 – Pacific Surfliner; Leucadia Boulevard Grade Separation. <i>(Related Item 2.6e.(3).)</i> Resolution TAA-06-34, Amending Resolution TA-03-03 	2.1c.(7)		A D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Merced County Department of Public Works requests a TCRP Application Amendment to redistribute \$4,486,000 from Construction to R/W for Project #106 – Campus Parkway; build new arterial in Merced County from Route 99 to Yosemite Avenue. This amendment will also update the project schedule and funding plan. <i>(Related Item under 2.6e.(2).)</i> Resolution TAA-06-35, Amending Resolution TA-02-02 	2.1c.(8)		A D/C

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Department requests a TCRP Application Amendment to redistribute \$1,000 in TCRP funding from R/W to Construction for Project #108 – Route 5; add northbound lane to freeway through Mossdale “Y”, Route 205 to Route 120 in San Joaquin County. This amendment will also update the project schedule and funding plan. <i>(Related Items under 2.6e.(2).)</i> Resolution TAA-06-36, Amending Resolution TA-01-15 	2.1c.(9)		A D/C
	<p><u>Proposition 116 – Non-Urban County Project Approvals/Amendments – No Items This Month</u></p>	2.1d.		
	<p><u>Proposition 116 – Rail Program Project Approvals/Amendments</u></p>	2.1e.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project Application Amendment for the North San Diego County Transit Development Board's Sorrento-Miramar project to De-program a total of \$472,728. PUC 99629(a) and PUC 99642. Resolution PA-06-____, Amending Resolutions PA-00-07 and PA-96-20 	2.1e.(1)		A C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project Application Approval for the San Diego Association of Governments Del Mar Bluffs Stabilization Project (Project 2) to program a total of \$2,003,000. PUC 99629(a) and PUC 99642. Resolution PA-06-____ 	2.1e.(2)		A C
	<p><u>Environmental Matters</u></p>	2.2		
	<p><u>Environmental Matters – Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) – No Items This Month</u></p>	2.2a.		
	<p><u>Environmental Matters – Comments on Documents in Circulation (Draft EIR) – No Items this Month</u></p>	2.2b.		
	<p><u>Environmental Matters – Approval of Projects for Future Consideration of Funding, Route Adoption or New Public Road Connection (Final Negative Declaration or EIR)</u></p>	2.2c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Airport Road in Shasta County – Reconstruction Project from Riverside Avenue to Dersch Road, replace bridge and widen (TCRP #128) (ND). Resolution E-06-13 	2.2c.(1)		A C

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State Route 4 (East) in Contra Costa County – Widen to 8 lanes from Loveridge Road to State Route 160 (TCRP #16.2) (ND). Resolution E-06-14 	2.2c.(2)		A C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Route 16 in Yolo County – Install left turn pocket near Woodland (ND). Resolution E-06-15 	2.2c.(3)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Route 55 in Orange County – Modify an overcrossing and add High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) drop ramps in Santa Ana and Irvine (FEIR). <i>(Related item under 2.3b.)</i> Resolution E-06-16 	2.2c.(4)		A D
	<u>Highway Route Matters</u>	2.3		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Route Adoptions – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.3a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One New Public Road Connection on State Route 55 at the Alton Avenue Direct Access Ramps. 12-Ora-55 KP 12.10 (PM 7.52) in the City of Santa Ana. <i>(Related item under 2.2c.(4).)</i> Resolution S-728 	2.3b.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One Relinquishment Resolution -- 04-SCL-101-PM 40.5 (KP 65.26) Route 101 in the City of San Jose. Resolution R-3635 	2.3c.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vacation Resolutions – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.3d.		
	<u>Highway Right of Way Matters</u>	2.4		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Resolutions of Necessity – Appearances 	2.4a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- The 49er Lease, a California Corporation 10-Cal-4-PM 21.1 Resolution C-19364 	2.4a.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- The 49er Lease, a California Corporation 10-Cal-4-PM 21.1 Resolution C-19365 	2.4a.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Richard Wilmshurst, et al. 10-Cal-4-PM 21.1 Resolution C-19366 	2.4a.(3)		A D

Item Description	Ref. #	Status*
------------------	--------	---------

- | | | |
|--|-------|-----|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 37 Resolutions of Necessity Resolutions C-19368 through C-19375 and Resolutions C-19377 through C-19405 | 2.4b. | A D |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Airspace Lease Direct Negotiations – <u>No Items This Month</u> | 2.4c. | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Director’s Deed
-- Items #1 through #5
Excess Lands - Return to State: \$235,900
Return to Others: \$0 | 2.4d. | A D |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rescinding Resolution
-- Ida E. Ricci; Exxon Mobil Corporation (Lessee)
05-SLO-101/41-PM 45.6
Resolution CR-137, Rescinding Resolution C-19211 | 2.4e. | A D |

Highway Financial Matters

\$ 309,558,000	Total SHOPP/Minor Requested for Allocation
\$ 308,976,000	Total STIP Requested for Allocation
<u>\$ 26,632,000</u>	Total Supplemental Funds Needed
\$ 645,166,000	Total Project Funds Requested for Allocation
\$ 198,702,980	Contributions from Other Sources
<u>\$ 72,062,000</u>	Delegated Allocations
\$ 915,930,980	Total Project Funds
\$ 188,000,000	FY 2006-07 R/W Lump Sum Allocation
<u>\$ 103,000,000</u>	FY 2006-07 Minor Program Lump Sum Allocation
\$ 1,206,930,980	Grand Total

- | | | |
|--|----------|-----|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for Minor Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u> | 2.5a. | |
| | | |
| <u>Financial Allocations for SHOPP Projects</u> | | |
| 2.5b. | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for Two SHOPP Projects totaling \$3,937,000.
Resolution FP-05-80 | 2.5b.(1) | A D |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for 68 SHOPP Projects totaling \$163,423,000 amended into the SHOPP by Departmental action.
Resolution FP-05-81 | 2.5b.(2) | A D |

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for One SHOPP Transportation Enhancement Project for \$789,000. Resolution FP-05-82 	2.5b.(3)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Ten SHOPP Projects totaling \$84,777,000 programmed in the 2006 SHOPP. Resolution FP-05-__ 	2.5b.(4)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Three SHOPP Projects totaling \$8,683,000 amended into the 2006 SHOPP by Departmental Action. Resolution FP-05-__ 	2.5b.(5)		A D
	<u>Financial Allocations for STIP Projects</u>	2.5c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Six State-Administered STIP Projects on the State Highway System totaling \$111,293,000, plus \$148,388,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-05-83 	2.5c.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Two Locally-Administered STIP Projects on the State Highway System totaling \$13,950,000, plus \$7,658,000 from other sources.. Resolution FP-05-84 	2.5c.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for 25 Locally-Administered STIP Projects off the State Highway System totaling \$15,411,000, plus \$3,401,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-05-85 	2.5c.(3)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for State-Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.5c.(4)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for 38 Locally-Administered Transportation Enhancement Projects totaling \$15,988,000, plus \$5,207,980 from other sources. Resolution FP-05-86 	2.5c.(5)		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Four Locally-Administered Transportation Enhancement Projects totaling \$931,000. Resolution FP-05-___ 	2.5c.(5.1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Two Locally-Administered STIP Project off the State Highway System for \$250,000, Contingent upon Passage of the FY 2006-07 Budget Act Resolution FP-05-___ 	2.5c.(6)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Three Locally-Administered STIP Projects on the State Highway System for \$825,000. Resolution FP-05-87 	2.5c.(7)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for One Locally-Administered Transportation Enhancement Program Project on the State Highway System for \$6,739,000, plus \$4,841,000 from other sources, Contingent upon Passage of FY 2006-07 Budget Act. Resolution FP-05-___ 	2.5c.(8)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Nine Locally-Administered Transportation Enhancement Program Projects for \$18,338,000, plus \$16,558,000 from other sources, Contingent upon Passage of FY 2006-07 Budget Act. Resolution FP-05-___ 	2.5c.(9)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for One Locally-Administered Regional Rideshare Program Project for \$4,900,000, plus \$3,260,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-05-91 	2.5c.(10)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for One Locally-Administered Statewide Transportation Enhancement (STE) Program Project for \$3,779,000, plus \$1,621,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-05-88 	2.5c.(11)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for One Locally-Administered Transportation Enhancement Program Project for \$400,000, Contingent upon Passage of FY 2006-07 Budget Act. 	2.5c.(12)		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<u>Financial Allocations for Projects that Exceed 20 Percent of the Programmed Amount</u>	2.5d.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for STIP Projects that exceed 20 Percent of the Programmed Amount. Six projects totaling \$116,172,000. Resolution FP-05-89 	2.5d.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 06-Fre-180, STIP Project in Fresno County. Current programmed amount of \$1,379,000, with the cost increase of \$381,000 programmed in the 2006 STIP, for a total project cost of \$1,760,000, an increase of 28% over the current programmed amount. 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 06-Mad-99, STIP Project in Madera County. Current programmed amount of \$34,114,000, with the cost increase of \$24,386,000 programmed in the 2006 STIP, for a total project cost of \$58,500,000, an increase of 71% over the current programmed amount. 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 10-SJ-5, STIP Project in San Joaquin County. Current programmed amount of \$3,378,000, with a cost increase of \$5,133,000 programmed in the 2006 STIP, for a total STIP cost of \$8,511,000, an increase of 152% over the current programmed amount. 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 12-Ora-5, STIP Project in Orange County. Current programmed amount of \$1,815,000, with the cost increase of \$2,410,000 programmed in the 2006 STIP, for a total project cost of \$4,225,000, an increase of 133% over the current programmed amount. 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 12-Ora-90, STIP Project in Orange County. Current programmed amount of \$25,557,000, with the cost increase of \$13,563,000 programmed in the 2006 STIP, for a total STIP cost of \$39,120,000, an increase of 53% over the current programmed amount. 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for SHOPP Projects that exceed 20 Percent of the Programmed Amount. Five projects totaling \$47,949,000. Resolution FP-05-90 	2.5d.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 07-LA-405, SHOPP Project in Los Angeles County. Current programmed amount of \$1,220,000 and current estimate of \$1,940,000, for an increase of 59% over the programmed amount. 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 08-SBd-30, SHOPP Project in San Bernardino County. Current programmed amount of \$1,043,000 and current estimate of \$1,323,000, for an increase of 27% over the programmed amount. 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 08-SBd-395 – SHOPP Project in San Bernardino County. Current programmed amount of \$1,122,000 and current estimate of \$1,736,000, for an increase of 55% over the programmed amount. 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 12-Ora-73, SHOPP Project in Orange County. Current programmed amount of \$1,000,000 and current estimate of \$2,510,000, for an increase of 151% over the programmed amount. 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 12-Ora-74, SHOPP Project in Orange County. Current programmed amount of \$27,575,000 and current estimate of \$40,440,000, for an increase of 52% over the programmed amount. 			

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<u>Financial Allocations for Supplemental Funds</u>	2.5e.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects. One STIP project for \$4,100,000 to Settle Construction Claims. Resolution FA-05-15 -- 10-Tuo-108, STIP project in Tuolumne County. Current allocation is \$45,546,600. This request for \$4,100,000 to settle construction claims results in an increase of 10% over the current allotment. 	2.5e.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects. One SHOPP project for \$9,000,000 to Award Contract. Resolution FA-05-16 -- 03-Pla-80, SHOPP project in Placer County. Current allocation is \$26,520,000. This request for \$9,000,000 to award the construction contract results in an increase of 34% over the vote amount. 	2.5e.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects. Three SHOPP project totaling \$13,532,000 to Re-advertise Contracts. Resolution FA-05-17 -- 06-Ker-Kin-41, SHOPP project in Kern and Kings Counties. Current allocation is \$23,704,000. This request for \$9,766,000 to re-advertise the construction contract results in an increase of 41% over the vote amount. -- 09-Iny-395, SHOPP project in Inyo County. Current allocation is \$3,267,000. This request for \$2,297,000 to re-advertise the construction contract results in an increase of 70% over the vote amount. -- 12-Ora-L5804, SHOPP project in Orange County. Current allocation is \$2,972,000. This request for \$1,469,000 to re-advertise the construction contract results in an increase of 49% over the vote amount. 	2.5e.(3)		A D
	<u>Informational Report on Allocations Under Delegated Authority</u>	2.5f.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Informational Report on Emergency: G-11 Allocations. 28 Projects totaling \$45,970,000. 	2.5f.(1)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Informational Report on Seismic Retrofit (Proposition 192, Phase II) G-11 Allocations. One Project for \$802,000. 	2.5f.(2)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Informational Report on SHOPP Safety: G-03-10 Allocations. Five Projects totaling \$21,686,000. 	2.5f.(3)		I D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Informational Report on Minor Projects: G-05-05 Allocations. Eight Projects totaling \$3,604,000. 	2.5f.(4)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Informational Report on Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects: G-05-04 Allocations – <u>No Items this Month</u> 	2.5f.(5)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FY 2006-07 Right of Way Lump Sum Allocation for \$188,000,000. Resolution FM-05-05 	2.5g.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FY 2006-07 Minor Program Lump Sum Allocation for \$103,000,000. Resolution FM-05-06 	2.5h.		A D
	<u>Mass Transportation Financial Matters</u>			
	\$ 104,036,000 Total TCRP Requested for Allocation			
	\$ 56,650,000 Total STIP Requested for Allocation			
	\$ 2,003,000 Total Proposition 116 Requested for Allocation			
	\$ <u>(472,728)</u> Total Proposition 116 Allocation Amendments			
	\$ 162,216,272 Total State Funds Requested for Allocation			
	<u>Financial Allocations for STIP Projects</u>			
		2.6a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for Three Local Rail/Transit STIP Project totaling \$5,715,000. Resolution MFP-05-13 	2.6a.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for Six Local Rail/Transit STIP Projects Programmed in FY 2006-07 totaling \$50,935,000. Resolution MFP-05-14 	2.6a.(2)		A D
	<u>Financial Allocations for Proposition 116 Projects</u>			
		2.6b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for One State-Administered Proposition 116 Rail Program Project for \$2,003,000 in the City of Del Mar. Resolution BFP-05-08 	2.6b.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation Amendment for Two Proposition 116 Rail Program Projects to De-Allocate \$472,728. Resolution BFA-05-10, Amending Resolution BFP-02-03 	2.6c.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation Amendment for Multi-Program Funded Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.6d.		

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<u>Financial Allocations/Amendments for Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Projects</u>	2.6e.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Re-Allocation totaling \$8,285,000 in lapsing TCRP funds for Two TCRP Projects. Resolution TFP-06-12 	2.6e.(1)	A	D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for Ten TCRP Projects totaling \$95,551,000 in new TCRP funding. Resolution TFP-06-13 	2.6e.(2)	A	D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation to Reimburse One TCRP AB 1335 Letter of No Prejudice for \$200,000. Resolution TFP-06-14 	2.6e.(3)	A	D/C
	<u>Aeronautics Financial Matters – No Items This Month</u>	2.7		
	<u>Time Extension Requests per CTC Resolution G-03-19, STIP Guidelines, Section 65 – Timely Use of Funds / Proposition 116 Waiver Requests / Miscellaneous Requests</u>	2.8		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation 	2.8a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for One Local STIP Project for \$3,705,000 for the City of Tracy. Waiver-06-19 	2.8a.(1)	A	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for Nine STIP Projects totaling \$124,483,000. Waiver-06-20 	2.8a.(2)	A	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for 35 Local STIP Projects totaling \$45,038,200. Waiver-06-21 	2.8a.(3)	A	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for One Intercity Rail STIP Project for \$35,000 for the San Joaquin Route. Waiver-06-22 	2.8a.(4)	A	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for One Local Statewide Transportation Enhancement (STE) Project for \$2,923,000 in Los Angeles County. Waiver-06-29 	2.8a.(5)	A	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for 19 Local STIP Projects totaling \$4,855,000 with Early Components on the Pending Allocation List. Waiver-06-30 	2.8a.(6)	A	D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	• Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award	2.8b.		
	-- Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for One SHOPP Project for \$595,000 and One STIP Project for \$2,430,000, per FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria. Waiver-06-23	2.8b.(1)	A	D
	-- Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for One SHOPP project for \$3,267,000. Waiver-06-24	2.8b.(2)	A	D
	-- Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for Three Local STIP Projects totaling \$6,416,000. Waiver-06-25	2.8b.(3)	A	D
	-- Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for Three Local STIP Projects totaling \$705,000, per FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria. Waiver-06-26	2.8b.(4)	A	D
	• Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion for One Intercity Rail STIP Project for \$7,809,000 for the Pacific Surfliner Route. Waiver-06-27	2.8c.	A	D
	• Request to Extend the Period of Project Development Expenditure for One Local STIP Project for \$25,000 for the Merced County Association of Governments. Waiver-06-28	2.8d.	A	D
	• Request to Extend the Period of Project Reimbursement – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.8e.		
	<u>Technical Corrections to Previously Approved Resolutions – No Items This Month</u>	2.9		
	<u>PROGRAM STATUS</u>	3.		
	• Caltrans Monthly FY 2005-06 Finance Report	3.1	I	D
	• Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted but Not Awarded	3.2	I	D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	• Status of Construction Contract Award, per FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria	3.3		I D
	-- Monthly Status of Construction Contract Award for State Highway Projects, per FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria	3.3a.		I D
	-- Monthly Status of Construction Contract Award for Local Assistance STIP Projects, per FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria	3.3b.		I D
	-- Status of Construction Contract Award for Traffic Congestion Relief Program Projects, per FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria	3.3c.		I D
	• Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action	3.4		I D
	• Monthly Report on Local Assistance STIP Projects Previously Voted but not Awarded	3.5		I D
	• Report on Toll Bridge Program Oversight Committee Activity	3.6		I C
	• Preliminary Closeout Report for FY 2005-06 Right of Way Lump Sum Allocation	3.7		I D
	• Bi-annual Progress Report for Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program	3.8		A D
	• Status of Delivery of AB 3090 STIP Project Components	3.9		A D
	• FY 2005-06 3 rd Quarter Project Delivery Report	3.10		I D
	• FY 2005-06 3 rd Quarter Rail Operations Report	3.11		I D
	• Seventh Cycle AB 1012 Unobligated RSTP and CMAQ "Use it or Lose it" Balance Report	3.12		I D
	• FY 2005-06 Quarterly Local Assistance Lump Sum Allocation Status Report	3.13		I D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	• Quarterly Report on Non-Toll Seismic Retrofit Program	3.14		I D
	• Preliminary Closeout Report for FY 2005-06 Minor Program Lump Sum Allocation	3.15		I D
	<u>POLICY MATTERS</u>	4.		
	• State and Federal Legislative Matters	4.1		A C
	*The Commission may consider bills or resolutions newly introduced, recently amended, or calendared for Legislative committee or floor action, subsequent to publication of this agenda. For further information, call Executive Director John Barna at (916) 654-4245.			
	• STIP/SHOPP Pending Allocation List 34 SHOPP Projects totaling \$109,652,000; 51 STIP Projects totaling \$29,773,000.	4.2		A D
	• Notice of Technical Adjustment to the 2006 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Resolution G-06-____, Amending Resolution G-06-03	4.3		I C
	• Review of May Revise to Governor's Proposed FY 2005-06 Budget	4.4		A D
	• Short Term Mobility Projects	4.5		I D
	• Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG) Presentation on Smart Growth	4.6		A R
	• Adoption of the Rate for Local Government Matching of California Aid to Airport Program (CAAP) Resolution G-06-____	4.7		A D
	• Review of the Rate for State Matching of Federal Airport Improvement Program (AIP) Grants	4.8		I D
	• Workshop on Public/Private Partnerships	4.9		A D
	• Annual Analysis by State Treasurer of Bonding Capacity (GARVEE Bonds) of Federal Transportation Funds	4.10		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Six Month Estimate of Loan Capacity under AB 1012 Approval of Funding Level Termination of Loan Program under AB 1012 Resolution G-06-____, Replacing Resolution G-06-01 	4.11		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP/SHOPP Allocation Criteria Resolution G-06-____ 	4.12		A C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP PTA Programming Criteria Resolution G-06-____ 	4.13		A C
	<u>MODAL ISSUES</u>	5.		
	<u>Rail</u>	5.1		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presentation by SCRRA on Sealed Corridor Safety Program 	5.1		I R
	<u>Highways – No Items this Month</u>	5.2		
	<u>OTHER MATTERS / PUBLIC COMMENT</u>	6.		