

AGENDA

CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

<http://www.catc.ca.gov>

**August 17-18, 2005
Sacramento, California**

Wednesday, August 17, 2005

**6:00 p.m. Commissioners' Dinner
Rio City Café
1110 Front Street
Old Sacramento, California**

Thursday, August 18, 2005

**8:30 a.m. Commission Meeting (One Day Business Meeting Only)

Department of Water Resources
1416 9th Street,
First Floor Auditorium
Sacramento, California**

A copy of this meeting notice and agenda will be posted 10 days prior to the meeting and related book items will be posted 5 days prior to the meeting on the California Transportation Commission Website:
www.catc.ca.gov

Questions or inquiries about this meeting may be directed to the Commission staff at (916) 654-4245, 1120 N Street (MS-52), Sacramento, CA 95814. If any special accommodations are needed for persons with disabilities, please contact Mary Lea Filbert at (916) 654-4245. Requests for special accommodations should be made as soon as possible but at least five days prior to the scheduled meeting.

Persons attending the meeting who wish to address the California Transportation Commission on a subject to be considered at this meeting are asked to complete a Speaker Request Card and give it to the Executive Assistant prior to the discussion of the item. If you would like to present handouts/written material to the California Transportation Commission at the meeting, please provide a minimum of 25 copies.

NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED CTC MEETINGS (Subject to Change):
CTC Committee Meetings – To Be Determined
CTC Commission Meeting – September 25-26, 2005, Monterey

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
--	-------------------------	---------------	--	----------------

* "A" denotes an "Action" item; "I" denotes an "Information" item; "B" denotes a Business, Transportation and Housing (BTH) Agency item; "C" denotes a "Commission" item; "D" denotes a "Department" item; and "R" denotes a Regional Agency item.

FREQUENTLY USED TERMS: California Transportation Commission (Commission or CTC), California Department of Transportation (Department or Caltrans), Regional Improvement Program (RIP), Interregional Improvement Program (IIP), State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP), Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP), Environmental Phase (PA&ED), Design Phase (PS&E), Right of Way (R/W), Fiscal Year (FY).

GENERAL BUSINESS

- | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----|---|---|
| | 1. | | |
| • Roll Call | 1.1 | I | C |
| • Approval of Minutes for July 13-14, 2005 Meeting | 1.2 | A | C |
| • Commission Reports | 1.3 | A | C |
| • Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation | 1.4 | A | C |
| • Report by Agency Secretary and/or Deputy Secretary | 1.5 | I | B |

CALTRANS REPORT

- | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|---|---|
| • Report by Caltrans' Director and/or Deputy Director
-- Federal Reauthorization Update | 1.6 | I | D |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|---|---|

REGIONAL AGENCIES AND RURAL COUNTIES REPORT

- | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|-----|---|---|
| • Report by Regional Agencies Moderator | 1.7 | I | R |
| • Report by Rural Counties Task Force Chair | 1.8 | I | R |

INFORMATION CALENDAR

- | | | | |
|--------------------|----|---|---|
| | IC | I | C |
| • To Be Determined | | | |

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<u>CONSENT CALENDAR</u>	CC		A C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To Be Determined 			
	<u>PROJECT BUSINESS MATTERS</u>	2.		
	<u>Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Action</u>	2.1a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> STIP Amendment 04S-072 The Tulare County Association of Governments (TCAG) is requesting this amendment. TCAG proposes to reprogram \$402,000 of RIP programmed under the Tulare TE Reserve (PPNO D006) in FY 2006-07 to Construction in FY 2006-07 on a new Santa Fe Bike Path (PPNO D006A) project. 	2.1a.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> STIP Amendment 04S-073 The Department is requesting this amendment. The Department proposes to delete \$266,000 in the IIP from the Pismo Butterfly Parking Area project (PPNO 1805) in San Luis Obispo County. 	2.1a.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> STIP Amendment 04S-074 The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) is requesting this amendment. LACMTA is requesting \$21,979,000 in previously approved AB 3090 Replacement project funding (PPNOs 3554, 3702 and 3705) in FY 2008-09 be designated for Construction on the Route 5 Widening project (PPNO 2808). In addition, a total of \$2,000,000 in RIP Construction funds are reprogrammed from the Route 5/14 High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Connector project (PPNO 0168M) to Construction of the Route 5 Widening project (PPNO 2808) in FY 2008-09. LACMTA is programming a total of \$2,000,000 in local funds on the Route 5/14 High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Connector project (PPNO 0168M) for R/W in FY 2005-06. This amendment is a revenue neutral programming adjustment. 	2.1a.(3)		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<u>Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Notice</u>	2.1b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 04S-075 The Butte County Association of Governments (BCAG) is requesting this amendment. BCAG proposes to change the implementing agency for the Butte 99 Chico Auxiliary Lanes/Intersection project (PPNO 2410) from the Department to BCAG for the PS&E phase of the project. In addition, they propose to reprogram \$300,000 of RIP PS&E from FY 2004-05 to FY 2005-06. 	2.1b.(1)	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 04S-076 The Siskiyou County Local Transportation Commission (Siskiyou LTC) and the City of Yreka (City) are requesting this amendment. Siskiyou LTC proposes to reprogram \$256,000 in RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Reserve (PPNO 2398) from FY 2006-07 and FY 2007-08 to FY 2005-06 Construction for a new Yreka Downtown Bike and Pedestrian Path project (PPNO 2398B). 	2.1b.(2)	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 04S-077 The Department is requesting this amendment. The Department proposes to change the implementing agency from the Department to the United States Forest Service (USFS) for the East Sierra Interagency Visitor Center Expansion project (PPNO 0456). The Department also proposes to reprogram \$36,000 in the IIP from FY 2004-05 to FY 2005-06 and reprogram \$35,000 in the IIP in FY 2005-06 from Construction Support to Construction. 	2.1b.(3)	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 04S-078 The San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) is requesting this amendment. SANDAG proposes to revise the scope for the Mid-Coast Balboa Light Rail Transit (LRT) Extension project (PPNO 7307) by expanding the project limits to University City. SANDAG also proposes to reprogram \$4,000,000 in RIP funds in FY 2005-06 from PS&E to PA&ED. 	2.1b.(4)	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 04S-079 The Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) and the Department are requesting this amendment. OCTA and the Department propose to revise the scope for the Placentia Avenue Grade Separation project (PPNO 9656) and reprogram \$1,100,000 in RIP funds in FY 2005-06 from Construction to R/W. 	2.1b.(5)	I	D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 04S-080 The San Joaquin Council of Governments (SJCOG) is requesting this amendment. SJCOG requests the Commission approve this amendment for AB 3090 Reimbursement. SJCOG proposes to use local funds to replace a total of \$66,327,000 in RIP and IIP funds in FY 2006-07 for Construction on the Tracy Widening, Stage 2 and 3 project (PPNO 7965B), with later reimbursement (PPNO 7965C) in three equal payments of \$22,109,000 in FY 2008-09, FY 2009-10 and FY-2010-11. 	2.1b.(6)		I D
	<p><u>Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Application Approvals/Amendments</u></p>	2.1c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Contra Costa Transportation Authority is requesting a TCRP Application Amendment to program \$2,200,000 in new TCR funding for PA&ED and PS&E for Project #12.2 – Bay Area Transit Connectivity; Hercules Rail Station and related improvements along the Capitol Corridor in West Contra Costa County. This Amendment also updates the schedule and funding table for the project. <i>(Related item under 2.1c.(10).)</i> Resolution TAA-05-12, Amending Resolution TAA-03-02 	2.1c.(1)		A D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Department is requesting a TCRP Application Amendment to revise the project scope and update the schedule for Project #17 – Route 101, add a reversible HOV lanes through San Rafael - Sir Francis Drake Boulevard to North San Pedro Road in Marin County. <i>(Related item under 2.6e.(1).)</i> Resolution TAA-05-13, Amending Resolution TA-01-09 	2.1c.(2)		A D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The San Francisco County Transportation Authority is requesting a TCRP Application Amendment to program \$12,000,000 in new TCR funding for PA&ED and PS&E for Project #22 – Route 101; environmental study for reconstruction of Doyle Drive, from Lombard St./Richardson Avenue to Route 1 Interchange in City and County of San Francisco. This Amendment also updates the project schedule and funding plan. <i>(Related item under 2.1c.(10).)</i> Resolution TAA-05-14, Amending Resolution TA-01-11 	2.1c.(3)		A D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Alameda County Congestion Management Agency is requesting a TCRP Application Amendment to program \$6,350,000 in new TCR funding for R/W for Project #27.1 – Vasco Road Safety and Transit Enhancement Project in Alameda and Contra Costa Counties. This Amendment also updates the project schedule and funding plan. <i>(Related item under 2.1c.(10).)</i> Resolution TAA-05-15, Amending Resolution TA-01-06 	2.1c.(4)		A D/C

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Department and the Alameda County Congestion Management Agency are requesting a TCRP Application Amendment to revise the project scope for Project #31 – Route 580; construct eastbound and westbound HOV lanes from Tassajara Road/Santa Rita Road to Vasco Road in Alameda County. This Amendment also updates the project schedule and funding plan. <i>(Related item under 2.1c.(10).)</i> Resolution TAA-05-16, Amending Resolution TAA-03-12 	2.1c.(5)		A D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The San Diego North County Transit District is requesting a TCRP Application Amendment to update the project schedule and funding plan for Project #79 – North County Light Rail; build new 20-mile light rail line from Oceanside to Escondido in San Diego County. <i>(Related item under 2.6e.(1).)</i> Resolution TAA-05-17, Amending Resolution TA-01-13 	2.1c.(6)		A D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The California State University, Fresno (CSUF) is requesting two TCRP Application Amendments to transfer partial project scope and \$250,000 in TCR funding between sub-projects Project #97.1 – Operational improvements near CSUF in the City of Clovis in Fresno County, and Project #97.2 – Operational improvements in the City of Fresno. This Amendment also updates project scopes, schedules, and funding plans for each sub-project. In addition, this amendment designates CSUF as the implementing agency for Project #97.2. <i>(Related item under 2.1c.(10).)</i> Resolution TAA-05-18, Amending Resolutions TA-01-09, and TAA-03-02. 	2.1c.(7)		A D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District is requesting a TCRP Application Amendment to update the project schedule and funding plan for Project #156 – Seismic retrofit and core segment improvements for the Bay Area Rapid Transit system. <i>(Related item under 2.6e.(3).)</i> Resolution TAA-05-19, Amending Resolution TAA-04-13 	2.1c.(8)		A D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Sacramento Area Council of Governments / Yolo County Transportation District is requesting a TCRP Application Amendment to update the project schedule for Project #119.2 – Yolo Bus Service. The agency is also requesting an advance of \$610,000 as part of this amendment. <i>(Related item under 2.6e.(1).)</i> Resolution TAA-05-20, Amending Resolution TAA-01-02 	2.1c.(9)		A D/C

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Letter of No Prejudice (LONP) Approvals. Five LONP Requests totaling \$21,264,000. Resolution TL-05- -- Project #12.2 – \$2,200,000 for PA&ED and PS&E, Bay Area Transit Connectivity - Hercules Rail Station and related improvements in West Contra Costa County. Applicant Agency: Contra Costa Transportation Authority <i>(Related item under 2.1c.(1).)</i> -- Project #22 – \$6,000,000 for PA&ED, Route 101 environmental study for reconstruction of Doyle Drive, from Lombard St./Richardson Avenue to Route 1 Interchange in City and County of San Francisco. Applicant Agency: San Francisco County Transportation Authority <i>(Related item under 2.1c.(3).)</i> -- Project #27.1 – \$6,350,000 for R/W, Vasco Road Safety and Transit Enhancement Project in Alameda and Contra Costa Counties. Applicant Agency: Alameda County Congestion Management Agency (ACCMA) <i>(Related item under 2.1c.(4).)</i> -- Project #31 – \$6,000,000 for PS&E, Route 580 - construct eastbound and westbound HOV lanes from Tassajara Road/Santa Rita Road to Vasco Road in Alameda County. Applicant Agency: ACCMA <i>(Related item under 2.1c.(5).)</i> -- Project #97.2 – \$714,000 for PS&E and R/W, Operational improvements near California State University, Fresno in the City of Fresno. Applicant Agency: California State University, Fresno <i>(Related item under 2.1c.(7).)</i> 	2.1c.(10)		A D/C
	<p><u>Proposition 116 – Non-Urban County Project Approvals/Amendments</u></p>	2.1d.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposition 116 Non-Urban County Project Approval for Tehama County to program \$70,111 in Proposition 116 Bond funds for a Transit Vehicle Purchase project. <i>(Concurrent allocation under item 2.6b.(1); related allocation under item 2.6a.(1).)</i> Resolution PA-05-___ 	2.1d.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposition 116 Non-Urban County Project Approval Amendment for the Council of San Benito County Governments to De-Program \$2,834 Proposition 116 funds from a completed Vehicle Acquisition project. <i>(Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(2).)</i> Resolution PA-05-___, Amending Resolution PA-97-13 	2.1d.(2)		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<u>Proposition 116 – Rail Project Approvals/ Amendments</u>	2.1e.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposition 116 Rail Program Project Approval Amendment to De-Program Four Projects for the Alameda Corridor Grade Separation Projects at \$5,171,684 in Cost Savings. <i>(Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(1).)</i> Resolution PA-05-____, Amending Resolutions PA-94-25; PA-96-16; PA 99-19, PA-99-21 	2.1e.(1)		A C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposition 116 Rail Program Project Approval Amendment to De-Program Nine Projects from the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board at \$2,648,478 in Cost Savings. <i>(Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(4).)</i> Resolution PA-05-____, Amending Resolutions PA-93-53; PA-93-52; PA-97-11; PA 96-33; PA-96-32; PA-00-01 	2.1e.(2)		A C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposition 116 Rail Program Project Approval Amendment to De-Program 16 Projects from the Department of Transportation at \$4,021,815 in Cost Savings. <i>(Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(7).)</i> Resolution PA-05-____, Amending Resolutions PA-96-26; PA 00-03, PA-95-18; PA-91-03; PA-00-06; PA-99-09; PA-98-15; PA-96-19; PA 96-03; PA-94-16; PA-92-02; PA-01-08; PA-92-04; PA-97-05 	2.1e.(3)		A C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposition 116 Rail Program Project Approval Amendment to De-Program the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority’s Los Angeles County Green Line Project at \$62,083 in Cost Savings. <i>(Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(3).)</i> Resolution PA-05-____, Amending Resolution PA-96-31 	2.1e.(4)		A C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposition 116 Rail Program Project Approval Amendment to De-Program the Santa Clara County Transit District’s Girder Rail Replacement Project at \$137,957 in Cost Savings. <i>(Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(3).)</i> Resolution PA-05-____, Amending Resolution PA-98-22 	2.1e.(5)		A C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposition 116 Rail Program Project Approval Amendment to De-Program the San Joaquin Regional Rail Commission’s San Joaquin ACE Stations Improvements Project at \$65,130 in Cost Savings. <i>(Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(3).)</i> Resolution PA-05-____, Amending Resolution PA-01-05 	2.1e.(6)		A C

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposition 116 Rail Program Project Approval Amendment to De-Program the Sacramento Regional Transit District's Butterfield to Mather Road Extension Project at \$4,931 in Cost Savings. <i>(Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(3).)</i> Resolution PA-05-____, Amending Resolution PA-00-12 	2.1e.(7)		A C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposition 116 Rail Program Project Approval Amendment to De-Program Two Projects from the North San Diego County Transit Development Board at \$11,015 in Cost Savings. <i>(Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(3).)</i> Resolution PA-05-____, Amending Resolutions PA-94-09, and PA-00-07 	2.1e.(8)		A C
	<u>Proposition 116 – Water-Borne Ferry Approvals/ Amendments</u>	2.1f.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposition 116 Water-Borne Ferry Program Project Approval Amendment to De-Program the City of Alameda's Alameda Main Street Barge Rehabilitation Project at \$671 in Cost Savings. <i>(Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(6).)</i> Resolution PA-05-____, Amending Resolution PA-96-23 	2.1f.(1)		A C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposition 116 Water-Borne Ferry Program Project Approval Amendments to De-Program Three Projects at \$28,679 in Cost Savings. <i>(Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(6).)</i> Resolution PA-05-____, Amending Resolution PA-93-21 	2.1f.(2)		A C
	<u>Proposition 116 – Bicycle Program Approvals/ Amendments</u>	2.1g.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposition 116 Bicycle Program Project Approval Amendments to De-Program Four Projects at \$460,851 in Cost Savings. <i>(Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(5).)</i> Resolution PA-05-____, Amending Resolutions PA-93-28; PA-96S-52; and PA-94-11 	2.1g.(1)		A C
	<u>Environmental Matters</u>	2.2		
	<u>Environmental Matters – Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) – No Items This Month</u>	2.2a.		

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<u>Environmental Matters – Comments on Documents in Circulation (Draft EIS/EIR)</u>	2.2b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Route 55 in Orange County – Modify an overcrossing and add High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) drop ramps in Santa Ana and Irvine (DEIR). 	2.2b.		A D
	<u>Environmental Matters – Approval of Projects for Future Consideration of Funding, Route Adoption or New Public Road Connection (Final Negative Declaration or EIR)</u>	2.2c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 44 in Shasta County – Increase capacity and improve operations and safety in Redding (ND). Resolution E-05-14 	2.2c.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 65 in Tulare County – Widen to four-lane expressway near Terra Bella (FEIR). Resolution E-05-15 	2.2c.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding -- Third Main Track and Seven Grade Separations, Burlington Northern Santa Fe East/West Main Line Railroad Track (TCRP #35.2, #54.2 and #54.3) (FEIR) Resolution E-05-16 	2.2c.(3)		A C
	<u>Highway Route Matters</u>	2.3		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Route Adoptions – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.3a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New Public Road Connections – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.3b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Four Relinquishment Resolutions 	2.3c.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 5-SCr-1-PM 2.27 Route 1 in the County of Santa Cruz Resolution R-3612 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 7-LA-2-PM 5.9/7.7 Route 2 in the City of Beverly Hills Resolution R-3613 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 8-SBd-30-PM 0.0/0.25 Route 30 in the City of Upland Resolution R-3614 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 12-Ora-73-PM 4.3 Route 73 in the City of Irvine Resolution R-3615 			

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	• One Vacation Resolution	2.3d.		A D
	-- 3-Sut-20-PM 4.2/5.2 Route 20 in the County of Sutter Resolution A-861			
	<u>Highway Right of Way Matters</u>	2.4		
	• Resolutions of Necessity – Appearances – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.4a.		
	• 23 Resolutions of Necessity Resolutions C-19124 through C-19146	2.4b.		A D
	• Airspace Lease Direct Negotiations – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.4c.		
	• Director’s Deeds	2.4d.		
	-- Items #1 through #21 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$6,234,087 Return to Others: \$0	2.4d.(1)		A D
	-- Item #1 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$68,885 Return to Others: \$0	2.4d.(2)		A D
	• Rescinding Resolutions - <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.4e.		
	<u>Highway Financial Matters</u>			
	\$ 279,848,200 Total SHOPP/Minor Requested for Allocation			
	\$ 137,878,000 Total STIP Requested for Allocation			
	<u>\$ 79,842,000</u> Total Other Requested for Allocation			
	\$ 497,568,200 Total Funds Requested for Allocation			
	\$ 188,098,000 Contributions from Other Sources			
	<u>\$ 51,726,000</u> Delegated Allocations			
	\$ 737,392,600 Grand Total			
	<u>Financial Allocations for District Minor Projects - No Items This Month</u>	2.5a.		

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<u>Financial Allocations for SHOPP Projects</u>	2.5b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for 40 SHOPP Projects totaling \$255,569,000. Resolution FP-05-13 	2.5b.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for 18 Projects totaling \$24,279,200 amended into the SHOPP by Departmental action, plus \$680,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-05-14 	2.5b.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for SHOPP Transportation Enhancement Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.5b.(3)		
	<u>Financial Allocations/Amendments for STIP Projects</u>	2.5c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Three State-Administered STIP Projects on the State Highway System totaling \$91,147,000, plus \$155,822,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-05-15 	2.5c.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Locally-Administered STIP Projects on the State Highway System – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.5c.(2)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for 27 Locally-Administered STIP Projects off the State Highway System totaling \$13,138,000, plus \$7,290,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-05-16 	2.5c.(3)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for 51 Locally-Administered STIP Projects off the State Highway System totaling \$19,813,000, plus \$3,643,000 - from other sources. Resolution FP-05-___ 	2.5c.(3.1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for One State-Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Project for \$500,000. Resolution FP-05-17 	2.5c.(4)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Nine Locally-Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects totaling \$1,229,000, plus \$20,663,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-05-18 	2.5c.(5)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for 12 Locally-Administered STIP Planning, Programming and Monitoring Projects totaling \$4,010,000. Resolution FP-05-19 	2.5c.(6)		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for Three Locally-Administered STIP Regional Rideshare Program Projects totaling \$5,167,000. Resolution FP-05-___ 	2.5c.(7)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for One Locally-Administered AB 3090 Cash Reimbursement Project for \$1,460,000 for the Alameda County Congestion Management Agency. <i>(Related item under 2.5c.(1).)</i> Resolution FP-05-20 	2.5c.(8)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for Two STIP Projects totaling \$1,344,000 that Match Federal Public Lands Projects in Trinity County. Resolution FP-05-___ 	2.5c.(9)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for Projects that exceed 20 Percent of their Programmed Amount. Two projects totaling \$53,196,000. Resolution FP-05-22 	2.5d.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 06-Ker-58 – STIP project in Kern County. Current programmed value of \$2,884,000 and current estimate of \$4,897,000 for an increase of 70% over the programmed amount. 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 07-Ven-23 – STIP project in Ventura County. Current programmed value of \$34,180,000 and current estimate of \$48,299,000 for an increase of 41% over the programmed amount. 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects. Four projects totaling \$26,646,000. Resolution FA-05-02 	2.5e.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 06-Kin-43 – SHOPP project in Kings County. Current allocation is \$680,000. This request for \$218,000 to award the construction contract results in an increase of 32% over the voted amount. 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 08-SBd-138 – SHOPP project in San Bernardino County. Current allocation is \$22,785,000. This request for \$20,641,000 to advertise the construction contract results in an increase of 90% over the voted amount. 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 09-Mno-395 – SHOPP project in Mono County. Current allocation is \$3,063,000. This request for \$1,837,000 to award the construction contract results in an increase of 60% over the voted amount. 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 11-Imp-86 – SHOPP project in Imperial County. Current allocation is \$14,300,000. This request for \$3,950,000 to award the construction contract results in an increase of 28% over the voted amount. 			

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<u>Informational Report on Allocations Under Delegated Authority</u>	2.5f.		
	• Informational Report on Emergency: G-11 Allocations. 27 Projects totaling \$13,274,400.	2.5f.(1)	I	D
	• Informational Report on Seismic Retrofit (Proposition 192, Phase II) G-11 Allocations – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.5f.(2)		
	• Informational Report on SHOPP Safety: G-03-10 Allocations. Two Projects totaling \$7,977,000.	2.5f.(3)	I	D
	• Informational Report on Minor Projects: G-05-05 Allocations. 60 Projects totaling \$30,475,000.	2.5f.(4)	I	D
	<u>Mass Transportation Financial Matters</u>			
	\$ 198,426,000 Total TCRP Requested for Allocation			
	\$ 5,602,000 Total STIP Requested for Allocation			
	\$ 136,997 Total Proposition 116 Requested for Allocation			
	<u>\$ 49,552,000</u> Total Other (Intercity Rail Allocation, 2.6f.)			
	\$ 253,787,108 Total State Funds Requested for Allocation			
	<u>\$ (12,605,113)</u> Total Proposition 116 De-Allocation Amendments			
	\$ 241,181,995 Grand Net Total State Allocations			
	<u>Financial Allocation for STIP Rail/Transit</u>	2.6a.		
	• Financial Allocation for Four Local STIP Rail/Transit Projects totaling \$2,212,000. Resolution MFP-05-04	2.6a.(1)	A	D
	• Financial Allocation for One Local STIP Rail/Transit Project for \$3,300,000. Resolution MFP-05-05	2.6a.(2)	A	D
	• Financial Allocation for One State-Administered Rail STIP Transportation Enhancement Project for \$90,000. Resolution MFP-05-06	2.6a.(3)	A	D
	• Financial Allocation for One Local Proposition 116 Non-Urban County Program Project in Tehama County for \$70,111. Resolution BFP-05-02	2.6b.(1)	A	D
	• Financial Allocation for One State Proposition 116 Rail Program Project in Ventura County for \$136,997. (Concurrent Application Amendment under 2.1e.(3).) Resolution BFP-05-03	2.6b.(2)	A	D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial De-Allocation of \$5,171,684 from Four Proposition 116 Grade Separation Program projects under PUC Section 99624. <i>(Concurrent Application Amendment under 2.1e(1).)</i> Resolution BFA-05-02, Amending Resolutions BFP-97-06, BFP-97-23, BFP-99-02, and BFP-99-07 	2.6c.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial De-Allocation of \$2,834 from One Local Proposition 116 Non Urban Program project under PUC Section 99628. <i>(Concurrent Application Amendment under 2.1d(1).)</i> Resolution BFA-05-03, Amending Resolution BFP-01-07 	2.6c.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial De-Allocation of \$281,116 from Six Local Proposition 116 Rail Program project under PUC Sections 99629(a), 99630, 99641, 99642, 99643, and 99644. <i>(Concurrent Application Amendment under 2.1e.(4); 2.1e.(5); 2.1e.(6); 2.1e.(7); and 2.1e.(8).)</i> Resolution BFA-05-04, Amending Resolutions BFP-93-64, BFP-98-12, BFP-99-12, BFP-00-10, BFA-99-05, and BFA-99-10 	2.6c.(3)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial De-Allocation of \$2,648,478 from Nine Local Proposition 116 Rail Program projects under PUC Sections 99636(a), 99636(b), and 99636(c). <i>(Concurrent Application Amendment under 2.1e.(2).)</i> Resolution BFA-05-05, Amending Resolutions BFP-95-11, BFP-95-13, BFP-97-21, BFP-97-22, BFP=97-28, BFP-99-02, BFP-99-07, and BFP-99-10 	2.6c.(4)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial De-Allocation of \$460,851 from Four Proposition 116 Bicycle Program projects under PUC Section 99650. <i>(Concurrent Application Amendment under 2.1g.(1).)</i> Resolution BFA-05-06, Amending Resolutions BFP-95-25, BFP-95-36, BFP-98-25, and BFP-94-36 	2.6c.(5)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial De-Allocation of \$29,350 from Four Local Proposition 116 Water-borne Ferry Program projects under PUC Section 99651. <i>(Concurrent Application Amendment under 2.1f.(1); and 2.1f.(2).)</i> Resolution BFA-05-07, Amending Resolutions BFP-93-11, BFP-93-21, BFP-93-85, and BFP-93-86 	2.6c.(6)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial De-Allocation of \$4,010,800 from 17 State Proposition 116 Intercity Rail Program projects under PUC Sections 99622(a), 99622(c), 99623(a), 99649(a), and 99629(a). <i>(Concurrent Application Amendment under 2.1e.(3).)</i> Resolution BFA-05-08, Amending Resolutions BFP-91-05, BFP-91-08, BFP-96-04, BFP-01-03, BFP-91-16, BFP-91-19, BFP-94-03, BFP-95-38, BFP-96-13, BFP-98-04, BFP-98-26, BFP-99-12, BFP-99-13, BFA-91-05, BFA-91-05, BFA-95-07, BFA-99-02, BFA-99-05, MBFP-96-01 and MBFP-00-01 	2.6c.(7)		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation Amendment for Multi-Program Funded Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.6d.		
	<p><u>Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocations / Allocation Amendments</u></p>	2.6e.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Four TCR Projects totaling \$180,261,000 in new TCR funding. Resolution TFP-05-10 	2.6e.(1)	A	D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for One TCRP AB 1335 Letter of No Prejudice Project for \$1,635,000. Resolution TFP-05-11 	2.6e.(2)	A	D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Two TCR Projects totaling \$16,530,000 in new TCR funding. <i>(Related item under 2.1c.(8))</i> Resolution TFP-05-____ 	2.6e.(3)	A	D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for \$49,552,000 in Public Transportation Account funds to the Department for intercity rail and feeder bus services on the San Joaquin and Pacific Surfliner Routes. Resolution MFP-05-07 	2.6f.	A	D
	<p><u>Aeronautics Financial Matters – No Items This Month</u></p>	2.7		
	<p><u>Time Extension Requests per CTC Resolution G-03-19, STIP Guidelines, Section 65 – Timely Use of Funds / Proposition 116 Waiver Requests / Miscellaneous Requests</u></p>	2.8		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.8a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.8b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.8c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request to Extend the Period of Project Development Expenditure for One Local STIP Project for \$24,000. Waiver-05-20 	2.8d.	A	D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Request to Extend the Period of Project Reimbursement – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.8e.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Request for Waiver to Resolution G-99-25, Commission’s Guidelines for Allocating, Monitoring and Auditing of Funds for Local Assistance Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.8f.		
	<u>Technical Corrections to Previously Approved Resolutions – No Items This Month</u>	2.9		
	<u>PROGRAM STATUS</u>	3.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Caltrans Monthly FY 2005-06 Finance Report 	3.1	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted but Not Awarded 	3.2	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action 	3.3	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monthly Report on Local Assistance STIP Projects Previously Voted but not Awarded 	3.4	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Status of STIP Cash Commitments for AB 3090 Reimbursements and GARVEE Debt Service 	3.5	I	C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FY 2004-05 4th Quarter Project Delivery Report 	3.6	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FY 2004-05 4th Quarter Rail Operations Report 	3.7	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2005 1st Quarter Seismic Safety Retrofit Report 	3.8	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2005 1st Quarter Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Report 	3.9	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quarterly Report on the Status of Delivery of AB 3090 Project Components 	3.10	A	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Draft Schedule of State Contributions for Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit and Replacement Projects 	3.11	A	C

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<u>POLICY MATTERS</u>	4.		
	• State and Federal Legislative Matters *	4.1		A C
	*The Commission may consider bills or resolutions newly introduced, recently amended, or calendared for Legislative committee or floor action, subsequent to publication of this agenda. For further information, call Executive Director Diane Eidam at (916) 654-4245.			
	• Aeronautics Account Pending Allocations -- 18 Projects totaling \$3,084,200	4.2		I D
	• Draft Amendment to STIP Guidelines	4.3		A C
	• Draft Amendment to System Performance Measures	4.4		A D
	• Update to Draft 2006 Fund Estimate Resolution G-05-____	4.5		A D
	• Caltrans' Process for Dealing with Emergency Projects	4.6		A D
	• 2006 Report of STIP Balances County and Interregional Shares	4.7		A C
	• Draft Capital Improvement Plan Element of the California Aviation System Plan	4.8		I D
	• Draft 2005 Policy Element of the California Aviation System Plan	4.9		I D
	• Draft 2005-06 Federal Section 5310 Program Priority List	4.10		I C
	<u>MODAL ISSUES</u>	5.		
	<u>OTHER MATTERS / PUBLIC COMMENT</u>	6.		