



ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM - CYCLE 2

Application Form for Part A

Parts B & C must be completed using a separate document

PROJECT unique APPLICATION NO.:

10-City of Merced-1

Auto populated

Total ATP Funds Requested:

\$ 134

(in 1000s)

Auto populated

Important: Applicants must follow the CTC Guidelines and Chapter 22 of the Local Assistance Program Guidelines, and include attachments and signatures as required in those documents. Ineligible project elements may result in a lower score/ranking or a lower level of ATP funding. Incomplete applications may be disqualified.

Applicants are expected to use the corresponding “step-by-step” Application Instructions and Guidance to complete the application (3 Parts):

Part A: General Project Information

Part B: Narrative Questions

Part C: Application Attachments

Application Part A: General Project Information

Implementing Agency: This agency must enter into a Master Agreement with Caltrans and will be financially and contractually responsible for the delivery of the project within all pertinent Federal and State funding requirements, including being responsible and accountable for the use and expenditure of program funds. This agency is responsible for the accuracy of the technical information provided in the application and is required to sign the application.

IMPLEMENTING AGENCY'S NAME:

City of Merced

IMPLEMENTING AGENCY'S ADDRESS

CITY

ZIP CODE

678 W. 18th Street

Merced

CA

95340

IMPLEMENTING AGENCY'S CONTACT PERSON:

Bill King

CONTACT PERSON'S TITLE:

Principal Planner

CONTACT PERSON'S PHONE NUMBER:

209-385-4768

CONTACT PERSON'S EMAIL ADDRESS :

kingb@cityofmerced.org



Project Partnering Agency: Entities that are unable to apply for Active Transportation Program funds or that are unable to enter into a Master Agreement with the State must partner with an eligible applicant that can implement the project. **In addition, entities that are unfamiliar with the requirements to administer a Federal-Aid Highway Program project may partner with an eligible applicant that can implement the project.**

If another entity (Partnering Agency) agrees to assume responsibility for the ongoing operations and maintenance of the facility, documentation of the agreement (e.g., letter of intent) must be submitted with the project application, and a copy of the Memorandum of Understanding or Interagency Agreement between the parties must be submitted with the first request for allocation. For these projects, the Project Partnering Agency's information shall be provided below.

(The Grant Writer's or Preparer's information should not be provided)

PROJECT PARTNERING AGENCY'S NAME:

PROJECT PARTNERING AGENCY'S ADDRESS

CITY

ZIP CODE

		CA	
--	--	----	--

PROJECT PARTNERING AGENCY'S CONTACT PERSON:

CONTACT PERSON'S TITLE:

CONTACT PERSON'S PHONE NUMBER:

CONTACT PERSON'S EMAIL ADDRESS :

MASTER AGREEMENTS (MAs):

Does the Implementing Agency currently have a MA with Caltrans?

Yes No

Implementing Agency's Federal Caltrans MS number

Implementing Agency's State Caltrans MS number

10-5085R

* Implementing Agencies that do not currently have a MA with Caltrans, must be able to meet the requirements and enter into an MA with Caltrans prior to funds allocation. The MA approval process can take 6 to 12 months to complete and there is no guarantee the agency will meet the requirements necessary for the State to enter into a MA with the agency. Delays could also result in a failure to meeting the CTC Allocation timeline requirements and the loss of ATP funding.

PROJECT NAME: (To be used in the CTC project list)

Application Number: out of Applications

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Max of 250 Characters)

PROJECT LOCATION: (Max of 250 Characters)



Will any infrastructure-improvements permanently or temporarily encroach on the State right-of-way? Yes No

If yes, see the application instructions for more details on the required coordination and documentation.

Project Coordinates: (latitude/longitude in decimal format) Lat. 37.300000 /long. 120.483300

Congressional District(s):
 State Senate District(s): State Assembly District(s):
 Caltrans District(s):
 County:
 MPO:
 RTPA:
 MPO UZA Population:

ADDITIONAL PROJECT GENERAL DETAILS: (Must be consistent with Part B of Application)

ESTIMATION OF ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION USERS

Existing Counts:	Pedestrians	<u>3,839</u>	Bicyclists	<u>3,839</u>
One Year Projection:	Pedestrians	<u>3,954</u>	Bicyclists	<u>3,954</u>
Five Year Projection:	Pedestrians	<u>4,415</u>	Bicyclists	<u>4,415</u>

BICYCLE AND/OR PEDESTRIAN INFRASTRUCTURE (Check all that apply)

Bicycle: Class I Class II Class III Other _____
 Pedestrian: Sidewalk Crossing Other _____
 Multiuse Trails/Paths: Meets "Class I" Design Standards Other _____

DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES

Project contributes toward the Disadvantaged Communities funding requirement: the project must clearly demonstrate a direct, meaningful, and assured benefit to a community that meets any of the following criteria: Yes No

If yes, which criterion does the project meet in regards to the Disadvantaged Community (mark all that apply):

Household Income Yes No CalEnvioScreen Yes No
 Student Meals Yes No Local Criteria Yes No

Is the majority of the project physically located within the limits of a Disadvantaged Community: Yes No

CORPS

Does the agency intend to utilize the Corps: Yes No



PROJECT TYPE (Check only one: I, NI or I/NI)

Infrastructure (I) **OR Non-Infrastructure (NI)** **OR Combination (N/NI)**

"Plan" applications to show as NI only

Development of a Plan in a Disadvantaged Community: Yes No

If Yes, check all Plan types that apply:

- Bicycle Plan**
- Pedestrian Plan**
- Safe Routes to School Plan**
- Active Transportation Plan**

Indicate any of the following plans that your agency currently has: (Check all that apply)

Bicycle Plan Pedestrian Plan Safe Routes to School Plan Active Transportation Plan

PROJECT SUB-TYPE (check all Project Sub-Types that apply):

- Bicycle Transportation** % of Project 65.0 % (ped + bike must = 100%)
- Pedestrian Transportation** % of Project 35.0 %
- Safe Routes to School** *(Also fill out Bicycle and Pedestrian Sub-Type information above)*

How many schools does the project impact/serve: 24

If the project involves more than one school: 1) Insert "Multiple Schools" in the School Name, School Address, and distance from school; 2) Fill in the student information based on the total project; and 3) Include an attachment to the application which clearly summarizes the following school information and the school official signature and person to contact for each school.

School name: multiple schools

School address: multiple schools

District name: multiple districts - see Attachment K (A-K)

District address: multiple districts - see Attachment K (A-K)

Co.-Dist.-School Code: multiple schools - see A-K

School type (K-8 or 9-12 or Both) Both Project improvements maximum distance from school 1.0 mile

Total student enrollment: 13,942

% of students that currently walk or bike to school% 40.0 %

Approx. # of students living along route proposed for improvement: _____

Percentage of students eligible for free or reduced meal programs ** _____ %

**Refer to the California Department of Education website: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/sh/cw/filesafdc.asp>

A map must be attached to the application which clearly shows the limits of: 1) the student enrollment area, 2) the students considered to be along the walking route being improved, 3) the project improvements.



Trails (Multi-use and Recreational): *(Also fill out Bicycle and Pedestrian Sub-Type information above)*

Trails Projects constructing multi-purpose trails and are generally eligible in the Active Transportation Program. If the applicant believes all or part of their project meets the federal requirements of the Recreational Trails Program they are encouraged to seek a determination from the California Department of Parks and Recreation on the eligibility of their project to compete for this funding. This is optional but recommended because some trails projects may compete well under this funding program.

For all trails projects:

Do you feel a portion of your project is eligible for federal Recreational Trail funding? Yes No

If yes, estimate the total projects costs that are eligible for the Recreational Trail funding: _____

If yes, estimate the % of the total project costs that serve "transportation" uses? _____ %

Applicants intending to pursue "Recreational Trails Program funding" **must submit** the required information to the California Department of Parks and Recreation prior to the ATP application submissions deadline. (See the Application Instructions for details)

PROJECT STATUS and EXPECTED DELIVERY SCHEDULE

Applicants need to enter **either** the date the milestone was completed (for all milestones already complete prior to submitting the application) **or** the date the applicant anticipates completing the milestone. Applicants should enter "N/A" for all CTC Allocations that will not be requested as part of the project. Per CTC Guidelines, all project applications must be submitted with the expectation of receiving partially federally funded and therefore the schedule below must account for the extra time needed for federal project delivery requirements and approvals. *See the application instructions for more details.*

The agency is responsible for meeting all CTC delivery requirements or their ATP funding will be forfeited. For projects consisting of entirely non-infrastructure elements are not required to complete all standard infrastructure project milestones listed below. Non-infrastructure projects only have to provide dates for the milestones identified with a "*" and can provide "N/A" for the rest.

MILESTONE:	DATE COMPLETED	OR	EXPECTED DATE
CTC - PA&ED Allocation:	N/A		7/1/16
* CEQA Environmental Clearance:	N/A		4/27/19
* NEPA Environmental Clearance:	N/A		3/30/19
CTC - PS&E Allocation:	N/A		N/A
CTC - Right of Way Allocation:	N/A		N/A
* Right of Way Clearance & Permits:	N/A		N/A
Final/Stamped PS&E package:	N/A		N/A
* CTC - Construction Allocation:			10/1/16
* Construction Complete:			4/27/19
* Submittal of "Final Report"			6/1/19



PROJECT FUNDING (in 1000s)

Per CTC Guidelines, Local Matching funds are not required for any ATP projects, but Local Leveraging funds are strongly encouraged. See the Application instructions for more details and requirements relating to ATP funding.

ATP funds being requested for this application/project by project delivery phase:

ATP funds for PA&D:	\$0	
ATP funds for PS&E:	\$0	
ATP funds for Right of Way:	\$0	
ATP funds for Construction:	\$0	
ATP funds for Non-Infrastructure:	\$134	<i>(All NI funding is allocated in a project's Construction Phase)</i>

Total ATP funds being requested for this application/project: \$134

Local funds leveraging or matching the ATP funds: \$72

For local funding to be considered Leveraging/Matching it must be for ATP eligible activities and costs. Per CTC Guidelines, Local Matching funds are not required for any ATP projects, but Local Leveraging funds are strongly encouraged. See the Application instructions for more details and requirements relating to ATP funding.

Additional Local funds that are 'non-participating' for ATP: \$0

These are local funds required for the overall project, but not for ATP eligible activities and costs. They are not considered leverage/match.

TOTAL PROJECT FUNDS: \$206

ATP - FUNDING TYPE REQUESTED:

Per the CTC Guidelines, All ATP projects must be eligible to receive federal funding. Most ATP projects will receive federal funding, however some projects may be granted State only funding (SOF) for all or part of the project.

Do you believe your project warrants receiving state-only funding? Yes No

If "Yes", provide a brief explanation. (Max of 250 characters) Applicants requesting SOF must also attach an "Exhibit 22-f"

ATP PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST (PPR): In addition to the project funding information provided in Part A of the application, all applicants must complete the ATP Project Programming Request form and include it as Attachment B. More information and guidance on the completion and submittal of this form is located in the Application Instructions Document under Part C - Attachment B.



ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM - CYCLE 2

Part B: Narrative Questions (Application Screening/Scoring)

Project unique application No.: 10-City of Merced-1

Implementing Agency's Name: City of Merced

Important:

- *Applicants must ensure all data in Part B of the application is fully consistent with Part A and C.*
- *Applicants must follow all instructions and guidance to have a chance at receiving full points for the narrative question and to avoid flaws in the application which could result in disqualification.*

Table of Contents

Screening Criteria	Page: 2
Narrative Question #1	Page: 3
Narrative Question #2	Page: 17
Narrative Question #3	Page: 27
Narrative Question #4	Page: 30
Narrative Question #5	Page: 33
Narrative Question #6	Page: 44
Narrative Question #7	Page: 47
Narrative Question #8	Page: 48
Narrative Question #9	Page: 49



Part B: Narrative Questions **Detailed Instructions for: Screening Criteria**

The following Screening Criteria are requirements for applications to be considered for ATP funding. Failure to demonstrate a project meets these criteria will result in the disqualification of the application.

1. Demonstrated fiscal needs of the applicant:

The proposed project is unfunded; it is not included in any near-term or long-term budget. Since 2008, the City of Merced Development Services Department (DSD), which includes the Engineering, Building and Planning Departments, has lost 50% of its staff. While tax revenues have increased in the last two years, these funds are used to restabilize other departments, primarily the Police and Fire Departments. Any future revenues given to the DSD would be used to hire staff. Development Impact fees cannot be utilized to upgrade the existing built environment. There are no elements of the plan that are directly or indirectly related to past or future mitigation, nor is the project considered to be mitigation for any projects.

2. Consistency with Regional Plan.

This application to seek funds to prepare a Comprehensive ATP/SRTS Plan is consistent with the applicable RTP (see Attachment K, Exhibit 1).



Part B: Narrative Questions **Detailed Instructions for: Question #1**

QUESTION #1

POTENTIAL FOR INCREASED WALKING AND BICYCLING, ESPECIALLY AMONG STUDENTS, INCLUDING THE IDENTIFICATION OF WALKING AND BICYCLING ROUTES TO AND FROM SCHOOLS, TRANSIT FACILITIES, COMMUNITY CENTERS, EMPLOYMENT CENTERS, AND OTHER DESTINATIONS; AND INCLUDING INCREASING AND IMPROVING CONNECTIVITY AND MOBILITY OF NON-MOTORIZED USERS. (0-30 POINTS)

A. Describe the following:

-Current and projected types and numbers/rates of users. (12 points max.)

Other than student related ATP counts provided by school district staff, which accounts for 5,577 trips (see Attachment I), no citywide ATP counts have been taken. A 2010 study of a 0.75 mile section of Hwy 59 in South Merced recorded a pedestrian daily count of 865 on a weekday, and 1,240 on a weekend. In 2013, MCAG estimated that 2,100, or 1.3% of the population of 70,000, rode bicycles, not including students. From school and MCAG estimates, there is a combined total of 7,677 pedestrian and cyclists.

Citywide bicycle and pedestrian counts for existing and post -plan timeframes will be taken and estimated as part of Plan development (See Sub-task D-1, Attachment H). These counts will be taken in a manner to differentiate the variety of user types, being displayed in tables such as the following:

	<i>Commuter</i>				<i>Recreational</i>				<i>Students</i>			
MODE	Y	YA	M	SS	Y	YA	M	SS	Y	YA	M	SS
Bikes												
Pedestrian												

AGES: Y=under 14; YA=15-25; M=25-50; SS=over 50



In general, the citywide Plan would increase ATP use across the spectrum described in the table above, with greatest increases anticipated by commuters and students. While recreational multi-use pathway improvements may be listed in the Plan, most likely only to the extent that they improve access primarily for commuting and safe-routes-to-school. Increases in bicycle travel would be greater than pedestrian, mainly due to the spread out suburban nature of the City, emphasis on planning for SRTS, and a large population of youth. Additionally, increases in ATP travel are also anticipated in the neighborhoods adjacent to Merced College (pedestrian and bikes), and UC Merced (mostly bicycle).

The greatest pedestrian gains will occur near Central Merced area schools and retail areas, with its smaller block design, variety of land uses, and higher rate of low-income residents. In the zone between Central Merced (jobs and retail), and South Merced (a large low-income population), improvements that increase ATP comfort of crossing barriers such as railroads, traveling through freeway overpasses, and crossing arterial streets are anticipated to increase both pedestrian and bicycle travel. The one-year projection is 3% (250) above existing, and a 15% (1,152) increase after five years.


- B. Describe how the project links or connects, or encourages use of existing routes (for non-infrastructure applications) to transportation-related and community identified destinations where an increase in active transportation modes can be realized, including but not limited to: schools, school facilities, transit facilities, community, social service or medical centers, employment centers, high density or affordable housing, regional, State or national trail system, recreational and visitor destinations or other community identified destinations via: (12 points max.)**
- a. creation of new routes
 - b. removal of barrier to mobility
 - c. closure of gaps
 - d. other improvements to routes
 - e. educates or encourages use of existing routes

The Comprehensive ATP/SRTS Plan includes the entire City of Merced, together with its numerous schools, employment zones, transit, public buildings, parks and high-density residential areas.



The ATP/SRTS Plan includes a systematic method for identifying and prioritizing new walking and bicycling routes to and from these activity centers (see Form 22-R, Attachment H). Due to the presence of high concentrations of dense housing, employment zones, the future downtown high-speed rail station and other transit stations in an area with extensive barriers in the form of creeks, railroads, freeways and a future HSR line (see map below), the majority of new routes to be identified in the ATP/SRTS Plan are anticipated to occur in Central, South and East Merced. This area is also where the City's three disadvantaged communities (DC) overlap (see Narrative Question #5).

As gaps and barriers are filled and removed, the residential areas to the north, east and south of downtown will also add to increases in ATP travel. Likewise, the value of existing ATP infrastructure in these neighborhoods will increase as ATP connectivity between neighborhoods and Central Merced improves. With development of the high-speed rail station in downtown, the value of all ATP improvements will see a large spike, as opposed to increased traffic congestion that would occur in the absence of ATP.









Above: Photos are of R Street (arterial) with a bike route; most cyclists ride on the sidewalk because of low comfort levels on the road. This is one of four roads (all arterials) to enter Central Merced from the north.






Above: Bridge and underpass choke-points representative of challenges for cyclists and pedestrians, including speeding traffic and sub-standard ATP facilities.



NORTH MERCED MAP



SOUTH MERCED MAP



Currently, marginal ATP travel occurs along narrow arterials characterized by congestion and/or high speeds. These uncomfortable conditions are amplified at choke-points (creek bridges, railroad crossings, and freeway tunnels) in many cases leaving no space for ATP travelers. Many cyclists ride on sidewalks, creating conflicts with pedestrian travelers. The barriers leave no ATP route options other than travel on arterial streets. The ATP will examine other options ranging from pedestrian bridges and/or tunnels, bicycle boulevards on local streets, and multi-use sidewalks, where appropriate. Arterial Street road-diets are not an option as these roads are already near or below acceptable LOS thresholds.



Above: A cyclist on a separated path is about to traverse the Olive Avenue/Santa Fe Avenue and State Highway 59 intersection. The use of sidewalks by cyclists create an increased potential for collisions.



Planning for corrective actions in the central, south and eastern areas of the City would result in the greatest increases in ATP travel along with direct benefits to the City's DCs. The identification, selection and ultimate construction of high community-wide ATP priorities in this area will encourage ATP travel due to anticipated increases in 1) comfort and safety; 2) connectivity to transit stations (black dots on the map above), including the downtown high-speed rail station; 3) connectivity to employers including City, County and UC Merced administrative offices; and 4) safe-routes to schools, which consist of 58% of the total number of schools in the City.


Implementation of the plan will provide DC's with direct access to pedestrian and bicycle routes to the center of downtown where many jobs, events, and transit connections (Greyhound, Amtrak, public transit, and future HSR) exist. New bikeways, sidewalks and programs will enable large sections of the DC to access downtown employment areas, and to local schools, public parks, grocery stores, schools, and community centers throughout the City.


Right: A group of pedestrians navigate an arterial street in South Merced.





Additionally, the City's 2013 *Bicycle Transportation Plan* identified three primary areas with existing gaps in need of further study (pink areas on map below), and include several priority projects: #3 (Olive Avenue, Golden Valley HS, and 16th Street); #4 (O Street Bike Boulevard); #6 (G Street and Cottonwood Creek); and #14 (Canal Street Bike Boulevard), which are generally mapped below. Also, note that these areas roughly align with the ATP traffic collision map displayed on the following page. As with other prospective projects, the ATP/SRTS Plan planning process will craft, with community input and support, feasible and cost-effective ATP solutions for these previously identified priorities.







- C. Referencing the answers to A and B above, describe how the proposed project represents one of the Implementing Agencies (and/or project Partnering Agency's) highest unfunded non-motorized active transportation priorities. (6 points max.)

The purpose of the ATP/SRTS Plan is to increase active transportation modes of transportation throughout the City, but particularly in the DC Core area. To achieve this, however, the City is in need of funds from various outside sources; having the ATP/SRTS Plan crafted following the Work Plan (see Form 22-R, Attachment H) will enable the City to competitively seek grants. While these are the essential outcomes of the project, the effort has two key interrelated goals: 1) given the fiscal challenges of the City, expenditure of limited staff time or funding demands confirmation of high value projects. The ATP/SRTS Plan is the tool of choice for the City to answer these questions before resources are spent on improvement projects; and 2) in a highly-charged community facing difficult challenges, community support and their participation in the selection and prioritization of projects is a rock-solid foundation for the successful deployment of projects.

The ATP/SRTS Plan is built on prior "programmatic" planning efforts of the City including the Merced Vision 2030 General Plan, and the City's 2012 Climate Action Plan. Both of these documents include high-level goals, polices and direction in support of "Complete Streets" and ATP Goals, especially as they relate to the safety of our citizens, the reduction of traffic congestion, clean air resources and reduced greenhouse gas emissions, and building healthy communities. The ATP will build upon these plans. The ATP/SRTS Plan will incorporate data from the City's 2013 Bicycle Transportation Plan, and will build upon that partial foundation. The ATP/SRTS Plan, in the context of extensive disadvantaged community participation, adds: 1) a hefty set of project selection filters; 2) a focus on commuting (walking or bicycling) challenges to penetrate multiple physical barriers between Central Merced and adjacent neighborhoods; 3) identification of ATP connectivity needs to Merced's planned high-speed rail station (forecasted to be installed in 2020); 4) identification of safe-routes to school priorities; 5) projects selected under the broad ATP goals; and 6) completed



project-level environmental review for several cost-effective and “shovel ready” projects.

Additionally, the **ATP/SRTS Plan** will build upon the *2013 Bicycle Transportation Plan’s* identification of assessing several zones in the City with service gaps, and which were listed in the 2013 BTP as priorities 3, 4, 6 and 14 out of a field of 34 prioritized projects (see narrative in Question 1B above for details, and Sub-Task F2 in Form 22-R, Attachment H).



Above: This cyclist rides on a sidewalk in a large retail corridor of Olive Avenue, one of the zones with service gaps, to avoid mixing with road traffic that has a posted speed limit of 45-mph. The Plan will evaluate alternative routes for ATP travel in this high-demand locale of Merced’s population.



Part B: Narrative Questions **Detailed Instructions for: Question #2**

QUESTION #2

POTENTIAL FOR REDUCING THE NUMBER AND/OR RATE OF PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLIST FATALITIES AND INJURIES, INCLUDING THE IDENTIFICATION OF SAFETY HAZARDS FOR PEDESTRIANS AND BICYCLISTS. (0-25 POINTS)


- A. Describe the plan/program influence area or project location’s history of collisions resulting in fatalities and injuries to non-motorized users and the source(s) of data used (e.g. collision reports, community observation, surveys, audits). (10 points max.)**



The collisions in the City of Merced for non-motorized users are well documented and acquired from collision reports from the Merced City Police Department. All of the data for each year captures one whole calendar year with the exception of 2015 which only contains information up to and including April 15th.

Number of Collisions between Motorized and Non-Motorized Travelers					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Collisions	72	99	86	118	35
- bikes	48	67	59	81	21
- peds	24	32	27	37	14
Bike Fatalities	0	0	0	1 ¹	0
Ped Fatalities	0	2 ²	3 ²	3 ²	1 ²
¹	side swiped				
²	jaywalking				

Composite maps showing the location of all collisions during these years between pedestrians and motorized vehicles, and between bicyclists and motorized vehicles are presented below. Additionally, the location of all collisions by each year are presented in Attachment K.


In the pie charts that follow, the nature of collisions for each year are presented.









2013 Bicycle and Ped Collisions



2014 Bicycle and Ped Collisions





As shown in the charts under Narrative Question 2B (below), many of the collisions were due to operator behaviors such as cyclists riding on the wrong side of the road. Jaywalking and driver/cyclist errors.

Right: ATP facilities next to an arterial road in Central Merced. The “combo gutter/bike lane” and heavy traffic usually results in pedestrians and bikes sharing the sidewalk.





- B. Describe how the project/program/plan will remedy (one or more) potential safety hazards that contribute to pedestrian and/or bicyclist injuries or fatalities; including but not limited to the following possible areas: (15 points max.)**
- Reduces speed or volume of motor vehicles in the proximity of non-motorized users.
 - Improves sight distance and visibility between motorized and non-motorized users.
 - Eliminates potential conflict points between motorized and non-motorized users, including creating physical separation between motorized and non-motorized users.
 - Improves compliance with local traffic laws for both motorized and non-motorized users.
 - Addresses inadequate traffic control devices.
 - Eliminates or reduces behaviors that lead to collisions involving non-motorized users.
 - Addresses inadequate or unsafe traffic control devices, bicycle facilities, trails, crosswalks and/or sidewalks.


Using police report (2011 to 2015) data of collisions between motorized vehicles and ATP travelers, we graphed the causes of different accident types (below). This data, along with updates and a greater understanding of it through interviews with safety personnel, will help to inform a community dialog at community workshops to identify behaviors, locations and projects in an effort to reduce collision incidences. The tables below revealed several user-error patterns (behaviors such as riding on the wrong side of the street, and lack of compliance with traffic laws) which led to collisions, and will be further examined to develop cost-effective safety countermeasures as part of the process to develop the Plan (See sub-task G7, Attachment H).

As part of the process to identify and prioritize projects, hazards will be identified and an assessment with an objective to describe a set of mitigating programs, practices, or improvements (See Task E, Attachment H) to reduce impacts will be prepared. Hazards will be identified by examining police reports; holding interviews with safety, engineering and maintenance personnel; soliciting public input at community workshops and walk/bike audits; gathering comments and ideas from students, school administrators and school-related groups; and investigating sites identified as hazards.




After the hazards area identified and various solutions presented, the planning process will include the *potential for mitigating safety hazards* as a prioritization criteria (See subtasks G4 and H2, Attachment H)

Head-On Collisions with Cyclists




Rear end collisions




Broadside Collisions

10




Vehicle-Pedestrian Collisions





Sideswipe Collisions





Part B: Narrative Questions

Detailed Instructions for: Question #3

QUESTION #3

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION and PLANNING (0-15 POINTS)

Describe the community based public participation process that culminated in the project/program proposal or will be utilized as part of the development of a plan.

- A. **Who:** Describe who was engaged in the identification and development of this project/program/plan (for plans: who will be engaged). (5 points max)

Public Stakeholders include: Merced Mariposa Asthma Coalition; Building Healthy Communities; Downtown Neighborhood Association; Merced Bicycle Coalition; Chambers of Commerce, physically and/or mentally disabled and students and residents (particularly elderly and youth), especially those from disadvantaged communities.

Government Stakeholders include: Merced City School District; Weaver Union School District; Merced Union High School District; UC Merced; Merced College; City Departments including Engineering, Police, Fire and Recreation; Merced County Association of Governments; Caltrans, Merced County Roads Division and the Merced County Public Health Department.

The community engagement for the **ATP/SRTS Plan** will be extensive, and includes the formation of a Citizen-based Advisory Focus Group of 15 members; an 8-member TAC of various agency staff; and multiple stakeholder groups (See Tasks B, C and F of Form 22-R, Attachment H). In addition to this core group, numerous meetings described below will draw a forecasted 500 citizens from various sectors of the community over the course of the project. Letters of support from area schools and community advocates are presented in Attachment J.



B. How: Describe how stakeholders were engaged (or will be for a plan). (4 points max)

Stakeholders, members of the Disadvantaged Community (DC); and at-large residents will make-up the Advisory Citizen-based Focus Group, and play a key role in review and development of the **ATP/SRTS Plan**. The City will pursue a coordinated and collaborative public outreach effort with a wide array of community partners/entities and stakeholders. -All community meetings (except for the 3 formal public hearings and 7 TAC meetings) will have translator services in Hmong and Spanish, and be accessible by transit. All 6 public workshops and 8 citizen focus group meetings will occur in the evening, or on the weekends. Where feasible, child care services will be provided. Formal public hearings, TAC meetings and the Citizen's Focus Group meeting will occur at the centrally located Merced Civic Center. Workshops concerning SRTS will be held at local school facilities. Various stakeholder meetings and workshops will be held at neighborhood community centers located throughout the City.

Notices in English, Spanish and Hmong will be displayed on the project website, the City's facebook page, at local libraries, area schools, transit stations, and in neighborhood and business association newsletters. Radio announcements, newspaper articles and inserts in utility billing are also planned.

C. What: Describe the feedback received during the stakeholder engagement process and describe how the public participation and planning process has improved the project's overall effectiveness at meeting the purpose and goals of the ATP. (5 points max)

The ATP will be developed through a public participation and planning process that involves a series of filters and feedback loops to identify the highest community ATP priorities and projects. As part of an iterative public review and comment process, the community will filter potential projects to identify those that are consistent with ATP goals; to examine cost-effective alternatives; to measure the benefit against costs; and to



assure future improvement by assessing project feasibility (see Tasks C5, F2, G1, G2 and G3 of the Work Plan/Form 22-R, Attachment H).

Through this planning process, the original list of potential projects will be reduced, redefined and modified by the community, resulting in the highest community ATP priorities.

D. Describe how stakeholders will continue to be engaged in the implementation of the project/program/plan. (1 points max)

Stakeholders will be represented on the Community Advisory Committee to help craft the ATP/SRTS Plan. Upon completion of the **ATP/SRTS Plan**, a standing “ATP Working Group” of interested DC citizens, Bicycle Advisory Commission members, and City Staff, whose role will be to identify Plan-based projects to include in the City’s Capital Improvement Plan and other project implementation practices and tools, will be formed.

Right:
Community members at Merced’s 1st annual “Director’s Ride,” May 9, 2015.





Part B: Narrative Questions

Detailed Instructions for: Question #4

QUESTION #4

IMPROVED PUBLIC HEALTH (0-10 points)

- **NOTE: Applicants applying for the disadvantaged community set aside must respond to the below questions with health data specific to the disadvantaged communities. Failure to do so will result in lost points.**

A. Describe the health status of the targeted users of the project/program/plan. (3 points max)

Chronic diseases are responsible for 7 of 10 deaths in the United States. Many of the leading causes of death including heart disease, stroke and diabetes are associated with obesity. In the zip code 95340 within the City of Merced, the death rate for heart disease, diabetes and strokes are 213.3, 35.1 and 84.7 per 100,000 respectively. These rates are much higher than California rates of 155.2, 20.1 and 35.4.

<http://californiabreathing.org/asthma-data/county-asthma-profiles/merced-county-asthma-profile>

Obesity: Within the City of Merced, Zip codes 95340, 95341, and 95348 have an obesity rate of 29.3%, 32.7% and 30.1% respectively, which is much greater than the state rate of 23%. According the most recent version (2010) of data from Kidsdata.org, 40.9% of students within the City of Merced are overweight and/or obese versus the state average of 38%. Looking at particular school districts within the City of Merced we see that obesity and a lack of physical fitness are widespread. In Merced City School District, only 20.7% of 5th graders and 31.1% of all 7th graders met all the fitness standards for 2014. In Weaver Union School District 29.7% of 5th grade students and 44.3% of 7th met all physical fitness standards; and in Merced Union High District only 27.7% of 9th graders meet the Fitness standards.

Within the Merced City School District, 43.9% of 5th and 40.3% of 7th graders are not in the healthy fit zone in the area of body composition measured through body mass



index (BMI). In Weaver, 40.8% of 5th graders and 41.3% of 7th graders are not in the healthy fit zone in the area of body composition. This is also the case with 42% of 9th graders in Merced Union High District according to the California Department of Education 2013-2014 physical fitness report.

<http://data1.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/>

Major contributors to obesity are poor nutrition and lack of physical activity. According to the 2015 Robert Wood Johnson County Health Rankings, 20% of adults over the age of 20 report no leisure-time physical activity in Merced County and only 68% of the population has adequate access to physical activity opportunities versus the California rate of 98%. In addition, when looking at factors that contribute to a healthy food environment, Merced County ranks below the California average. Currently, the City of Merced has a walk score of 39 (walkscore.com) and is categorized as “car-dependent” (meaning most all errands require a car).

<http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/app/#!/california/2015/rankings/merced/county/outcomes/overall/snapshot>

B. Describe how you expect your project/proposal/plan to enhance public health. (7 points max.)

The proposed project will enhance public health by improving and creating new environments conducive to physical activity. This is especially important in the City of Merced where there is a high risk factor for obesity, physical inactivity, and asthma. More active modes of transportation such as walking and biking will become a more viable option to disadvantaged communities in the central, south and eastern sections of the city to access shopping centers, jobs, schools, recreational areas, and other neighborhoods.



Asthma: Zip codes 95341 and 95348 have an asthma hospitalization rate of 101.2 and 117.1 per 100,000 residents respectively. Zip code 95340 has a hospitalization rate of 203.7 per 100,000 residents for asthma. This is almost two times the State's rate of 114.4 per 100,000 residents.



Part B: Narrative Questions

Detailed Instructions for: Question #5

QUESTION #5

BENEFIT TO DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (0-10 points)

A. Identification of disadvantaged communities: (0 points – SCREENING ONLY)


Provide a map showing the boundaries of the proposed project/program/plan and the geographic boundaries of the disadvantaged community that the project/program/plan is located within and/or benefiting.


For each Disadvantaged Community (DC) criteria, maps and related data tables are presented on the following pages. In general:


School-aged Children: Approximately 17,610 DC citizens are elementary, middle-school and high-school students; 20 of 24 schools are FRPM eligible (see Table 5A and map on following pages).

Low Income Households: Approximately 18,963 or 70% of households; (57,100 or 66% of citizenship).

Negative Environmental Impacts: The DC community affected by negative environmental factors consists of 58,116 or 67% of citizenship.














Table 5A: Disadvantaged Community Data and Relationship to Merced’s Local Schools

<i>Schools</i>	<i>Census Tract</i>	<i>Census Tract Pop.</i>	<i>Median Household Income by Census Tract</i>	<i>FRPM Eligible %(K-12)</i>	<i>CalEnviroScreen 2.0 Scores (%)</i>	<i>Criteria Met</i>
Ada Givens Elementary	1402	4277	41,100	81.6	76-80	3 / 3
Alicia Reyes Elementary	1602	7243	33,423	96.7	91-95	3 / 3
Allan Peterson Elementary	1101	5880	60,647	58.4	51-55	0/3
Charles Wright Elementary	1401	3953	30,343	81.6	96-100	3 / 3
Don Stowell Elementary	1601	4498	26,408	96.5	91-95	3 / 3
Donn B. Chenoweth Elementary	2600	5319	68,622	58.1	66-70	0/3
El Capitan High	2500	2328	59,914	75.2	71-75	2/3
Farmdale Elementary	1602	7243	33,423	91.3	91-95	3 / 3
Golden Valley High	1700	6335	27,830	79.3	81-85	3 / 3
Herbert H. Cruickshank Middle	1801	4288	86,250	50.8	16-20	0/3
Herbert Hoover Middle	1402	4277	41,100	84.8	76-80	3 / 3
Independence High	1401	3953	30,343	86.4	96-100	3 / 3
Fremont Charter Elementary	1301	3213	30,714	90.6	96-100	3 / 3
John Muir Elementary	1302	3264	26,010	88.4	96-100	3 / 3
Leontine Gracey Elementary	1501	2693	39,922	91	81-85	3 / 3
Luther Burbank Elementary	1200	5023	60,394	85	86-90	2/3
Margaret Sheehy Elementary	1503	4438	25,132	87.6	81-85	3 / 3
Merced High	1004	3968	30,269	71.8	81-85	2/3
Pioneer Elementary	1700	6335	27,830	83	81-85	3 / 3
Rudolph Rivera Middle	1002	13467	64,855	79.7	61-65	1/3
Sequoia High	1401	3953	30,343	94.2	96-100	3 / 3
Tenaya Middle	1503	4438	25,132	90.8	81-85	3 / 3
Weaver Middle	2600	5319	68,622	84.6	66-70	1 / 3
Yosemite High (Continuation)	1401	3953	30,343	89.2	96-100	3 / 3

This table lists Disadvantaged Communities (DCs) screening criteria for each Census Tract in the City of Merced. Green text indicates that the criteria are met. The table also shows the relationship between schools and Merced’s DCs. The state median income, according to the United States Census Bureau is \$61,094 and 80% of that is \$48,875.20.







B. For proposals located within disadvantage community: (5 points max)

What percent of the funds requested will be expended in the disadvantaged community? ____%
Explain how this percent was calculated.

The proposed ATP/SRTS Plan is for the entire City. Up to 98% of the funds requested will be expended in the City's Disadvantaged Communities (DCs). The City's composite DC geographic coverage (census tract and/or school enrollment boundary) is 22.71 square miles, or 98% of the City (see maps above). Additionally, the central, east and south portions of the City (approximately 35% of the City), meet all three criteria, i.e. there is overlap of the different types of DCs. This application refers to this area as the "DC Core Area" (see Project Location Map, Attachment D). A large portion of the planning effort will target the challenges and solutions of the DC Core Area.

C. Describe how the project/program/plan provides (for plans: will provide) a direct, meaningful, and assured benefit to members of the disadvantaged community. (5 points max)

Define what direct, meaningful, and assured benefit means for your proposed project/program/plan, how this benefit will be achieved, and who will receive this benefit.

In the context of a Plan, "direct, meaningful and assured benefits" mean that the prioritized projects are located in DC areas (direct); that the projects were identified, selected and prioritized through an extensive and sincere public outreach effort that includes a significant number of DC members (meaningful); and that the projects themselves are politically, fiscally and physically feasible, and, to the degree possible and supported by the grant, has completed the greatest extent of environmental clearance as possible (assured). The planning process for the proposed Comprehensive ATP/SRTS Plan was devised to meet these thresholds.

The ***City of Merced ATP/SRTS Plan*** will be crafted in a strategic manner to assure that members of the City's disadvantaged communities (DC) will receive direct and meaningful benefits. These benefits will be achieved through a two-pronged ***plan development approach***. Listed below are key objectives of this approach:



Project Selection Process

- Devise an Outreach Plan with a high public engagement target of 75% DC members to attend workshops and advisory committee meetings. This means an extensive and sustained public outreach effort to solicit input from those who historically have been left out of the planning process. A description of what members of the DC community will be targeted, as well as how, is described in Narrative Question 3, including expanding mutually supportive partnerships that presently work with DC communities, such as Building Healthy Communities, United Way and Central California Regional Obesity Prevention Program.
- The proposed Work Plan includes multiple dedicated workshops to occur with: 1) K-8 students; 2) high school and post-secondary schools; 3) Hmong and Latino Communities; 4) low-income residents; and 5) disabled individuals, as well as several other workshops. The potential list of ATP/SRTS projects and programs would be based on this public input, assuring future installation of meaningful projects identified by DC members; A description of the accessibility of these workshops is described in Narrative Question 3;
- Identify, select and prioritize projects that align geographically with the high concentrations of DC populations shown on the DC area images in Narrative Question 5A, notably the DC Core area, where a large portion of the City meets all three DC criteria. Projects of merit outside the DC Core area will also be included in the planning effort to assure the needs of all DC populations are included in the plan.

Plan Maintenance and Implementation

- Form a permanent ATP Working Group headed by the City Engineer with representatives from: the City Police Department; the City Public Works Department; the local Council of Governments; the local school districts, and up to three citizen representatives, one of whom would be a Bicycle Advisory Commission member. This group would be formally established upon adoption of the ATP/SRTS. The ATP working group would meet at least twice annually to match plan projects with the project funding sources such as the City's CIP and grant fund opportunities.



Other aspects of the Plan complement the goal of the plan to create assured projects and programs (See Tasks G, H and I, Attachment H).



Above: Rail lines bound the north and south side of downtown Merced, blocking all local roads, leaving mostly arterial streets to provide all connectivity between downtown and surrounding neighborhoods for all transportation modes.



Part B: Narrative Questions

Detailed Instructions for: Question #6

QUESTION #6

COST EFFECTIVENESS (0-5 POINTS)

- A. Describe the alternatives that were considered and how the ATP-related benefits vs. project-costs varied between them. Explain why the final proposed alternative is considered to have the highest Benefit to Cost Ratio (B/C) with respect to the ATP purpose of “increased use of active modes of transportation”. (3 points max.)

The Comprehensive ATP/SRTS Plan will address all ages of pedestrians and bicyclists who can commute to work or school. Through public workshops and meetings, these populations will contribute their ideas and suggestions while being informed about project feasibility, cost-effectiveness and a broad range of alternatives including low-cost and proven safety countermeasures. Mercedian’s, as part of the Plan’s extensive public outreach events, will be encouraged to shift to active modes of transportation. The project scope of work (Form 22-R at Attachment H) presents several tasks (sub-tasks C5, F2, F7, F9, G1, G3, and H2) that demonstrate the statements above.

- B. Use the ATP Benefit/Cost Tool, provided by Caltrans Planning Division, to calculate the ratio of the benefits of the project relative to both the total project cost and ATP funds requested. The Tool is located on the CTC’s website at: <http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/tpp/offices/eab/atp.html>. After calculating the B/C ratios for the project, provide constructive feedback on the tool (2 points max.)

$$\left(\frac{\text{Benefit}}{\text{Total Project Cost}} \text{ and } \frac{\text{Benefit}}{\text{Funds Requested}} \right).$$

Although the project is a Plan, I entered data into the NI-related cells of the BC tool. This limited my use of the tool; my respective comments are provided below:

Pros:

- Ability to print out directions without reformatting
- Valued the description of “Perception, Promotion, Age and Duration”
- Definitely keep the GHG reduction calculator!
- The Tool is a time saver and very user-friendly ☺
- Well Organized and intuitive



Cons:

- No data entry cells for Plan Projects
- Instruction lines 29 and 32 have no viable counterpart in the tool; the instructions lead you to believe you need to enter the data, but the cells won't let you.
- The definition of "Net Present" Cost & Benefit is not clear.
- On the NI input sheet, it wasn't clear what is meant by "participants" for non-SR2S. I entered the population of the entire City in order to derive at the "current active" walkers and cyclists. Initially, I thought "participants" meant the number of participants expected at the public outreach events.

Project Name:	City of Merced Comprehensive ATP/SRTS Plan	NON-INFRASTRUCTURE
Project Location:	City of Merced	

Outreach (SR2S)- (Box 2A)	
Participants (School Enrollment)	13,942
Current Active Trans Walker/Bicyclist Users	5,577
Percentage of Current Active Trans Walkers/Bicyclists	40%
Project Cost	\$103,000
ATP Requested Funds	\$67,000
Duration of Outreach (months)	36
Outreach to new users	8,365

Outreach (Non SR2S)- (Box 2B)	
Participants	70,000
Current Active Trans Walker/Bicyclist Users	2,100
Percentage of Current Active Trans Walkers/Bicyclists	3%
Project Cost	\$103,000
ATP Requested Funds	\$67,000
Duration of Outreach (months)	36
Outreach to new users	67,900

Perception (must be marked with an "x")- (Box 2C)	
Outreach is Hands-on (self-efficacy)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Overcome Barriers (e.g., dist, time, etc.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Eliminates Hazards/Threats (speed, crime, etc.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Connected or Addresses Connectivity Challenges	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Creating Value in Using Active Transportation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Promotional Effort (must be marked with an "x")- (Box 2D)	
Effort Targets 5 E's or 5 P's	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Knowledgeable Staff/Educator	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Partnership/Volunteers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Creates Community Ownership/Relationship	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Part of Bigger Effort (e.g., political support)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Age (must be marked with an "x")- (Box 2E)	
Younger than 10	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
10-12	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
13-24	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
25-55	<input type="checkbox"/>
55+	<input type="checkbox"/>

Duration (must be marked with an "x")- (Box 2F)	
One Day	<input type="checkbox"/>
One Month	<input type="checkbox"/>
One Year	<input type="checkbox"/>
Multiple Years	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Continuous Effort	<input type="checkbox"/>

Projected New Active Trans Riders	
Longitudinal New Users	1,673

Projected New Active Trans Riders	
Longitudinal New Users	13,580

CRASH DATA - (Box 2G)		
	Last 5 Yrs	Annual
Fatal Crashes	10	2
Injury Crashes	469	93.8
PDO	1	0.2

Assumption:
Benefits only accrue for five years, unless the project is ongoing.



20 Year Invest Summary Analysis	
Total Costs	\$206,000.00
Net Present Cost	\$198,076.92
Total Benefits	\$41,976,861.18
Net Present Benefit	\$37,316,714.01
Benefit-Cost Ratio	188.40

<i>20 Year Itemized Savings</i>	
Mobility	\$0.00
Health	\$1,271,158.83
Recreational	\$0.00
Gas & Emissions	\$1,657,033.90
Safety	\$39,048,668.44

Funds Requested	\$134,000.00
Net Present Cost of Funds Requested	\$128,846.15
Benefit Cost Ratio	289.62



Part B: Narrative Questions Detailed Instructions for: **Question #7**

QUESTION #7

LEVERAGING OF NON-ATP FUNDS (0-5 points)

A. The application funding plan will show all federal, state and local funding for the project: (5 points max.)

The total project cost is \$205,895. The City/Community will provide 35 % of the total project cost, which equates to \$71,864; the City’s contribution appears in the project budget as follows:

City Share of Staff Costs (not including environmental review):	\$18,864
Community Meeting Space/in-kind Values:	\$3000
Citywide ATP count /in-kind Values:	\$20,000
Citywide ATP Audit /in-kind Values:	\$5,000
Environmental Review Costs for Plan and Selected Projects:	\$25,000

Right: City Manager at City’s community public outreach event, “Director’s Ride,” an informal opportunity for residents and City leaders to meet and about City bikeways and programs.



talk



Part B: Narrative Questions Detailed Instructions for: **Question #8**

QUESTION #8

USE OF CALIFORNIA CONSERVATION CORPS (CCC) OR A CERTIFIED COMMUNITY CONSERVATION CORPS (0 or -5 points)

Step 1: Is this an application requesting funds for a Plan (Bike, Pedestrian, SRTS, or ATP Plan)?

- Yes** (If this application is for a Plan, there is no need to submit information to the corps and there will be no penalty to applicant: 0 points)
- No (If this application is NOT for a Plan, proceed to Step #2)

Step 2: The applicant must submit the following information via email concurrently to **both** the CCC **AND** certified community conservation corps prior to application submittal to Caltrans. The CCC and certified community conservation corps will respond within five (5) business days from receipt of the information.

- Project Title
- Project Description
- Detailed Estimate
- Project Schedule
- Project Map
- Preliminary Plan

California Conservation Corps representative:

Name: Wei Hsieh
Email: atp@ccc.ca.gov
Phone: (916) 341-3154

Community Conservation Corps representative:

Name: Danielle Lynch
Email: inquiry@atpcommunitycorps.org
Phone: (916) 426-9170

Step 3: The applicant has coordinated with Wei Hsieh with the CCC **AND** Danielle Lynch with the certified community conservation corps and determined the following (check appropriate box):

- Neither corps can participate in the project (0 points)
- Applicant intends to utilize the CCC or a certified community conservation corps on the following items listed below (0 points).

- Applicant has contacted the corps but intends not to use the corps on a project in which either corps has indicated it can participate (-5 points)
- Applicant has not coordinated with both corps (-5 points)

The CCC and certified community conservation corps will provide a list to Caltrans of all projects submitted to them and indicating which projects they are available to participate on. The applicant must also attach any email correspondence from the CCC and certified community conservation corps to the application verifying communication/participation.



Part B: Narrative Questions **Detailed Instructions for: Question #9**

QUESTION #9

APPLICANT'S PERFORMANCE ON PAST GRANTS AND DELIVERABILITY OF PROJECTS

(0 to-10 points OR disqualification)

- A. **Applicant:** Provide short explanation of the Implementing Agency's project delivery history for all projects that include project funding through Caltrans Local Assistance administered programs (ATP, Safe Routes to School, BTA, HSIP, etc.) for the last five (5) years.

In checking with Parmider Singh of District 10 Local Assistance, the City has not experienced any project delivery failures in the last 5 years.

- B. **Caltrans response only:**

Caltrans to recommend score for deliverability of scope, cost, and schedule based on the overall application.



Part C: Application Attachments

Applicants must ensure all data in this part of the application is fully consistent with the other parts of the application. See the Application Instructions and Guidance document for more information and requirements related to Part C.

List of Application Attachments

The following attachment names and order must be maintained for all applications. Depending on the Project Type (I, NI or Plans) some attachments will be intentionally left blank. All non-blank attachments must be identified in hard-copy applications using “tabs” with appropriate letter designations

Application Signature Page Required for all applications	Attachment A
ATP - PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST (ATP-PPR) Required for all applications	Attachment B
Engineer’s Checklist Required for Infrastructure Projects	Attachment C
Project Location Map Required for all applications	Attachment D
Project Map/Plans showing existing and proposed conditions Required for Infrastructure Projects (optional for ‘Non-Infrastructure’ and ‘Plan’ Projects)	Attachment E
Photos of Existing Conditions Required for all applications	Attachment F
Project Estimate Required for Infrastructure Projects	Attachment G
Non-Infrastructure Work Plan (Form 22-R) Required for all projects with Non-Infrastructure Elements	Attachment H
Narrative Questions backup information Required for all applications Label attachments separately with “H-#” based on the # of the Narrative Question	Attachment I
Letters of Support Required or Recommended for all projects (as designated in the instructions)	Attachment J
Additional Attachments Additional attachments may be included. They should be organized in a way that allows application reviews easy identification and review of the information.	Attachment K



Application Signature Page

Attachment A



Part C: Attachments
Attachment A: Signature Page

IMPORTANT: Applications will not be accepted without all required signatures.

Implementing Agency: Chief Executive Officer, Public Works Director, or other officer authorized by the governing board
The undersigned affirms that their agency will be the "Implementing Agency" for the project if funded with ATP funds and they are the Chief Executive Officer, Public Works Director or other officer **authorized by their governing board with the authority to commit the agency's resources and funds.** They are also affirming that the statements contained in this application package are true and complete to the best of their knowledge. For infrastructure projects, the undersigned affirms that they are the manager of the public right-of-way facilities (responsible for their maintenance and operation) or they have authority over this position.

Signature: *John M. Bramble* Date: June 1, 2015
Name: John M. Bramble Phone: 209-385-6804
Title: CITY MANAGER e-mail: hramble@cityofmerced.org

For projects with a Partnering Agency: Chief Executive Officer or other officer authorized by the governing board
(For use only when appropriate)

The undersigned affirms that their agency is committed to partner with the "Implementing Agency" and agrees to assume the responsibility for the ongoing operations and maintenance of the facility upon completion by the implementing agency and they intend to document such agreement per the CTC guidelines. The undersigned also affirms that they are the Chief Executive Officer or other officer authorized by their governing board with the authority to commit the agency's resources and funds. They are also affirming that the statements contained in this application package are true and complete to the best of their knowledge.

Signature: _____ Date: _____
Name: _____ Phone: _____
Title: _____ e-mail: _____

For Safe Routes to School projects and/or projects presented as benefiting a school: School or School District Official
(For use only when appropriate)

The undersigned affirms that the school(s) benefited by this application is not on a school closure list.

Signature: _____ Date: _____
Name: _____ Phone: _____
Title: _____ e-mail: _____

For projects with encroachments on the State right-of-way: Caltrans District Traffic Operations Office Approval*
(For use only when appropriate)

If the application's project proposes improvements within a freeway or state highway right-of-way, whether it affects the safety or operations of the facility or not, it is required that the proposed improvements be reviewed by the district traffic operations office and either a letter of support/acknowledgement from the traffic operations office be attached or the signature of the traffic manager be secured in the application. The Caltrans letter and/or signature does not imply approval of the project, but instead is only an acknowledgement that Caltrans District staff is aware of the proposed project; and upon initial review, the project appears to be reasonable and acceptable.

Is a letter of support/acknowledgement attached? If yes, no signature is required. If no, the following signature is required.

Signature: _____ Date: _____
Name: _____ Phone: _____
Title: _____ e-mail: _____

* Contact the District Local Assistance Engineer (DLAE) for the project to get Caltrans Traffic Ops contact information. DLAE contact information can be found at <http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/dlae.htm>



Part C: Attachments **Attachment A: Signature Page**

IMPORTANT: Applications will not be accepted without all required signatures.

Implementing Agency: Chief Executive Officer, Public Works Director, or other officer authorized by the governing board
The undersigned affirms that their agency will be the "Implementing Agency" for the project if funded with ATP funds and they are the Chief Executive Officer, Public Works Director or other officer **authorized by their governing board with the authority to commit the agency's resources and funds.** They are also affirming that the statements contained in this application package are true and complete to the best of their knowledge. For infrastructure projects, the undersigned affirms that they are the manager of the public right-of-way facilities (responsible for their maintenance and operation) or they have authority over this position.

Signature: _____ Date: _____
Name: _____ Phone: _____
Title: _____ e-mail: _____

For projects with a Partnering Agency: Chief Executive Officer or other officer authorized by the governing board
(For use only when appropriate)

The undersigned affirms that their agency is committed to partner with the "Implementing Agency" and agrees to assume the responsibility for the ongoing operations and maintenance of the facility upon completion by the implementing agency and they intend to document such agreement per the CTC guidelines. The undersigned also affirms that they are the Chief Executive Officer or other officer authorized by their governing board with the authority to commit the agency's resources and funds. They are also affirming that the statements contained in this application package are true and complete to the best of their knowledge.

Signature: _____ Date: _____
Name: _____ Phone: _____
Title: _____ e-mail: _____

For Safe Routes to School projects and/or projects presented as benefiting a school: School or School District Official
(For use only when appropriate)

The undersigned affirms that the school(s) benefited by this application is not on a school closure list.

Signature: _____ Date: June 1, 2015
Name: John Curry Phone: 209-723-7606
Title: Superintendent e-mail: jcurry@weaverusd.k12.ca.us

For projects with encroachments on the State right-of-way: Caltrans District Traffic Operations Office Approval*
(For use only when appropriate)

If the application's project proposes improvements within a freeway or state highway right-of-way, whether it affects the safety or operations of the facility or not, it is required that the proposed improvements be reviewed by the district traffic operations office and either a letter of support/acknowledgement from the traffic operations office be attached or the signature of the traffic manager be secured in the application. The Caltrans letter and/or signature does not imply approval of the project, but instead is only an acknowledgement that Caltrans District staff is aware of the proposed project; and upon initial review, the project appears to be reasonable and acceptable.

Is a letter of support/acknowledgement attached? _____ If yes, no signature is required. If no, the following signature is required.

Signature: _____ Date: _____
Name: _____ Phone: _____
Title: _____ e-mail: _____

* Contact the District Local Assistance Engineer (DLAE) for the project to get Caltrans Traffic Ops contact information. DLAE contact information can be found at <http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/dlae.htm>



Part C: Attachments Attachment A: Signature Page

IMPORTANT: Applications will not be accepted without all required signatures.

Implementing Agency: Chief Executive Officer, Public Works Director, or other officer authorized by the governing board
The undersigned affirms that their agency will be the "Implementing Agency" for the project if funded with ATP funds and they are the Chief Executive Officer, Public Works Director or other officer **authorized by their governing board with the authority to commit the agency's resources and funds.** They are also affirming that the statements contained in this application package are true and complete to the best of their knowledge. For infrastructure projects, the undersigned affirms that they are the manager of the public right-of-way facilities (responsible for their maintenance and operation) or they have authority over this position.

Signature: _____ Date: _____
Name: _____ Phone: _____
Title: _____ e-mail: _____

For projects with a Partnering Agency: Chief Executive Officer or other officer authorized by the governing board
(For use only when appropriate)

The undersigned affirms that their agency is committed to partner with the "Implementing Agency" and agrees to assume the responsibility for the ongoing operations and maintenance of the facility upon completion by the implementing agency and they intend to document such agreement per the CTC guidelines. The undersigned also affirms that they are the Chief Executive Officer or other officer authorized by their governing board with the authority to commit the agency's resources and funds. They are also affirming that the statements contained in this application package are true and complete to the best of their knowledge.

Signature: _____ Date: _____
Name: _____ Phone: _____
Title: _____ e-mail: _____

For Safe Routes to School projects and/or projects presented as benefiting a school: School or School District Official
(For use only when appropriate)

The undersigned affirms that the school(s) benefited by this application is not on a school closure list.

Signature: *Ken C. Testa* Date: *June 1, 2015*
Name: *KEN C. TESTA, Ed.D* Phone: *(209) 385-6332*
Title: *FACILITIES DIRECTOR* e-mail: *KTESTA@MCSO.K12.CA.US*

For projects with encroachments on the State right-of-way: Caltrans District Traffic Operations Office Approval*
(For use only when appropriate)

If the application's project proposes improvements within a freeway or state highway right-of-way, whether it affects the safety or operations of the facility or not, it is required that the proposed improvements be reviewed by the district traffic operations office and either a letter of support/acknowledgement from the traffic operations office be attached or the signature of the traffic manager be secured in the application. The Caltrans letter and/or signature does not imply approval of the project, but instead is only an acknowledgement that Caltrans District staff is aware of the proposed project; and upon initial review, the project appears to be reasonable and acceptable.

Is a letter of support/acknowledgement attached? _____ If yes, no signature is required. If no, the following signature is required.

Signature: _____ Date: _____
Name: _____ Phone: _____
Title: _____ e-mail: _____

* Contact the District Local Assistance Engineer (DLAE) for the project to get Caltrans Traffic Ops contact information. DLAE contact information can be found at <http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/dlae.htm>





ATP - PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST (ATP-PPR)

Attachment B

STATE OF CALIFORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
ATP PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST

Date: 6/1/2015

Project Information:					
Project Title: To Prepare a Comprehensive Active Transportation Plan					
District	County	Route	EA	Project ID	PPNO
10	Merced	VAR			

Funding Information:									
DO NOT FILL IN ANY SHADED AREAS									
Proposed Total Project Cost (\$1,000s)									
Component	Prior	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20+	Total	Notes:
E&P (PA&ED)									
PS&E									
RAW									
CON				45	45	45		135	
TOTAL				45	45	45		135	

ATP Funds	Infrastructure Cycle 2								Program Code
Proposed Funding Allocation (\$1,000s)									
Component	Prior	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)									
PS&E									
RAW									
CON									
TOTAL									

ATP Funds	Non-infrastructure Cycle 2								Program Code
Proposed Funding Allocation (\$1,000s)									
Component	Prior	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)									
PS&E									
RAW									
CON				45	45	45		135	
TOTAL				45	45	45		135	

ATP Funds	Plan Cycle 2								Program Code
Proposed Funding Allocation (\$1,000s)									
Component	Prior	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)									
PS&E									
RAW									
CON									
TOTAL									

ATP Funds	Previous Cycle								Program Code
Proposed Funding Allocation (\$1,000s)									
Component	Prior	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)									
PS&E									
RAW									
CON									
TOTAL									

ATP Funds	Future Cycles								Program Code
Proposed Funding Allocation (\$1,000s)									
Component	Prior	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)									
PS&E									
RAW									
CON									
TOTAL									



STATE OF CALIFORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
ATP PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST

Date: 6/1/2015

Project Information:					
Project Title: To Prepare a Comprehensive Active Transportation Plan					
District	County	Route	EA	Project ID	PPNC
10	Merced	VAR			

Funding Information:										
DO NOT FILL IN ANY SHADED AREAS										
Fund No. 2:		Future Source for Matching							Program Code	
Proposed Funding Allocation (\$1,000s)										
Component	Prior	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20+	Total	Funding Agency	
E&P (PA&ED)										
PS&E									Notes:	
R/W										
CON										
TOTAL										
Fund No. 3:		Proposed Funding Allocation (\$1,000s)							Program Code	
Component	Prior	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20+	Total	Funding Agency	
E&P (PA&ED)										
PS&E									Notes:	
R/W										
CON										
TOTAL										
Fund No. 4:		Proposed Funding Allocation (\$1,000s)							Program Code	
Component	Prior	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20+	Total	Funding Agency	
E&P (PA&ED)										
PS&E									Notes:	
R/W										
CON										
TOTAL										
Fund No. 5:		Proposed Funding Allocation (\$1,000s)							Program Code	
Component	Prior	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20+	Total	Funding Agency	
E&P (PA&ED)										
PS&E									Notes:	
R/W										
CON										
TOTAL										
Fund No. 6:		Proposed Funding Allocation (\$1,000s)							Program Code	
Component	Prior	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20+	Total	Funding Agency	
E&P (PA&ED)										
PS&E									Notes:	
R/W										
CON										
TOTAL										
Fund No. 7:		Proposed Funding Allocation (\$1,000s)							Program Code	
Component	Prior	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20+	Total	Funding Agency	
E&P (PA&ED)										
PS&E									Notes:	
R/W										
CON										
TOTAL										



Engineer's Checklist


Attachment C

Not Applicable to a Plan



Project Location Map

Attachment D





Project Map/Plans showing existing and proposed conditions

Attachment E

Not Applicable to a Plan





**Photos of Existing Conditions
Included in the Narrative**


Attachment F



Project Estimate

Attachment G


Not Applicable (See Work Plan/Form 22-R in Attachment H)





Non-Infrastructure Work Plan (Form 22-R)

Attachment H



INSTRUCTIONS

Do NOT input values in gray cells. These cells are formula-driven and will automatically update.

Exhibit 22-R ATP Non-Infrastructure Project Work Plan

1. **Date:** Insert Today's Date
2. **Project Number:** Leave blank for ATP Cycle 2 solicitation
- 3a. **Project location(s):** Insert project location (**Exp: City of Santa Ana - Mt Vernon Elementary School**)
- 3b. Provide other project location; if applicable
- 3c. Provide other project location; if applicable
4. **Project Description:** Provide brief project description.
(**Exp: Conduct bicycle and pedestrian safety education, encouragement and traffic safety enforcement near schools.**)

Task Details

Tasks are primary elements of a project.

Provide a "Task Detail" table for each. (Task A, Task B, Task C, etc.)

- 5a. **Task Name:** Provide name of Task
- 5b. **Task Summary:** Provide a brief Task description for the various components to be completed in your project.
- 5c. **Schedule: Start Date and End Date:** Provide a start and end date for each Task. (Month - Year)

Activities and Deliverables

List all associated Activities for each task and all corresponding deliverables for each activity.

- 6a. **Activities:** List all activities that will be completed in each Task.
- 6b. **Deliverables:** List all of the corresponding deliverables for each activity listed.

Staff Costs

- 7a. **Staff Title:** List the staff title/position that will work on this task. (**Example: Party 1 - Program Manager**)
- 7b. **Staff Hours:** Provide the total number of estimated hours for each party listed in 6a.
- 7c. **Rate Per Hour:** Provide the rate per hour of each party listed in 6a.
If using a Consultant to perform the work, list the estimated Consultant cost.
- 7d. **Subtotal Party Costs:** Leave Blank - The total Party Cost is automatically calculated.
- 7e. **Indirect Cost:** Provide Indirect Cost.
Agencies should have an approved Indirect Cost Allocation Plan (ICAP) agreement with Caltrans.
Local agencies without an approved ICAP may request the approval of a "provisional ICAP rate" from the Caltrans Audits and Investigations (A&I) unit. Upon receiving an Acceptance Letter from Caltrans A&I, the local agencies will be allowed to invoice for their indirect costs using this "provisional rate" until A&I has completed the review of the local agencies ICAP proposal.
- 7f. **Total Staff Cost:** Leave Blank - This is automatically calculated from information entered in 6d. and 6e.

Task Notes

8. **Task Notes:** Provide any additional information that will clarify the work to be conducted under this task.
Describe the who, what, when and where of your project. Attach an additional sheet if needed.

Other Costs

You must click the link provided to direct you to the Itemized Other Costs section.

Note: An itemized cost estimate for each of the following categories, in which applies, must be provided.

The totals for each "Other Costs" category listed below will automatically calculate from information entered in the itemized other costs section:

- 9a. **Travel:** Total cost of Travel; if applicable
- 9b. **Equipment:** Total cost of Equipment(s); if applicable
- 9c. **Supplies/Materials:** Total cost of Supplies/Materials; if applicable
- 9d. **Incentives:** Total cost of Incentives; if applicable.
- 9e. **Other Direct Costs:** Additional other direct costs; if applicable
- 9f. Provide any additional Other Direct Costs; if applicable
- 9g. **Total Direct Costs:** Leave Blank - This is automatically calculated from information entered in 8a. - 8f.

Task Grand Total

10. **Task Grand Total:** Leave Blank - This is automatically calculated from information entered in 6g. and 8g.

Exhibit 22-R ATP Non-Infrastructure Project Work Plan

Fill in the following items:

Date: (1)	20-May-15
Project Number: (2)	
Project Location(s): (3a)	City of Merced
" " (3b)	
" " (3c)	
Project Description: (4)	To prepare a comprehensive Active Transportation Plan for the City of Merced, with a focus on the central portion of the City.

Proceed to enter information in each Task Tab, as applies (Task A, Task B, Task C, Task C, etc.)

For Department use only

You will not be able to fill in the following items. Items will auto-populate once you've entered all "Task" tabs that applies:

Task Summary:

Click the links below to navigate to "Task Details" tabs:

Task	Task Name	Start Date	End Date	Cost
Task "A"	Project Startup Activities	Oct-2016	Feb-2017	\$ 6,720.00
Task "B"	Agency Collaboration	Nov-2016	Feb-2017	\$ 2,590.00
Task "C"	Community Engagement	Feb-2017	Jun-2019	\$ 51,065.00
Task "D"	Existing Physical Conditions Assessments	May-2017	Oct-2017	\$ 41,520.00
Task "E"	Existing Cultural Conditions Assessment	May-2017	Oct-2017	\$ 7,105.00
Task "F"	Visioning Document	Jan-2018	Mar-2018	\$ 24,300.00
Task "G"	Planning Process Filter Tools	Apr-2018	Jun-2018	\$ 44,830.00
Task "H"	Project and Program Prioritization	Jun-2018	Sep-2018	\$ 7,240.00
Task "I"	ATP Funding, Implementation and Maintenance	Apr-2018	Sep-2018	\$ 3,015.00
Task "J"	Grant Administration	Oct-2016	Jun-2019	\$ 17,510.00
GRAND TOTAL				\$ 205,895.00

TASK "A" DETAIL

Task Name (5a):	Project Startup Activities		
Task Summary (5b):	To gather City Staff and Consultant to prepared the Comprehensive ATP Plan		
Task Schedule (5c):	Start Date : Oct-2016	End Date:	Feb-2017

Activities (6a):		Deliverables (6b):
1.	Finalize Contract with Caltrans	Final Contract with Caltrans with Work Plan
2.	Consultant Selection	RFP, Admin Report, Agreements, Adjusted Work Plan
3.	Formation of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)	TAC Roster and meeting notes
4.		
5.		
6.		
7.		
8.		
9.		
10.		

Staff Costs:

Staff Title (7a):		Staff Hours (7b)	Rate Per Hour (7c)	Total \$
Party 1 -	Principal Planner	40	\$110.00	\$ 4,400.00
Party 2 -	Planning Manager	15	\$120.00	\$ 1,800.00
Party 3 -	Secretary III	10	\$50.00	\$ 500.00
Party 4 -				\$ -
Party 5 -				\$ -
Party 6 -				\$ -
Subtotal Party Costs (6d):				\$ 6,700.00
Indirect Costs (6e):				
Total Staff Costs (6f):				\$ 6,700.00

Task Notes (8):

Other Costs:

You will not be able to fill in the following items. The totals for each "Other Costs" category listed below will automatically calculate from information entered in the itemized other costs section:

To fill out an itemized cost for each "Other Cost", click below: <div style="border: 1px solid gray; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;"> Itemized "Other Costs" Section </div>	Travel (9a):	\$	-
	Equipment (9b):	\$	-
	Supplies/Materials (9c):	\$	20.00
	Incentives (9d):	\$	-
	Other Direct Costs (9e):	\$	-
	" " (9f):	\$	-
	Total Other Costs (9g):		\$
TASK GRAND TOTAL (10g):			\$ 6,720.00

Task "A" Other Costs:

Itemized Travel Cost (9a)

Please provide an itemized "travel" cost estimate for all travel costs applicable to each task

Travel (9a)

	Type of Travel	Expense/Quantity	Total \$
1.		\$	-
2.		\$	-
3.		\$	-
4.		\$	-
5.		\$	-
6.		\$	-
7.		\$	-
8.		\$	-
9.		\$	-
10.		\$	-
11.		\$	-
12.		\$	-
13.		\$	-
14.		\$	-
15.		\$	-
16.		\$	-
17.		\$	-
18.		\$	-
19.		\$	-
20.		\$	-
	Total	0	\$ -
		Total Travel Cost:	\$ -

Itemized Equipment Cost (9b)

Please provide an itemized "equipment" cost estimate for all equipment cost applicable to each task

Equipment (9b)

	Type of Equipment	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.					\$ -
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
		Total:	0	\$0	\$ -
				Total Equipment Cost:	\$ -

Itemized Supplies/Materials Cost (9c)

Please provide an itemized "supplies/materials" cost estimate for all equipment cost applicable to each task

Supplies/Materials (9c)

	Type of Supplies/Materials	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.	paper	200		\$0	\$ 20.00
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
	Total:	200		\$0	\$ 20.00
				Total Supplies/Materials Cost:	\$ 20.00

Itemized Incentives Cost (9d)

Please provide an itemized "incentives" cost estimate for all incentives cost applicable to each task

Incentives (9d)

	Type of Incentives	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.					\$ -
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
		Total:	0	\$0	\$ -
				Total Incentives Cost:	\$ -

Task "A" Other Costs:

Itemized Other Direct Costs (9e)				
Please provide an itemized "other" cost estimate for all other costs applicable to each task				
Other Direct Costs (9e)				
Type of Other Direct Costs	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
Total Other Direct Cost:				\$ -

Itemized Other Direct Costs (9f)				
Please provide an itemized "other direct" cost estimate for all other costs applicable to each task				
Other Direct Costs (9f)				
Type of Other Direct Costs	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
Total Other Direct Cost:				\$ -

TASK "B" DETAIL				
Task Name (5a):		Agency Collaboration		
Task Summary (5b):		To define the political and policy setting of the project		
Task Schedule (5c):		Start Date : Nov-2016	End Date:	Feb-2017
Activities and Deliverables:				
Activities (6a):		Deliverables (6b):		
1.	Identify Affected Local Jurisdictions (i.e. schools, COG, etc.)	Contact List of Partners and Stakeholders		
2.	Examine applicable plans (RTP, SCS, GP, AQ and CAP) for guidance	Consistency Report with Local Plans		
3.	List existing bike and pedestrian related policies	Report of Existing ATP Policies		
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
Staff Costs:				
Staff Title (7a):		Staff Hours (7b)	Rate Per Hour (7c)	Total \$
Party 1 -	Principal Planner	16	\$110.00	\$ 1,760.00
Party 2 -	Consultant Tech	7	\$80.00	\$ 560.00
Party 3 -	Consultant Project Manager	2	\$120.00	\$ 240.00
Party 4 -				\$ -
Party 5 -				\$ -
Party 6 -				\$ -
Subtotal Party Costs (6d):				\$ 2,560.00
Indirect Costs (6e):				
Total Staff Costs (6f):				\$ 2,560.00
Task Notes (8):				
Other Costs:				
You will not be able to fill in the following items. The totals for each "Other Costs" category listed below will automatically calculate from information entered in the itemized other costs section:				
To fill out an itemized cost for each "Other Cost", click below: <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; display: inline-block; margin: 10px 0;">Itemized "Other Costs" Section</div>		Travel (9a):	\$	-
		Equipment (9b):	\$	-
		Supplies/Materials (9c):	\$	30.00
		Incentives (9d):	\$	-
		Other Direct Costs (9e):	\$	-
		" " (9f):	\$	-
Total Other Costs (9g):				\$ 30.00
TASK GRAND TOTAL (10g):				\$ 2,590.00

Task "B" Other Costs:

Itemized Travel Cost (9a)

Please provide an itemized "travel" cost estimate for all travel costs applicable to each task

Travel (9a)

	Type of Travel	Expense/Quantity	Total \$
1.		\$	-
2.		\$	-
3.		\$	-
4.		\$	-
5.		\$	-
6.		\$	-
7.		\$	-
8.		\$	-
9.		\$	-
10.		\$	-
11.		\$	-
12.		\$	-
13.		\$	-
14.		\$	-
15.		\$	-
16.		\$	-
17.		\$	-
18.		\$	-
19.		\$	-
20.		\$	-
	Total	0	\$ -
		Total Travel Cost:	\$ -

Itemized Equipment Cost (9b)

Please provide an itemized "equipment" cost estimate for all equipment cost applicable to each task

Equipment (9b)

	Type of Equipment	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.					\$ -
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
		Total:	0	\$0	\$ -
				Total Equipment Cost:	\$ -

Itemized Supplies/Materials Cost (9c)

Please provide an itemized "supplies/materials" cost estimate for all equipment cost applicable to each task

Supplies/Materials (9c)

	Type of Supplies/Materials	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.	paper	300		\$0	\$ 30.00
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
		Total:	300	\$0	\$ 30.00
				Total Supplies/Materials Cost:	\$ 30.00

Itemized Incentives Cost (9d)

Please provide an itemized "incentives" cost estimate for all incentives cost applicable to each task

Incentives (9d)

	Type of Incentives	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.					\$ -
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
		Total:	0	\$0	\$ -
				Total Incentives Cost:	\$ -

Task "B" Other Costs:

Itemized Other Direct Costs (9e)				
Please provide an itemized "other" cost estimate for all other costs applicable to each task				
Other Direct Costs (9e)				
Type of Other Direct Costs	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
Total Other Direct Cost:				\$ -

Itemized Other Direct Costs (9f)				
Please provide an itemized "other direct" cost estimate for all other costs applicable to each task				
Other Direct Costs (9f)				
Type of Other Direct Costs	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
Total Other Direct Cost:				\$ -

TASK "C" DETAIL				
Task Name (5a):		Community Engagement		
Task Summary (5b):		Gather community voice and support for the Comprehensive ATP Plan		
Task Schedule (5c):		Start Date :	Feb-2017	End Date: Jun-2019
Activities and Deliverables:				
Activities (6a):		Deliverables (6b):		
1.	Conduct Initial Public Outreach Events	Newspaper Ads and Articles, Notices, Website Development		
2.	Host Project Public Workshops, ATP Audits, and Stakeholder Meetings	Powerpoint Presentations, Public Comments, Notices and Ads		
3.	Formation of the Citizen Focus Group	Applications		
4.	Citizen Focus Group Orientation Meeting	Meeting Packet, agenda and meeting notes		
5.	Regular Meetings with Citizen Focus Group	Meeting packets, agendas and meeting notes		
6.	TAC meetings and draft plan reviews	Agendas, meeting notes		
7.	Formal Plan Review Process	Public Review Draft Plan, Staff Reports, Minutes, Resolutions		
8.	Plan Distribution	30 Printed Copies of Adopted Plan		
9.				
10.				
Staff Costs:				
Staff Title (7a):		Staff Hours (7b)	Rate Per Hour (7c)	Total \$
Party 1 -	Principal Planner	125	\$110.00	\$ 13,750.00
Party 2 -	Planning Manager	5	\$120.00	\$ 600.00
Party 3 -	Sec III/Community Audit Volunteers/Mtg Space	190	\$50.00	\$ 9,500.00
Party 4 -	Consultant Tech	40	\$80.00	\$ 3,200.00
Party 5 -	Consultant Planner	200	\$100.00	\$ 20,000.00
Party 6 -	Consultant Project Manager	8	\$120.00	\$ 960.00
Subtotal Party Costs (6d):				\$ 48,010.00
Indirect Costs (6e):				
Total Staff Costs (6f):				\$ 48,010.00
Task Notes (8):				
<p>Activity 2 includes: 2 Workshops with Local K-8 Schools/SRTS; 2 Workshops with Local 9-post-secondary Schools/SRTS; 3 SRTS walk and bike audits; Workshop with Hmong and Latino Communities; 1 Workshop with Large Employers and Chamber of Commerce; Stakeholder meeting with ATP community advocates; Stakeholder meeting with disabled individuals; and Stakeholder meeting with High-Speed Rail Planning Group . Activity 5 includes eight public meetings with the Focus Group to review project-related assessments (ATP Goals, Cost-Effective Alternatives, Cost-Benefit Analysis, and Project Feasibility), to recommend plan goals, to advise TAC as to project and program content, selection and prioritization; and to advise concerning the funding and implementation of the plan.</p>				
Other Costs:				
<p>You will not be able to fill in the following items. The totals for each "Other Costs" category listed below will automatically calculate from information entered in the itemized other costs section:</p>				
<p>To fill out an itemized cost for each "Other Cost", click below:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Itemized "Other Costs" Section</p>		Travel (9a):	\$	500.00
		Equipment (9b):	\$	-
		Supplies/Materials (9c):	\$	2,555.00
		Incentives (9d):	\$	-
		Other Direct Costs (9e):	\$	-
		" " (9f):	\$	-
		Total Other Costs (9g):		\$
TASK GRAND TOTAL (10g):		\$	51,065.00	

Task "C" Other Costs:

Itemized Travel Cost (9a)

Please provide an itemized "travel" cost estimate for all travel costs applicable to each task

Travel (9a)

Type of Travel		Expense/Quantity	Total \$
1.	Consultant Travel to events	.2 cents per mile	\$ 500
2.			\$ -
3.			\$ -
4.			\$ -
5.			\$ -
6.			\$ -
7.			\$ -
8.			\$ -
9.			\$ -
10.			\$ -
11.			\$ -
12.			\$ -
13.			\$ -
14.			\$ -
15.			\$ -
16.			\$ -
17.			\$ -
18.			\$ -
19.			\$ -
20.			\$ -
Total		0	\$ 500
Total Travel Cost:		\$	500.00

Itemized Equipment Cost (9b)

Please provide an itemized "equipment" cost estimate for all equipment cost applicable to each task

Equipment (9b)

Type of Equipment	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:			0	\$ 0
Total Equipment Cost:			\$	-

Itemized Supplies/Materials Cost (9c)

Please provide an itemized "supplies/materials" cost estimate for all equipment cost applicable to each task

Supplies/Materials (9c)

Type of Supplies/Materials	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.	paper for community outreach and noticing	300	\$0	\$ 30.00
2.	professional printing of 40 copies of 150 page plan	6000	\$0	\$ 2,400.00
3.	25 CD's	25	\$5	\$ 125.00
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:		6325	\$6	\$ 2,555.00
Total Supplies/Materials Cost:		\$	2,555.00	

Itemized Incentives Cost (9d)

Please provide an itemized "incentives" cost estimate for all incentives cost applicable to each task

Incentives (9d)

Type of Incentives	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:			0	\$ 0
Total Incentives Cost:			\$	-

Task "C" Other Costs:

Itemized Other Direct Costs (9e)				
Please provide an itemized "other" cost estimate for all other costs applicable to each task				
Other Direct Costs (9e)				
Type of Other Direct Costs	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
Total Other Direct Cost:				\$ -

Itemized Other Direct Costs (9f)				
Please provide an itemized "other direct" cost estimate for all other costs applicable to each task				
Other Direct Costs (9f)				
Type of Other Direct Costs	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
Total Other Direct Cost:				\$ -

TASK "D" DETAIL				
Task Name (5a):		Existing Physical Conditions Assessments		
Task Summary (5b):		Prepare Technical Memorandums describing Merced's existing ATP characteristics		
Task Schedule (5c):		Start Date : May-2017	End Date: Oct-2017	
Activities and Deliverables:				
Activities (6a):		Deliverables (6b):		
1.	Number of Bike and Pedestrian Trips	Technical Memorandum #1 and Final Plan Language		
2.	Map and Description of Land Use Patterns (existing and proposed)	Technical Memorandum #2 and Final Plan Language		
3.	Map and Description of Bicycle and Transportation Facilities	Technical Memorandum #3 and Final Plan Language		
4.	Map and Description of end-of-trip bike parking	Technical Memorandum #4 and Final Plan Language		
5.	Map and Description of Bicycle and Pedestrian Multi-modal Connections	Technical Memorandum #5 and Final Plan Language		
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
Staff Costs:				
Staff Title (7a):		Staff Hours (7b)	Rate Per Hour (7c)	Total \$
Party 1 -	Principal Planner	15	\$110.00	\$ 1,650.00
Party 2 -	Consultant Tech.	175	\$80.00	\$ 14,000.00
Party 3 -	Consultant Planner	50	\$100.00	\$ 5,000.00
Party 4 -	Consultant Project Manager	7	\$120.00	\$ 840.00
Party 5 -	Community In-Kind Service (Activity #1)	500	\$40.00	\$ 20,000.00
Party 6 -				\$ -
Subtotal Party Costs (6d):				\$ 41,490.00
Indirect Costs (6e):				
Total Staff Costs (6f):				\$ 41,490.00
Task Notes (8):				
<p>The Technical Memorandums have multiple functions, including: text for the Comprehensive ATP Plan; background information for the public, TAC and Citizen Focus Group to identify potential projects and programs; and a tool to measure progress on the effort. Activity #2 includes the listing and mapping of the City's activity centers (employment, schools, transit, public buildings, parks, and high-density residential areas). In Activity #1, the City's first citywide count of ATP travel will be conducted using community volunteers and professional staff from area agencies.</p>				
Other Costs:				
<p>You will not be able to fill in the following items. The totals for each "Other Costs" category listed below will automatically calculate from information entered in the itemized other costs section:</p>				
<p>To fill out an itemized cost for each "Other Cost", click below:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Itemized "Other Costs" Section</p>		Travel (9a):	\$	-
		Equipment (9b):	\$	-
		Supplies/Materials (9c):	\$	30.00
		Incentives (9d):	\$	-
		Other Direct Costs (9e):	\$	-
		" " (9f):	\$	-
		Total Other Costs (9g):		\$
TASK GRAND TOTAL (10g):				\$ 41,520.00

Task "D" Other Costs:

Itemized Travel Cost (9a)

Please provide an itemized "travel" cost estimate for all travel costs applicable to each task

Travel (9a)

	Type of Travel	Expense/Quantity	Total \$
1.		\$	-
2.		\$	-
3.		\$	-
4.		\$	-
5.		\$	-
6.		\$	-
7.		\$	-
8.		\$	-
9.		\$	-
10.		\$	-
11.		\$	-
12.		\$	-
13.		\$	-
14.		\$	-
15.		\$	-
16.		\$	-
17.		\$	-
18.		\$	-
19.		\$	-
20.		\$	-
	Total:	0	\$ -
	Total Travel Cost:	\$	\$ -

Itemized Equipment Cost (9b)

Please provide an itemized "equipment" cost estimate for all equipment cost applicable to each task

Equipment (9b)

	Type of Equipment	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.					\$ -
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
	Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
	Total Equipment Cost:				\$ -

Itemized Supplies/Materials Cost (9c)

Please provide an itemized "supplies/materials" cost estimate for all equipment cost applicable to each task

Supplies/Materials (9c)

	Type of Supplies/Materials	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.	paper	300		\$0	\$ 30.00
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
	Total:	300		\$0	\$ 30.00
	Total Supplies/Materials Cost:				\$ 30.00

Itemized Incentives Cost (9d)

Please provide an itemized "incentives" cost estimate for all incentives cost applicable to each task

Incentives (9d)

	Type of Incentives	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.					\$ -
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
	Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
	Total Incentives Cost:				\$ -

Task "D" Other Costs:

Itemized Other Direct Costs (9e)				
Please provide an itemized "other" cost estimate for all other costs applicable to each task				
Other Direct Costs (9e)				
Type of Other Direct Costs	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
Total Other Direct Cost:				\$ -

Itemized Other Direct Costs (9f)				
Please provide an itemized "other direct" cost estimate for all other costs applicable to each task				
Other Direct Costs (9f)				
Type of Other Direct Costs	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
Total Other Direct Cost:				\$ -

TASK "E" DETAIL				
Task Name (5a):		Existing Cultural Conditions Assessment		
Task Summary (5b):		To describe Merced's existing cultural ATP conditions		
Task Schedule (5c):		Start Date : May-2017	End Date:	Oct-2017
Activities and Deliverables:				
Activities (6a):		Deliverables (6b):		
1.	Number and location of accidents	Technical Memorandum #6 and Final Plan Language		
2.	Existing ATP Safety, Education, Encouragement and Enforcement Programs	Technical Memorandum #7 and Final Plan Language		
3.	Description of existing ATP Maintenance Policies and Procedures	Technical Memorandum #8 and Final Plan Language		
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
Staff Costs:				
Staff Title (7a):		Staff Hours (7b)	Rate Per Hour (7c)	Total \$
Party 1 -	Principal Planner	6	\$110.00	\$ 660.00
Party 2 -	Conduktant Tech	60	\$80.00	\$ 4,800.00
Party 3 -	Consultant Planner	8	\$100.00	\$ 800.00
Party 4 -	Consultant Project Manager	7	\$120.00	\$ 840.00
Party 5 -				\$ -
Party 6 -				\$ -
Subtotal Party Costs (6d):				\$ 7,100.00
Indirect Costs (6e):				
Total Staff Costs (6f):				\$ 7,100.00
Task Notes (8):				
In all task activities, identification of hazards will likewise be identified. The technical memorandums will include an assessment of these hazards with an objective to describe a set of mitigating programs, practices or improvements for consideration during project identification and prioritization.				
Other Costs:				
You will not be able to fill in the following items. The totals for each "Other Costs" category listed below will automatically calculate from information entered in the itemized other costs section:				
To fill out an itemized cost for each "Other Cost", click below: <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;">Itemized "Other Costs" Section</div>		Travel (9a):	\$	-
		Equipment (9b):	\$	-
		Supplies/Materials (9c):	\$	5.00
		Incentives (9d):	\$	-
		Other Direct Costs (9e):	\$	-
		" " (9f):	\$	-
		Total Other Costs (9g):		\$
TASK GRAND TOTAL (10g):				\$ 7,105.00

Task "E" Other Costs:

Itemized Travel Cost (9a)

Please provide an itemized "travel" cost estimate for all travel costs applicable to each task

Travel (9a)

	Type of Travel	Expense/Quantity	Total \$
1.		\$	-
2.		\$	-
3.		\$	-
4.		\$	-
5.		\$	-
6.		\$	-
7.		\$	-
8.		\$	-
9.		\$	-
10.		\$	-
11.		\$	-
12.		\$	-
13.		\$	-
14.		\$	-
15.		\$	-
16.		\$	-
17.		\$	-
18.		\$	-
19.		\$	-
20.		\$	-
	Total:	0	\$ -
	Total Travel Cost:	\$	\$ -

Itemized Equipment Cost (9b)

Please provide an itemized "equipment" cost estimate for all equipment cost applicable to each task

Equipment (9b)

	Type of Equipment	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.					\$ -
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
	Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
	Total Equipment Cost:				\$ -

Itemized Supplies/Materials Cost (9c)

Please provide an itemized "supplies/materials" cost estimate for all equipment cost applicable to each task

Supplies/Materials (9c)

	Type of Supplies/Materials	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.	paper	50		\$0	\$ 5.00
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
	Total:	50		\$0	\$ 5.00
	Total Supplies/Materials Cost:				\$ 5.00

Itemized Incentives Cost (9d)

Please provide an itemized "incentives" cost estimate for all incentives cost applicable to each task

Incentives (9d)

	Type of Incentives	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.					\$ -
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
	Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
	Total Incentives Cost:				\$ -

Task "E" Other Costs:

Itemized Other Direct Costs (9e)				
Please provide an itemized "other" cost estimate for all other costs applicable to each task				
Other Direct Costs (9e)				
Type of Other Direct Costs	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
Total Other Direct Cost:				\$ -

Itemized Other Direct Costs (9f)				
Please provide an itemized "other direct" cost estimate for all other costs applicable to each task				
Other Direct Costs (9f)				
Type of Other Direct Costs	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
Total Other Direct Cost:				\$ -

TASK "F" DETAIL

Task Name (5a):	Visioning Document		
Task Summary (5b):			
Task Schedule (5c):	Start Date : Jan-2018	End Date: Mar-2018	

Activities and Deliverables:

Activities (6a):		Deliverables (6b):
1.	Estimated ATP Increase due to Plan	Draft Visioning Document with Final language in Plan
2.	Map and Description of Proposed Bicycle and Pedestrian Transportation Facilities	Draft Visioning Document with Final language in Plan
3.	Map and Description of Proposed end-of-trip bike parking	Draft Visioning Document with Final language in Plan
4.	Map and Description of Proposed Bicycle multi-modal Connections	Draft Visioning Document with Final language in Plan
5.	Proposed Pedestrian and Bicycle wayfinding signs	Draft Visioning Document with Final language in Plan
6.	List of Proposed/Amended Bike and Pedestrian Related Policies	Draft Visioning Document with Final Plan Language
7.	Use of 5 "Es" to increase rates of bicycle use and pedestrian travel to school	Draft Visioning Document with Final Plan Language
8.	Description of proposed ATP Maintenance Policies and Procedures	Draft Visioning Document with Final Plan Language
9.	Proposed ATP Safety, Education, Encouragement, and Enforcement Programs	Draft Visioning Document with Final Plan Language
10.	Goal for accident reduction	Draft Visioning Document with Final Plan Language

Staff Costs:

Staff Title (7a):		Staff Hours (7b)	Rate Per Hour (7c)	Total \$
Party 1 -	Principal Planner	40	\$110.00	\$ 4,400.00
Party 2 -	Secretary III	5	\$50.00	\$ 250.00
Party 3 -	Consultant Tech	130	\$80.00	\$ 10,400.00
Party 4 -	Consultant Planner	80	\$100.00	\$ 8,000.00
Party 5 -	Consultant Project Manager	10	\$120.00	\$ 1,200.00
Party 6 -				\$ -
Subtotal Party Costs (6d):				\$ 24,250.00
Indirect Costs (6e):				
Total Staff Costs (6f):				\$ 24,250.00

Task Notes (8):

In Activity 2, the initial and final list of potential ATP projects will be identified by the public, with particular attention to the area along 16th Street and Olive Avenue (building on the findings of the City's 2013 BTP. These will be run through an "ATP Goal Filter" to score each project against ATP Goals; projects inconsistent with ATP goals will be removed from further consideration. The selection and refinement of these overtime, will be informed by several technical memos created by the project consultant with assistance from City Staff. These technical memos will address existing physical and cultural conditions, as well as Cost-Effective Alternatives, Cost-Benefit Analysis and Project Feasibility. Building on the findings of the 2013 BTP, this assessment will include priority projects 3, 4, 6 and 14. **Activities 7 and 9** are themselves opportunities to encourage a shift to active modes of transportation.

Other Costs:

You will not be able to fill in the following items. The totals for each "Other Costs" category listed below will automatically calculate from information entered in the itemized other costs section:

To fill out an itemized cost for each "Other Cost", click below: <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;"> Itemized "Other Costs" Section </div>	Travel (9a):	\$	-
	Equipment (9b):	\$	-
	Supplies/Materials (9c):	\$	50.00
	Incentives (9d):	\$	-
	Other Direct Costs (9e):	\$	-
	" " (9f):	\$	-
Total Other Costs (9g):		\$	50.00
TASK GRAND TOTAL (10g):		\$	24,300.00

Task "F" Other Costs:

Itemized Travel Cost (9a)

Please provide an itemized "travel" cost estimate for all travel costs applicable to each task

Travel (9a)

	Type of Travel	Expense/Quantity	Total \$
1.		\$	-
2.		\$	-
3.		\$	-
4.		\$	-
5.		\$	-
6.		\$	-
7.		\$	-
8.		\$	-
9.		\$	-
10.		\$	-
11.		\$	-
12.		\$	-
13.		\$	-
14.		\$	-
15.		\$	-
16.		\$	-
17.		\$	-
18.		\$	-
19.		\$	-
20.		\$	-
	Total:	0	\$ -
		Total Travel Cost:	\$ -

Itemized Equipment Cost (9b)

Please provide an itemized "equipment" cost estimate for all equipment cost applicable to each task

Equipment (9b)

	Type of Equipment	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.					\$ -
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
		Total:	0	\$0	\$ -
				Total Equipment Cost:	\$ -

Itemized Supplies/Materials Cost (9c)

Please provide an itemized "supplies/materials" cost estimate for all equipment cost applicable to each task

Supplies/Materials (9c)

	Type of Supplies/Materials	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.	paper	500		\$0	\$ 50.00
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
		Total:	500	\$0	\$ 50.00
				Total Supplies/Materials Cost:	\$ 50.00

Itemized Incentives Cost (9d)

Please provide an itemized "incentives" cost estimate for all incentives cost applicable to each task

Incentives (9d)

	Type of Incentives	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.					\$ -
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
		Total:	0	\$0	\$ -
				Total Incentives Cost:	\$ -

Task "F" Other Costs:

Itemized Other Direct Costs (9e)				
Please provide an itemized "other" cost estimate for all other costs applicable to each task				
Other Direct Costs (9e)				
Type of Other Direct Costs	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
Total Other Direct Cost:				\$ -

Itemized Other Direct Costs (9f)				
Please provide an itemized "other direct" cost estimate for all other costs applicable to each task				
Other Direct Costs (9f)				
Type of Other Direct Costs	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
Total Other Direct Cost:				\$ -

TASK "G" DETAIL

Task Name (5a): Planning Process Filter Tools					
Task Summary (5b): Selection of Community Priorities of ATP projects will be facilitated through the use of various filtering tools.					
Task Schedule (5c):		Start Date : Apr-2018	End Date: Jun-2018		
Activities and Deliverables:					
Activities (6a):		Deliverables (6b):			
1.	Cost-Effective Alternatives: Identify Potential use of Proven Low-Cost Improvements & Safety Countermeasures	Technical Memorandum #9			
2.	Initial Site Feasibility Assessments of Potential Projects	Technical Memorandum #10			
3.	Perform a cost-benefit analysis	Technical Memorandum #10			
4.	Programs, Practices and Improvements to Mitigate Safety Hazards	Technical Memorandum #10			
5.	Refine Descriptions of Potential Projects	Technical Memorandum #10			
6.	Prepare Environmental Review Documents for Plan Project List (optional)	Initial Studies and CEQA Determinations			
7.					
8.					
9.					
Staff Costs:					
Staff Title (7a):		Staff Hours (7b)	Rate Per Hour (7c)	Total \$	
Party 1 -	Principal Planner	300	110	\$ 33,000.00	
Party 2 -	Secretary III	2	100	\$ 200.00	
Party 3 -	Consultant Tech	50	100	\$ 5,000.00	
Party 4 -	Consultant Planner	30	100	\$ 3,000.00	
Party 5 -	Consultant Project Manager	4	100	\$ 400.00	
Party 6 -	Engineering Tech	40	\$80.00	\$ 3,200.00	
			Subtotal Party Costs (6d):	\$ 44,800.00	
			Indirect Costs (6e):		
			Total Staff Costs (6f):	\$ 44,800.00	
Task Notes (8):					
<p>For Activity #1, in an effort to inform and guide the identification of high community ATP priorities, these Technical Memorandums will be prepared by the consultant and presented at public workshops during the visioning stages of plan development. For Activity #3, cost-effectiveness factors will include at a minimum: the number of non-motorized users impacted, magnitude of mode shift, length of overall trips, and size of the existing barriers. While the plan itself will receive CEQA and NEPA clearance, Activity #6 also performs a project-level environmental review of a select group of projects. These projects will be selected based on their cost-benefit and feasibility scores. Depending upon the type and scope of projects, this "Env-Rev Group" could include up to approximately 10 projects; this sub-task assures ATP goals will be met in a timely manner, and extends the value of the planning effort. To give Caltrans greater flexibility in award of funds, at the direction of Caltrans, the sub-task and its approximated cost (\$25,000) can be removed from the project. CEQA and NEPA will still be conducted for the Plan.</p>					
Other Costs:					
<p>You will not be able to fill in the following items. The totals for each "Other Costs" category listed below will automatically calculate from information entered in the itemized other costs section:</p>					
<p>To fill out an itemized cost for each "Other Cost", click below:</p> <p align="center">Itemized "Other Costs" Section</p>		Travel (9a):	\$	-	
		Equipment (9b):	\$	-	
		Supplies/Materials (9c):	\$	30.00	
		Incentives (9d):	\$	-	
		Other Direct Costs (9e):	\$	-	
		" " (9f):	\$	-	
		Total Other Costs (9g):	\$	30.00	
				TASK GRAND TOTAL (10g):	\$ 44,830.00

Task "G" Other Costs:

Itemized Travel Cost (9a)

Please provide an itemized "travel" cost estimate for all travel costs applicable to each task

Travel (9a)

	Type of Travel	Expense/Quantity	Total \$
1.		\$	-
2.		\$	-
3.		\$	-
4.		\$	-
5.		\$	-
6.		\$	-
7.		\$	-
8.		\$	-
9.		\$	-
10.		\$	-
11.		\$	-
12.		\$	-
13.		\$	-
14.		\$	-
15.		\$	-
16.		\$	-
17.		\$	-
18.		\$	-
19.		\$	-
20.		\$	-
	Total	0	\$ -
		Total Travel Cost:	\$ -

Itemized Equipment Cost (9b)

Please provide an itemized "equipment" cost estimate for all equipment cost applicable to each task

Equipment (9b)

	Type of Equipment	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.					\$ -
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
	Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
				Total Equipment Cost:	\$ -

Itemized Supplies/Materials Cost (9c)

Please provide an itemized "supplies/materials" cost estimate for all equipment cost applicable to each task

Supplies/Materials (9c)

	Type of Supplies/Materials	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.	paper	300		\$0	\$ 30.00
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
	Total:	300		\$0	\$ 30.00
				Total Supplies/Materials Cost:	\$ 30.00

Itemized Incentives Cost (9d)

Please provide an itemized "incentives" cost estimate for all incentives cost applicable to each task

Incentives (9d)

	Type of Incentives	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.					\$ -
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
	Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
				Total Incentives Cost:	\$ -

Task "G" Other Costs:

Itemized Other Direct Costs (9e)				
Please provide an itemized "other" cost estimate for all other costs applicable to each task				
Other Direct Costs (9e)				
Type of Other Direct Costs	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
Total Other Direct Cost:				\$ -

Itemized Other Direct Costs (9f)				
Please provide an itemized "other direct" cost estimate for all other costs applicable to each task				
Other Direct Costs (9f)				
Type of Other Direct Costs	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
Total Other Direct Cost:				\$ -

TASK "H" DETAIL				
Task Name (5a):		Project and Program Prioritization		
Task Summary (5b):		To prioritize ATP projects and programs		
Task Schedule (5c):		Start Date :	Jun-2018	End Date: Sep-2018
Activities and Deliverables:				
Activities (6a):			Deliverables (6b):	
1.	Describe Plan Projects and Programs		Technical Memorandum #11 and Final Plan Language	
2.	Implementation Schedule of Prioritized Projects and Programs		Technical Memorandum #11 and Final Plan Language	
3.	Methodology of Project Prioritization		Technical Memorandum #11 and Final Plan Language	
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
Staff Costs:				
Staff Title (7a):		Staff Hours (7b)	Rate Per Hour (7c)	Total \$
Party 1 -	Principal Planner	8	\$110.00	\$ 880.00
Party 2 -	Secretary III	2	\$50.00	\$ 100.00
Party 3 -	Consultant Tech	50	\$80.00	\$ 4,000.00
Party 4 -	Consultant Planner	20	\$100.00	\$ 2,000.00
Party 5 -	Consultant Project Manager	2	\$120.00	\$ 240.00
Party 6 -				\$ -
Subtotal Party Costs (6d):				\$ 7,220.00
Indirect Costs (6e):				
Total Staff Costs (6f):				\$ 7,220.00
Task Notes (8):				
<p>In Activity 2, Mercedian's assist the project consultant and City Staff to prioritize the potential list of projects and programs. This work will be informed through previous technical memorandums, which emphasize: ATP Goals, cost-effectiveness; potential to mitigate safety hazards; the benefits and listing of low-cost improvements and safety countermeasures; financial backing; and site readiness.</p>				
Other Costs:				
<p>You will not be able to fill in the following items. The totals for each "Other Costs" category listed below will automatically calculate from information entered in the itemized other costs section:</p>				
<p>To fill out an itemized cost for each "Other Cost", click below:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Itemized "Other Costs" Section</p>		Travel (9a):	\$	-
		Equipment (9b):	\$	-
		Supplies/Materials (9c):	\$	20.00
		Incentives (9d):	\$	-
		Other Direct Costs (9e):	\$	-
		" " (9f):	\$	-
		Total Other Costs (9g):		\$
TASK GRAND TOTAL (10g):				\$ 7,240.00

Task "H" Other Costs:

Itemized Travel Cost (9a)

Please provide an itemized "travel" cost estimate for all travel costs applicable to each task

Travel (9a)

	Type of Travel	Expense/Quantity	Total \$
1.		\$	-
2.		\$	-
3.		\$	-
4.		\$	-
5.		\$	-
6.		\$	-
7.		\$	-
8.		\$	-
9.		\$	-
10.		\$	-
11.		\$	-
12.		\$	-
13.		\$	-
14.		\$	-
15.		\$	-
16.		\$	-
17.		\$	-
18.		\$	-
19.		\$	-
20.		\$	-
	Total	0	\$ -
	Total Travel Cost:	\$	-

Itemized Equipment Cost (9b)

Please provide an itemized "equipment" cost estimate for all equipment cost applicable to each task

Equipment (9b)

	Type of Equipment	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.					\$ -
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
	Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
	Total Equipment Cost:				\$ -

Itemized Supplies/Materials Cost (9c)

Please provide an itemized "supplies/materials" cost estimate for all equipment cost applicable to each task

Supplies/Materials (9c)

	Type of Supplies/Materials	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.	paper	200		\$0	\$ 20.00
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
	Total:	200		\$0	\$ 20.00
	Total Supplies/Materials Cost:				\$ 20.00

Itemized Incentives Cost (9d)

Please provide an itemized "incentives" cost estimate for all incentives cost applicable to each task

Incentives (9d)

	Type of Incentives	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.					\$ -
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
	Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
	Total Incentives Cost:				\$ -

Task "H" Other Costs:

Itemized Other Direct Costs (9e)				
Please provide an itemized "other" cost estimate for all other costs applicable to each task				
Other Direct Costs (9e)				
Type of Other Direct Costs	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
Total Other Direct Cost:				\$ -

Itemized Other Direct Costs (9f)				
Please provide an itemized "other direct" cost estimate for all other costs applicable to each task				
Other Direct Costs (9f)				
Type of Other Direct Costs	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
Total Other Direct Cost:				\$ -

TASK "I" DETAIL				
Task Name (5a):		ATP Funding, Implementation and Maintenance		
Task Summary (5b):		Description of how the plan will be implemented		
Task Schedule (5c):		Start Date : Apr-2018	End Date: Sep-2018	
Activities and Deliverables:				
Activities (6a):			Deliverables (6b):	
1.	Past Expenditures		Technical Memorandum #10 and Final Plan Language	
2.	Project and Program Financial Need Assessment		Technical Memorandum #10 and Final Plan Language	
3.	Anticipated Revenue Sources (including grants)		Technical Memorandum #10 and Final Plan Language	
4.	Implementation Steps		Technical Memorandum #10 and Final Plan Language	
5.	ATP Plan Working Group Activities and Actions		Annual Project Lists and Annual Progress Reports	
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
Staff Costs:				
Staff Title (7a):		Staff Hours (7b)	Rate Per Hour (7c)	Total \$
Party 1 -	Principal Planner	6	\$110.00	\$ 660.00
Party 2 -	Secretary III	2	\$50.00	\$ 100.00
Party 3 -	Consultant Tech	15	\$80.00	\$ 1,200.00
Party 4 -	Consultant Planner	8	\$100.00	\$ 800.00
Party 5 -	Consultant Project Manager	2	\$120.00	\$ 240.00
Party 6 -				\$ -
Subtotal Party Costs (6d):				\$ 3,000.00
Indirect Costs (6e):				
Total Staff Costs (6f):				\$ 3,000.00
Task Notes (8):				
<p>Activity 5 will describe the activities of and actions of the ATP Plan Working Group, including monitoring, updating, project development and public reporting. Members of the "ATP Plan Working Group" will include the City Engineer, members of the City's Citizen-based Bicycle Advisory Commission, and other members of the community from stakeholder groups and members of Merced's Disadvantaged Communities.</p>				
Other Costs:				
<p>You will not be able to fill in the following items. The totals for each "Other Costs" category listed below will automatically calculate from information entered in the itemized other costs section:</p>				
<p>To fill out an itemized cost for each "Other Cost", click below:</p> <p>Itemized "Other Costs" Section</p>		Travel (9a):	\$	-
		Equipment (9b):	\$	-
		Supplies/Materials (9c):	\$	15.00
		Incentives (9d):	\$	-
		Other Direct Costs (9e):	\$	-
		" " (9f):	\$	-
		Total Other Costs (9g):		\$
TASK GRAND TOTAL (10g):				\$ 3,015.00

Task "I" Other Costs:

Itemized Travel Cost (9a)

Please provide an itemized "travel" cost estimate for all travel costs applicable to each task

Travel (9a)

	Type of Travel	Expense/Quantity	Total \$
1.		\$	-
2.		\$	-
3.		\$	-
4.		\$	-
5.		\$	-
6.		\$	-
7.		\$	-
8.		\$	-
9.		\$	-
10.		\$	-
11.		\$	-
12.		\$	-
13.		\$	-
14.		\$	-
15.		\$	-
16.		\$	-
17.		\$	-
18.		\$	-
19.		\$	-
20.		\$	-
	Total	0	\$ -
	Total Travel Cost:	\$	\$ -

Itemized Equipment Cost (9b)

Please provide an itemized "equipment" cost estimate for all equipment cost applicable to each task

Equipment (9b)

	Type of Equipment	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.					\$ -
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
	Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
	Total Equipment Cost:				\$ -

Itemized Supplies/Materials Cost (9c)

Please provide an itemized "supplies/materials" cost estimate for all equipment cost applicable to each task

Supplies/Materials (9c)

	Type of Supplies/Materials	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.	paper	150		\$0	\$ 15.00
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
	Total:	150		\$0	\$ 15.00
	Total Supplies/Materials Cost:				\$ 15.00

Itemized Incentives Cost (9d)

Please provide an itemized "incentives" cost estimate for all incentives cost applicable to each task

Incentives (9d)

	Type of Incentives	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.					\$ -
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
	Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
	Total Incentives Cost:				\$ -

Task "I" Other Costs:

Itemized Other Direct Costs (9e)				
Please provide an itemized "other" cost estimate for all other costs applicable to each task				
Other Direct Costs (9e)				
Type of Other Direct Costs	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	0			\$ -
Total Other Direct Cost:				\$ -

Itemized Other Direct Costs (9f)				
Please provide an itemized "other direct" cost estimate for all other costs applicable to each task				
Other Direct Costs (9f)				
Type of Other Direct Costs	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
Total Other Direct Cost:				\$ -

TASK "J" DETAIL				
Task Name (5a):		Grant Administration		
Task Summary (5b):		Work related to managing grant contract and agreemeten with consultant		
Task Schedule (5c):		Start Date : Oct-2016	End Date: Jun-2019	
Activities and Deliverables:				
Activities (6a):			Deliverables (6b):	
1.	Prepare and Amend Budgets, Track Project Time and Manage other Fiscal Duties	Updated Work Plan; Timesheets; Tracking & Reporting Costs		
2.	Comply with Contract Reporting Requirements	Quarterly Reports and Invoices		
3.	Consultant Management	Weekly Management Meetings		
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
Staff Costs:				
Staff Title (7a):		Staff Hours (7b)	Rate Per Hour (7c)	Total \$
Party 1 -	Principal Planner	100	\$110.00	\$ 11,000.00
Party 2 -	Secretary III	10	\$50.00	\$ 500.00
Party 3 -	Secretary III	150	\$40.00	\$ 6,000.00
Party 4 -				\$ -
Party 5 -				\$ -
Party 6 -				\$ -
Subtotal Party Costs (6d):				\$ 17,500.00
Indirect Costs (6e):				
Total Staff Costs (6f):				\$ 17,500.00
Task Notes (8):				
Other Costs:				
You will not be able to fill in the following items. The totals for each "Other Costs" category listed below will automatically calculate from information entered in the itemized other costs section:				
To fill out an itemized cost for each "Other Cost", click below:		Travel (9a):	\$	-
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;">Itemized "Other Costs" Section</div>		Equipment (9b):	\$	-
		Supplies/Materials (9c):	\$	10.00
		Incentives (9d):	\$	-
		Other Direct Costs (9e):	\$	-
		" " (9f):	\$	-
				Total Other Costs (9g):
TASK GRAND TOTAL (10g):				\$ 17,510.00

Task "J" Other Costs:

Itemized Travel Cost (9a)

Please provide an itemized "travel" cost estimate for all travel costs applicable to each task

Travel (9a)

	Type of Travel	Expense/Quantity	Total \$
1.		\$	-
2.		\$	-
3.		\$	-
4.		\$	-
5.		\$	-
6.		\$	-
7.		\$	-
8.		\$	-
9.		\$	-
10.		\$	-
11.		\$	-
12.		\$	-
13.		\$	-
14.		\$	-
15.		\$	-
16.		\$	-
17.		\$	-
18.		\$	-
19.		\$	-
20.		\$	-
	Total	0	\$ -
		Total Travel Cost:	\$ -

Itemized Equipment Cost (9b)

Please provide an itemized "equipment" cost estimate for all equipment cost applicable to each task

Equipment (9b)

	Type of Equipment	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.					\$ -
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
		Total:	0	\$0	\$ -
				Total Equipment Cost:	\$ -

Itemized Supplies/Materials Cost (9c)

Please provide an itemized "supplies/materials" cost estimate for all equipment cost applicable to each task

Supplies/Materials (9c)

	Type of Supplies/Materials	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.	paper	100		\$0	\$ 10.00
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
	Total:	100		\$0	\$ 10.00
				Total Supplies/Materials Cost:	\$ 10.00

Itemized Incentives Cost (9d)

Please provide an itemized "incentives" cost estimate for all incentives cost applicable to each task

Incentives (9d)

	Type of Incentives	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.					\$ -
2.					\$ -
3.					\$ -
4.					\$ -
5.					\$ -
6.					\$ -
7.					\$ -
8.					\$ -
9.					\$ -
10.					\$ -
11.					\$ -
12.					\$ -
13.					\$ -
14.					\$ -
15.					\$ -
16.					\$ -
17.					\$ -
18.					\$ -
19.					\$ -
20.					\$ -
		Total:	0	\$0	\$ -
				Total Incentives Cost:	\$ -

Task "J" Other Costs:

Itemized Other Direct Costs (9e)				
Please provide an itemized "other" cost estimate for all other costs applicable to each task				
Other Direct Costs (9e)				
Type of Other Direct Costs	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
Total Other Direct Cost:				\$ -

Itemized Other Direct Costs (9f)				
Please provide an itemized "other direct" cost estimate for all other costs applicable to each task				
Other Direct Costs (9f)				
Type of Other Direct Costs	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
Total Other Direct Cost:				\$ -

EXAMPLE ONLY

Exhibit 22-R ATP Non-Infrastructure Project Work Plan (EXAMPLE)

Date: (1)	March 25, 2015
Project Number: (2)	ATP F-2020 (012)
Project Location(s): (3a)	N St. from to 15th St.
" " (3b)	16th St. to Capitol Ave.
" " (3c)	
Project Description: (4)	Infrastructure projects include new or upgraded pedestrian and bicycle facilities within walking distance of schools. Non-infrastructure activities include pedestrian and bicycle safety program for students and parents.

TASK "EXAMPLE" DETAIL

Task Name (5a):	Administration and Program Management		
Task Summary (5b):	Oversight and Management of ATP Program		
Schedule (5c):	Start Date: May-2015	End Date: Dec-2016	
Activities and Deliverables:			
Activities (6a):		Deliverables (6b):	
1.	Conduct pedestrian and bike education events at schools (4 events/year/school).	Survey, announcement, photos.	
2.	Conduct Safe Routes To School workshops at schools. (5 events/year).	Flyers, agenda, presentation, sing-in sheet, photos.	
3.	Participate and/or organize pedestrian and bicycle safety outreach events (5 events/year).	Flyers, posters, photos, press release, newspaper.	
4.	Conduct ongoing outreach at school sponsored Back-To-School Nights, Open Houses to promote pedestrian, and bicycle safety.(2 events/yr).	Flyers, posters, photos, press release, newspaper.	
5.	Collect pre and post parent or students travel surveys.	Surveys and compiled report	
6.	Draft the education section of the Safe Routes to School Plan	Safe Routes to School Plan	

Staff Costs:

Staff Title (7a):		Staff Hours (7b)	Rate Per Hour (7c)	Total \$
Party 1 -	Program Manager	438	\$45	\$ 19,710.00
Party 2 -				\$ -
Party 3 -				\$ -
Party 4 -				\$ -
Party 5 -				\$ -
Party 6 -				\$ -
Subtotal Party Costs (6d):				\$ 19,710.00
Indirect Costs (6e):				\$ 300.00
Total Staff Costs (6f):				\$ 20,010.00

Task Notes (8):

The Program Manager will monitor the non-infrastructure component of the My Town ATP, coordinate with My Town PD for school traffic enforcement schedule, conduct site visits, liaise with Caltrans staff and ensure proper program management and delivery. The staff rate includes both salary & benefits.

Other Costs:

You will not be able to fill in the following items. The totals for each "Other Costs" category listed below will automatically calculate from information entered in the itemized other costs section:

To fill out an itemized cost for each "Other Cost", click below: <input type="button" value="Itemized 'Other Costs' Section"/>	Travel (9a):	\$ 250.00
	Equipment (9b):	\$ 1,400.00
	Supplies/Materials (9c):	\$ 600.00
	Incentives (9d):	\$ -
	Other Direct Costs (9e):	\$ -
	" " (9f):	\$ -
Total Other Costs (9g):		\$ 2,250.00
TASK GRAND TOTAL (10g):		\$ 22,260.00

EXAMPLE ONLY

Itemized Travel Cost (9a)			
Please provide an itemized "travel" cost estimate for all travel costs applicable to each task			
Travel (9a)			
Type of Travel	Expense/Quantity	Total \$	
1. Reimbursable mileage for SRTS surveys	500 miles	\$	250
2.		\$	-
3.		\$	-
4.		\$	-
5.		\$	-
6.		\$	-
7.		\$	-
8.		\$	-
9.		\$	-
10.		\$	-
11.		\$	-
12.		\$	-
13.		\$	-
14.		\$	-
15.		\$	-
16.		\$	-
17.		\$	-
18.		\$	-
19.		\$	-
20.		\$	-
Total:	0	\$	250
Total Travel Cost:			\$ 250.00

Itemized Equipment Cost (9b)					
Please provide an itemized "equipment" cost estimate for all equipment cost applicable to each task					
Equipment (9b)					
Type of Equipment	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$	
1. Bicycles	10	each	\$100	\$	1,000.00
2. Helmets	15	each	\$20	\$	300.00
3. Locks	10	each	\$5	\$	50.00
4. Bike Stand	1	each	\$50	\$	50.00
5.				\$	-
6.				\$	-
7.				\$	-
8.				\$	-
9.				\$	-
10.				\$	-
11.				\$	-
12.				\$	-
13.				\$	-
14.				\$	-
15.				\$	-
16.				\$	-
17.				\$	-
18.				\$	-
19.				\$	-
20.				\$	-
Total:	36		\$175	\$	1,400.00
Total Equipment Cost:				\$	1,400.00

Itemized Supplies/Materials Cost (9c)				
Please provide an itemized "supplies/materials" cost estimate for all equipment cost applicable to each task				
Supplies/Materials (9c)				
Type of Supplies/Materials	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1. Office Supplies (General) - Itemization not required	200	LS	\$2	\$ 400.00
2. Safety Videos	10	each	\$20	\$ 200.00
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	210		\$22	\$ 600.00
Total Supplies/Materials Cost:				\$ 600.00

Itemized Incentives Cost (9d)				
Please provide an itemized "incentives" cost estimate for all incentives cost applicable to each task				
Incentives (9d)				
Type of Incentives	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
Total Incentives Cost:				\$ -

Itemized Other Direct Costs (9e)				
Please provide an itemized "other" cost estimate for all other costs applicable to each task				
Other Direct Costs (9e)				
Type of Other Direct Costs	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
Total Other Direct Cost:				\$ -

Itemized Other Direct Costs (9f)				
Please provide an itemized "other direct" cost estimate for all other costs applicable to each task				
Other Direct Costs (9f)				
Type of Other Direct Costs	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost \$	Total \$
1.				\$ -
2.				\$ -
3.				\$ -
4.				\$ -
5.				\$ -
6.				\$ -
7.				\$ -
8.				\$ -
9.				\$ -
10.				\$ -
11.				\$ -
12.				\$ -
13.				\$ -
14.				\$ -
15.				\$ -
16.				\$ -
17.				\$ -
18.				\$ -
19.				\$ -
20.				\$ -
Total:	0		\$0	\$ -
Total Other Direct Cost:				\$ -

EXAM



Narrative Questions backup information **Attachment I**

School Data

Merced City Elementary		% and or #
School	Enrollment	Students that walk or ride to school
1	Ada Givens Elementary	452
2	Alicia Reyes Elementary	541
3	Allan Peterson Elementary School	749
4	Charles Wright Elementary	494
5	Don Stowell Elementary	538
6	Donn B. Chenoweth Elementary School	762
7	Herbert H. Cruickshank Middle School	817
8	Herbert Hoover Middle	809
9	John C. Fremont Charter Elementary	435
10	John Muir Elementary	516
11	Leontine Gracey Elementary	578
12	Luther Burbank Elementary School	633
13	Margaret Sheehy Elementary	461
14	Rudolph Rivera Middle School	876
15	Tenaya Middle School	915
Total District Enrollment		6,691
Estimated # Students that walk/ride to school		2,930

Merced Union High School District		
School	Enrollment	Students that walk or ride to school
16	El Capitan High School	1,124
17	Golden Valley High School	1,785
18	Independence High School	44
19	Merced High School	1,961
20	Sequoia High School	105
21	Yosemite High (Continuation)	357
Total District Enrollment		5,376

Weaver Union School District		
School	Enrollment	Students that walk or ride to school
22	Farmdale Elementary	853
23	Pioneer Elementary	1,022
24	Weaver Middle School	883
Total District Enrollment		1,875
Estimated # Students that walk/ride to school		607

Total Combined District Enrollment **13,942**



Letters of Support

Attachment J

Merced
City School District

444 West 23rd Street, Merced, CA 95340
Phone (209) 385-6600 Fax (209) 385-6393

Merced City School District

Board of Education: President Darrell Cherf; Clerk Susan Walsh; Adam Cox; Jessica Kazakos; Gene Stamm
District Superintendent: RoseMary Parga Duran, Ed.D.

May 19, 2015

Re: City of Merced Application / Active Transportation Grant-Round II

To whom it may concern,

Merced City School District is pleased to support the City of Merced's Round II Active Transportation Plan (ATP) grant application to Caltrans. The requested project, which includes the preparation of a safe-routes-to-school plan, will greatly enhance the mobility and safety of school-aged bicyclists and pedestrians.

The applications grant has been instrumental in upgrading many of Merced's existing school sites. Merced City School District supports this grant and the purpose of the grant. The projects that the Safe Routes to School grants have made to the City of Merced are visible throughout Merced. I support this program because the improvements help adults as well as students.

The safe routes to school grants have helped the students of Merced City School District in many ways. First by allowing a safe walking or biking path to school and home, thus producing fewer emissions from bussing and more exercise walking and biking to school. The past grants has made a positive impact on safety around schools by installing flashing lights to slow traffic and installing high visible cross walks.

The Staff at Merced City School District is looking forward to working with the City of Merced to create a plan that encourages increased use of active modes of transportation, such as biking and walking. Such effort will benefit our students.

Sincerely,

Matt Adams
Transportation Supervisor
Merced City School District

"To ensure that every student excels academically, builds character, and is a productive member of our community."



Castle Commerce Center, 3430 A Street, Atwater, California 95301
Mailing: PO Box 2147, Merced, California 95344
www.muhsd.k12.ca.us 209-385-6400 (Fax 209-385-6442)

Superintendent
V. Scott Scambray, Ed.D.

Board of Trustees
Dora Crane
Dave Honey
Richard Lopez
Greg Opinski

Assistant Superintendents
Tammie Calzadillas, Ed.D.
Stacy McAfee
Alan Peterson

May 19, 2015

Re: City of Merced Application / Active Transportation Grant-Round II

To whom it may concern,

The Merced Union High School District is pleased to support the City of Merced's Round II Active Transportation Plan (ATP) grant application to Caltrans. The requested project, which includes the preparation of a safe-routes-to-school plan, will greatly enhance the mobility and safety of school-aged bicyclists and pedestrians.

As the MUHSD has a great number of student who either walk, cycle or skate to school, their safety is important. This grant will help ensure that students have a safe route to their school.

The MUHSD is looking forward to working with the City of Merced to create a plan that encourages increased use of active modes of transportation, such as biking and walking. Such effort will benefit our students.

Sincerely,

Travis J Kirk

Director Facilities and Transportation

MUHSD

We educate and empower all students to become 21st century learners, workers and citizens.



MERCED COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

3600 M Street, Merced, California 95348-2898

Telephone 209-384-6000 • Fax: 209-384-6043



Ronald C. Taylor, Ph.D
Superintendent/President
BOARD OF TRUSTEES
Dennis Jordan, Board President
Joe Gutierrez, Vice President
Gary Arzamendi, Clerk
Jean Upton
Wayne Hicks
Cindy Lashbrook
Leonel Villarreal

May 19, 2015

Re: City of Merced Application / Active Transportation Grant-Round II

To Whom It May Concern,

Merced College is pleased to support the City of Merced's Round II Active Transportation Plan (ATP) grant application to Caltrans. The requested project, which includes the preparation of a safe-routes-to-school plan, will greatly enhance the mobility and safety of school-aged bicyclists and pedestrians.

I have attended recent meetings of the Planning Commission related to the questions to be addressed in this project, and I am currently serving in an advisory capacity with the City of Merced as it prepares to address transportation, planning, and congestion issues caused by the anticipated construction of a High Speed Rail station in the city. Therefore, I am well prepared to offer the college's support in this planning endeavor as well.

Merced College is looking forward to working with the City of Merced to create a plan that encourages increased use of active modes of transportation, such as biking and walking. Such effort will benefit our students.

Sincerely,

Ronald C. Taylor, Ph.D
Superintendent/President



Merced Bicycle Coalition
731 E. Yosemite Avenue, Suite B, #427
Merced, CA 95340
(209) 769-2233

*Making our community safer, our air cleaner, and our citizens healthier,
by promoting bicycling as a safe and normal means of everyday
transportation and recreation.*

May 28, 2015

To Whom It May Concern:

The Merced Bicycle Coalition is pleased to express its support for the City of Merced's 2015 Active Transportation/Safe Routes to School Plan grant application.

The Active Transportation/Safe Routes to School Plan for which the ATP grant is being applied will address the serious need we have observed in our community for continuous, connected, safe, and convenient bicycle and pedestrian transportation networks. In particular we applaud the focus on the transportation needs of students and economically disadvantaged communities, and the objective to heavily recruit these populations for input during the preparation of the Plan. The additional Plan commitment to identifying connectivity barriers and ways to remove them will greatly assist our goal of increasing the use of bicycles for transportation for the whole community.

The Merced Bicycle Coalition has worked with local nonprofit and governmental agencies to promote Safe Routes to School at individual schools and school districts through education, encouragement, and policy development. These efforts have been constrained by localized infrastructure and the sense of individual schools being disconnected from the processes of making needed structural improvements. A City-wide Active Transportation Plan with a strong focus on Safe Routes to School will be a tremendous tool for defining and responding to the active transportation needs of our schools, providing a platform for school involvement in the planning process.

The mission of the Merced Bicycle Coalition is to make our air cleaner, our community safer, and our citizens healthier by promoting bicycling as a safe and normal means of transportation and recreation. We look forward to working with the City staff, Bicycle Advisory Commission, and other community groups in the development of a comprehensive Active Transportation and Safe Routes to School Plan for Merced.

Sincerely,

Justin Hicks
Chair, Merced Bicycle Coalition



May 26, 2015

Caltrans, District 10
P. O. Box 2048
Stockton, CA 95201

RE: SUPPORT for the City of Merced's Active Transportation Planning Grant

To Whom It May Concern,

Earlier this year, residents of the Downtown area of Merced have created a new advocacy organization which we're calling the Downtown Neighborhood Association (DNA), which now has several hundred identified members and supporters. Many of our members have lived in Downtown Merced for decades and are committed to finally creating and sustaining a vibrant, desirable and safe Downtown residential area.

Our members believe that the fortunes of the Downtown business district are inextricably tied to the success of the Downtown residential neighborhoods. To that end, DNA is organizing Downtown residents to advocate for the interests of Downtown residential areas. Roughly speaking, our Boundaries are Bear Creek on the North and West, 16th Street on the South, and Glen Avenue on the East. The interests of these neighborhoods are consistent with one another, and distinct from other areas of the city.

Unfortunately, Downtown Merced has been adversely affected by the major economic downturn, both directly and as a result of its impacts on City resources- resources that would have gone to quality of life issues, such as enhancing and maintaining our pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure. However, with the recent gains made in our local economy, and a renewed interest in Downtown Merced by the public, the press, and our civic leadership, we believe that Downtown is on the cusp of a renaissance. The important projects that can result from the ATP/SRTS Plan will go a long way in helping us achieve that goal.

Merced is the crown jewel of similar-sized Valley cities when it comes to bikeway systems, and has added a number of new routes and lanes in recent years. Merced also has impressive Class 1 bike paths along some of our natural waterways. As transportation policy continues to move in the direction of sustainability, improved transit access, and intermodal uses, Merced is well-positioned to maximize these assets. At the same time, there are still some gaps in connectivity, which will specifically be addressed by this plan. With the impending construction of the High Speed Rail Station and the UC Merced Administrative building in Downtown Merced, we believe that there will be a significant user base for the improved infrastructure that will result



from an Active Transportation Plan. The City of Merced should be commended for their sincere and effective outreach efforts, and we hope that this will have a bearing on their application. We look forward to seeing a safer, more sustainable, and more connected community as a result of this grant award, and we lend our strong support to this application.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Ryan R. Heller". The signature is stylized with multiple overlapping strokes.

Ryan R. Heller
DNA Volunteer/Organizer



Building Healthy Communities
658 W, Main St. Merced, CA 95340
209.383.4242
www.bhcmerced.org



May 28th, 2015

City of Merced

RE: Active Transportation Plan Grant



To whom this may concern,

It is with great enthusiasm that Building Healthy Communities offers our support and commitment to the City of Merced in their endeavors to seek input from disenfranchised communities for improved walk ways or bike ways to commute to school or work.



Funding outreach to seek community input for these types of improvements is extremely important to our own efforts. We firmly believe in supporting resident engagement and leadership in by involving residents in planning activities to improve their neighborhoods and community in general. We routinely involve community residents in discussion forums about specific policy and systems changes. We also encourage local government systems and institutions to promote full and active resident participation in their own policy development and implementation efforts.



We have successfully partnered and endorsed the City of Merced in previous activities such as the formation of the Merced's Bicycle Transportation Plan, development of previous Active Transportation Plan applications, and a variety of interactive bike related functions; Bike to Work Day and the first Annual Directors Ride. We will continue to promote and engage community residents to participate in the on-going work of the city.

We applaud and support the efforts of the City of Merced to seek input from marginalized communities so that we can help create positive and healthy changes in our community.

Please do not hesitate to contact our office if you may have any additional questions.

Sincerely,

Tatiana Vizcaino-Stewart
Building Healthy Communities
Hub Manager



800 W. 20 Street, Suite D
Merced, CA 95340
May 28, 2015

Bill King
Principal Planner
City of Merced

Dear Bill,

The Merced/Mariposa County Asthma Coalition is strongly in support of all projects that would reduce air pollution levels in the Merced city area.

It is our understanding that the city is applying for a grant to develop a Plan with considerable public outreach for Mercedian's to walk and ride bicycles to work or to school . The plan would include a prioritized list of real projects to either upgrade or build new projects, especially those that break the barriers between downtown and the neighborhoods to the east, south and north.

One of our objectives is to continue to uphold and implement intervention strategies to reduce asthma triggers. Air pollution created by mobile sources is a major contributor to asthma in the Merced area. Any reduction of those pollutants would help with asthma prevention in our area. Encouraging walking and bicycle use is great initiative. The Coalition would stand behind and encourage this kind of work on the part of the City of Merced.

If we can be of further assistance please feel free to contact us.

Sincerely,

Jay Herbrand
Secretary - Merced/Mariposa County Asthma Coalition



May 29, 2015

To whom it may concern

We are Jasmine and Sergio, we come to the Merced LGBT center regularly because we enjoy the space and like what it represents to the community. Until we had a car we relied on rides from our friends, the bus and occasionally riding our bikes. We feel that this grant would benefit our city in encouraging alternative transportation instead of driving. We would like to see our community a bike friendly city and would feel safer ridding our bikes with extended bike lines and streets that our considerate of cyclist.

Jasmine & Sergio



May 29, 2015

Hello my name is Jasmine Diaz and my only form of transportation is my bicycle. I live in the downtown area and go to the college, I volunteer at the LGBT center and my bicycle gets me to where I need to go. Every day I ride with the challenges of potentially getting hit by a care when bike lanes disappear, even if I ride with traffic I have to make sure drivers see me when making a turn. I've learned to stay safe but I feel other cyclists and I could benefit from having extended bike lanes and even making Merced a bike friendly city. If we could have less cars on the road and more bikes we would have less accidents and be conscious of our environment. This grant would benefit our city as well as myself. I learned to be alert, learned the routs I should or shouldn't take because there's no bike lane or the streets are too cracked but if changes were made to encourage the safety of cyclist and encourage riding a bicycle, we would have less injures and happily ride our bikes to work, school or to the store. It scares my sister that I'm always on the road with cars that could careless to see me but I have things that need to get done and I can't afford a car and my bike's there to get me places around town and I love bicycle.



Jasmine Diaz



May 29, 2015

To whom it may concern:

Many people in Merced have little or no personal transportation. due to this fact more people are riding bikes to get to where they need to go. Having funding for this bike lane project will offer more opportunity for community members to safely ride their bikes in our city. My name is Swana Swanson. We have the opportunity to help our community by being cyclist friendly. I support this proposal for a grant. My children use their bikes for recreation and for transportation to school. I feel it is important that we as a community pull together to make Merced's streets safer.

Swana Swanson



Additional Attachments

Attachment K

2014 RTP Support Excerpt

5. Aviation

Goal: A fully functional and integrated air service and airport system complementary to the countywide transportation system.

- 5.1. Maintain daily commercial airline service to a major metropolitan airport.
 - 5.1.1. Support commercial airline service in Merced County.
- 5.2. Work with local agencies to ensure compatible land uses around existing airports to reduce noise conflicts
 - 5.2.1. Support the Merced County Airport Land Use Commission and local airports in their efforts to ensure compatible land uses around airports.
 - 5.2.2. Support the local airports in their attempts to acquire the land surrounding the airports.
 - 5.2.3. Support noise abatement procedures.
- 5.3. Maintain alternative modes of transportation to and from local airports.
 - 5.3.1. Support regularly scheduled transit service from airports to the Transportation Center.

6. Active Transportation (Bicycle & Pedestrian)

Goal: A regional transportation system for bicyclists and pedestrians.

- 6.1. Develop and construct bike and walkway facilities in urban areas and other communities where non-motorized systems do not currently exist.
 - 6.1.1. Construct class I, II and III bike routes as designated in the local and regional plans.
 - 6.1.2. Actively pursue bicycle and pedestrian related funding sources to implement local and regional plans.
- 6.2. Prepare and/or update a regional active transportation / non-motorized plan every five years.
 - 6.2.1. Create an Advisory Committee or use existing groups for bike planning and project implementation recommendations.
 - 6.2.2. Implement the projects and programs in the plan.
- 6.3. Develop and construct walkway facilities in urban areas and other communities where pedestrian systems do not currently exist.
 - 6.3.1. Actively pursue pedestrian related funding sources to implement local and regional plans





Advisory Commission in 2009 to involve bicycle users in bicycle planning efforts and transportation-related bicycle activities.

Future

In recent years non-motorized travel has become more popular due to several factors: energy savings, health advantages, and environmental improvement. It should continue to increase in popularity due to public awareness of health and environmental benefits.

Short Range Plan

MCAG

- Update the Regional Bicycle Plan and/or prepare a new Regional Active Transportation Plan
- Develop safety education campaign to promote safety in walking and bicycling
- Work with local agencies to include bicycle and pedestrian facilities with maintenance and improvement projects as they occur.
- Aggressively pursue funding to implement regional and local active transportation projects.
- Use Congestion Mitigation Air Quality Funds to implement priority bicycle/pedestrian projects
- Promote walking and cycling as viable commute alternatives
- Support the cities and the County in designing, updating, and implementing bicycle and pedestrian plans
- Create an Active Transportation Advisory Committee or use existing groups

Local Jurisdictions

- Aggressively pursue "Active Transportation Program" funding to improve pedestrian safety
- Promote pedestrian friendly development.
- Incorporate sound bicycle and pedestrian planning in General Plans
- Seek funding to construct bicycle and pedestrian facilities
- Work with MCAG to design, update and implement local bicycle and pedestrian plans.
- Coordinate with neighboring jurisdictions to implement the Regional Bicycle Plan.
- Maintain existing bicycle facilities.

Long Range Plan

- Work towards a regional bikeway network that enables safe bicycle commuting opportunities.

